

Integrated Ocean Drilling Program Expedition 317 Preliminary Report

Canterbury Basin Sea Level

Global and local controls on continental margin stratigraphy

4 November 2009–3 January 2010

Expedition 317 Scientists



Published by
Integrated Ocean Drilling Program Management International, Inc.,
for the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program

Publisher's notes

Material in this publication may be copied without restraint for library, abstract service, educational, or personal research purposes; however, this source should be appropriately acknowledged. Core samples and the wider set of data from the science program covered in this report are under moratorium and accessible only to Science Party members until 4 January 2011.

Citation:

Expedition 317 Scientists, 2010. Canterbury Basin Sea Level: Global and local controls on continental margin stratigraphy. *IODP Prel. Rept.*, 317. doi:10.2204/iodp.pr.317.2010

Distribution:

Electronic copies of this series may be obtained from the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) Scientific Publications homepage on the World Wide Web at www.iodp.org/scientific-publications/.

This publication was prepared by the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program U.S. Implementing Organization (IODP-USIO); Consortium for Ocean Leadership, Lamont Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, and Texas A&M University, as an account of work performed under the international Integrated Ocean Drilling Program, which is managed by IODP Management International (IODP-MI), Inc. Funding for the program is provided by the following agencies:

National Science Foundation (NSF), United States

Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan

European Consortium for Ocean Research Drilling (ECORD)

Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), People's Republic of China

Korea Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources (KIGAM)

Australian Research Council (ARC) and New Zealand Institute for Geological and Nuclear Sciences (GNS), Australian/New Zealand Consortium

Ministry of Earth Sciences (MoES), India

Disclaimer

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the participating agencies, IODP Management International, Inc., Consortium for Ocean Leadership, Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, Texas A&M University, or Texas A&M Research Foundation.

Expedition 317 participants

Expedition 317 scientists

Craig S. Fulthorpe
Co-Chief Scientist
Institute for Geophysics
John A. and Katherine G. Jackson
School of Geosciences
The University of Texas at Austin
J.J. Pickle Research Campus,
Building 196 (ROC)
10100 Burnet Road (R2200)
Austin TX 78758-4445
USA
craig@ig.utexas.edu

Koichi Hoyanagi
Co-Chief Scientist
Department of Geology
Faculty of Science
Shinshu University
3-1-1 Asahi, Matsumoto 390-8621
Japan
hoya101@shinshu-u.ac.jp

Peter Blum
Expedition Project Manager/Staff Scientist
United States Implementing Organization
Integrated Ocean Drilling Program
Texas A&M University
1000 Discovery Drive
College Station TX 77845
USA
blum@iodp.tamu.edu

Gilles Guèrin
Logging Staff Scientist
Borehole Research Group
Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory
of Columbia University
PO Box 1000, 61 Route 9W
Palisades NY 10964
USA
guerin@ldeo.columbia.edu

Angela L. Slagle
Logging Staff Scientist
Borehole Research Group
Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory
of Columbia University
PO Box 1000, 61 Route 9W
Palisades NY 10964
USA
aslagle@ldeo.columbia.edu

Stacie A. Blair
Paleontologist (nannofossils)
Department of Geological Sciences
Florida State University
006 Carraway Building
Tallahassee FL 32306
USA
blair@quartz.gly.fsu.edu

Gregory H. Browne
New Zealand Observer/Sedimentologist
Hydrocarbon Section
GNS Science
PO Box 30368
Lower Hutt
New Zealand
g.browne@gns.cri.nz

Robert M. Carter
Physical Properties Specialist
Marine Geophysical Laboratory
James Cook University of North Queensland
Townsville QLD 4811
Australia
bob.carter@jcu.edu.au

Maria-Cristina Ciobanu
Microbiologist
Laboratoire de Microbiologie
des Environnements Extrêmes
CNRS UMR-6197
Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer
Technopole Brest-Iroise
Plouzane 29280
France
maria-cristina.ciobanu@univ-brest.fr

George E. Claypool
Organic Geochemist
8910 West 2nd Avenue
Lakewood CO 80226
USA
geclaypool@aol.com

Martin P. Crundwell
New Zealand Observer/Paleontologist
(foraminifers)
Paleontology and Environmental
Change Section
GNS Science
PO Box 30368
Lower Hutt
New Zealand
m.crundwell@gns.cri.nz

Jaume Dinarès-Turell
Paleomagnetist
Istituto Nazionale di
Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV)
Via di Vigna Murata 605
00143 Rome
Italy
jaume.dinares@ingv.it

Xuan Ding
Paleontologist (foraminifers)
School of Marine Sciences
China University of Geosciences (Beijing)
29 XueYuan Road, Haidian District
Beijing
P.R. China
dingx@cugb.edu.cn

Simon C. George
Inorganic Geochemist
Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences
Macquarie University
Sydney NSW 2109
Australia
simon.george@mq.edu.au

Daniel A. Hepp
Physical Properties Specialist
MARUM – Center for Marine Environmental
Sciences and Department of Geosciences
University of Bremen
Leobener Strasse
MARUM Building, Room 2230
28359 Bremen
Germany
d.hepp@uni-bremen.de

John Jaeger
Sedimentologist
Department of Geological Sciences
University of Florida
241 Williamson Hall
Gainesville FL 32611-2120
USA
jaeger@geology.ufl.edu

Shungo Kawagata
Paleontologist (foraminifers)
Faculty of Education and Human Sciences
Yokohama National University
79-2 Tokiwadai, Hodogaya-ku
Yokohama 240-8501
Japan
kawagata@ynu.ac.jp

David B. Kemp
Sedimentologist
Department of Earth Sciences
University of Cambridge
Downing Street
Cambridge CB2 3EQ
United Kingdom
dkem08@esc.cam.ac.uk

Young-Gyun Kim
Downhole Tools Specialist/Physical
Properties Specialist
School of Earth and Environmental Sciences
Seoul National University
Sillim9-dong
Gwanak-gu
Seoul 151-747
Korea
kkky1@snu.ac.kr

Michelle A. Kominz
**Downhole Tools Specialist/Physical
Properties Specialist**

Department of Geosciences
Western Michigan University
1903 West Michigan Avenue
1187 Rood Hall
Kalamazoo MI 49008
USA

michelle.kominz@wmich.edu

Helen Lever
Sedimentologist

Institute of Petroleum Engineering
Room 1.7 Enterprise Oil Building
Heriot-Watt University
Edinburgh EH14 4AS
Scotland

United Kingdom

helen.lever@pet.hw.ac.uk

Julius Sebastian Lipp
Organic Geochemist

MARUM – Center for Marine Environmental
Sciences and Department of Geosciences
University of Bremen
Leobener Strasse
MARUM Building, Room 2700
28359 Bremen
Germany

Jlipp@uni-bremen.de

Kathleen M. Marsaglia
Sedimentologist

Department of Geological Sciences
California State University, Northridge
18111 Nordhoff Street
Northridge CA 91330-8266
USA

kathie.marsaglia@csun.edu

Cecilia M. McHugh
Sedimentologist

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences
Queens College (C.U.N.Y.)
65-30 Kissena Boulevard
Flushing NY 11367
USA

cmchugh@qc.cuny.edu

Naomi Murakoshi
Sedimentologist

Department of Environmental Sciences
Faculty of Science
Shinshu University
Matsumoto 390-8621
Japan

nao@shinshu-u.ac.jp

Takeshi Ohi
Paleontologist (foraminifers)

Graduate School of Science and Technology
Kumamoto University
2-39-1, Kurokami
Kumamoto 860-8555
Japan

ohi@es.sci.kumamoto-u.ac.jp

Laura Pea
Paleontologist (nannofossils)

Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra
Universita degli Studi di Parma
Viale Usberti 157A
43100 Parma
Italy

laura.pea@nemo.unipr.it

Mathieu Richaud
Sedimentologist

Department of Earth and
Environmental Sciences
California State University, Fresno
2576 East San Ramon Avenue
Fresno CA 93740
USA

mathieu@csufresno.edu

Itsuki Suto
Paleontologist (diatoms)

Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences
Nagoya University
Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku
464-8601 Nagoya
Japan

suto.itsuki@a.mbox.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Susumu Tanabe
Sedimentologist
Geological Survey of Japan AIST
Central 7, Higashi 1-1-1
Tsukuba 305-8567
Japan
s.tanabe@aist.go.jp

Kirsteen J. Tinto
Paleomagnetist
Department of Geology
Otago University
1 Leith Street
Dunedin
Otago 9010
New Zealand
kirsty.tinto@stonebow.otago.ac.nz

Goichiro Uramoto
Sedimentologist
Department of Earth Sciences
Chiba University
Chiba 263-8522
Japan
uramoto_go-ichiro@graduate.chiba-u.jp

Toshihiro Yoshimura
Inorganic Geochemist
Ocean Research Institute
University of Tokyo
1-15-1 Minamidai
Nakano-ku
Tokyo 164-8639
Japan
yoshimura@ori.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Education and outreach

Julie Pollard
Teacher at Sea
Watauga Middle School
6300 Maurie Drive
Watauga TX 76148
USA
julie_pollard@birdville.k12.tx.us

Technical support

Grant Banta
Marine Computer Specialist

Christopher Bennight
Applications Developer

Michael Bertoli
Chemistry Laboratory

Timothy Bronk
Assistant Laboratory Officer

Trevor Cobine
Paleomagnetism Laboratory

William Crawford
Imaging Specialist

Lisa Crowder
Assistant Laboratory Officer

Dean Ferrell
Instrumentation Specialist

Clayton Furman
Schlumberger Engineer

Randy Gjesvold
Instrumentation Specialist

Thomas Gorgas
Physical Properties Laboratory

Kristin Hillis
Underway Geophysics Laboratory

Michael Hodge
Marine Computer Specialist

Sarah-Jane Jackett
Core Laboratory

Eric Jackson
X-Ray Laboratory

Kazushi Kuroki
Laboratory Officer

Stephen Midgley
Operations Superintendent

Lara Miles
Curator

Masahiro Nishimura
Physical Properties Laboratory

Deborah Partain
Publications Specialist

John W.P. Riley
Thin Sections Laboratory

Johanna Suhonen
Paleomagnetism Laboratory

Yulia Vasilyeva
Chemistry Laboratory

Jacob Virtue
Downhole Tools Laboratory

Stephanie Zeliadt
Applications Developer

Abstract

Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) Expedition 317 was devoted to understanding the relative importance of global sea level (eustasy) versus local tectonic and sedimentary processes in controlling continental margin sedimentary cycles. The expedition recovered sediments from the Eocene to recent period, with a particular focus on the sequence stratigraphy of the late Miocene to recent, when global sea level change was dominated by glacioeustasy. Drilling in the Canterbury Basin, on the eastern margin of the South Island of New Zealand, takes advantage of high rates of Neogene sediment supply, which preserves a high-frequency (0.1–0.5 m.y.) record of depositional cyclicity. The Canterbury Basin provides an opportunity to study the complex interactions between processes responsible for the preserved stratigraphic record of sequences because of the proximity of an uplifting mountain chain, the Southern Alps, and strong ocean currents. Currents have locally built large, elongate sediment drifts within the prograding Neogene section. Expedition 317 did not drill into one of these elongate drifts, but currents are inferred to have strongly influenced deposition across the basin, including in locations lacking prominent mounded drifts.

Upper Miocene to recent sedimentary sequences were cored in a transect of three sites on the continental shelf (landward to basinward, Sites U1353, U1354, and U1351) and one on the continental slope (Site U1352). The transect provides a stratigraphic record of depositional cycles across the shallow-water environment most directly affected by relative sea level change. Lithologic boundaries, provisionally correlative with seismic sequence boundaries, have been identified in cores from each site and provide insights into the origins of seismically resolvable sequences. This record will be used to estimate the timing and amplitude of global sea level change and to document the sedimentary processes that operate during sequence formation. Sites U1353 and U1354 provide significant, double-cored, high-recovery sections through the Holocene and late Quaternary for high-resolution study of recent glacial cycles in a continental shelf setting.

Continental slope Site U1352 represents a complete section from modern slope terrigenous sediment to hard Eocene limestone, with all the associated lithologic, biostratigraphic, physical, geochemical, and microbiological transitions. The site also provides a record of ocean circulation and fronts during the last ~35 m.y. The early Oligocene (~30 Ma) Marshall Paraconformity was the deepest drilling target of Expedition 317 and is hypothesized to represent intensified current erosion or nondepo-

sition associated with the initiation of thermohaline circulation following the separation of Australian and Antarctica.

Expedition 317 set a number of scientific ocean drilling records: (1) deepest hole drilled in a single expedition and second deepest hole in the history of scientific ocean drilling (Hole U1352C, 1927 m); (2) deepest hole and second deepest hole drilled by the R/V *JOIDES Resolution* on a continental shelf (Hole U1351B, 1030 m; Hole U1353B, 614 m); (3) shallowest water depth for a site drilled by the *JOIDES Resolution* for scientific purposes (Site U1353, 84.7 m water depth); and (4) deepest sample taken by scientific ocean drilling for microbiological studies (1925 m, Site U1352).

Expedition 317 supplements previous drilling of sedimentary sequences for sequence stratigraphic and sea level objectives, particularly drilling on the New Jersey margin (Ocean Drilling Program [ODP] Legs 150, 150X, 174A, and 174AX and IODP Expedition 313) and in the Bahamas (ODP Leg 166), but includes an expanded Pliocene section. Completion of at least one transect across a geographically and tectonically distinct siliciclastic margin was the necessary next step in deciphering continental margin stratigraphy. Expedition 317 also complements ODP Leg 181, which focused on drift development in more distal parts of the Eastern New Zealand Oceanic Sedimentary System (ENZOSS).

Introduction

Defining the relative importance of global sea level (eustasy) versus local tectonic, sedimentary, and oceanographic processes in controlling continental margin depositional cyclicity is a fundamental problem in sedimentary geology. A better understanding of how these processes interact to form preserved stratigraphy would greatly enhance our ability to read the record, covering many tens of millions of years, of Earth history contained within the thick sedimentary deposits beneath the world's continental shelves. Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) Expedition 317 addressed this problem by drilling the Canterbury Basin on the eastern margin of the South Island of New Zealand (Figs. **F1**, **F2**, **F3**).

The geologic record provides an opportunity to quantify the timing, rate, amplitude, mechanisms/controls, and effects (stratigraphic response) of eustatic change, which in turn provides a baseline for predicting future relative sea level changes and assessing anthropogenic influences. However, eustatic effects are complexly intertwined with processes of basin subsidence and sediment supply (e.g., Cloetingh et al., 1985;

Karner, 1986; Posamentier et al., 1988; Christie-Blick et al., 1990; Reynolds et al., 1991; Christie-Blick and Driscoll, 1995; Kominz et al., 1998; Kominz and Pekar, 2001). Controversy arises from the application of the sequence stratigraphic model (Mitchum et al., 1977; Van Wagoner et al., 1988; Posamentier et al., 1988; Posamentier and Vail, 1988; Vail et al., 1991) to sea level studies. Sequence stratigraphy highlights the cyclic nature of the continental margin stratigraphic record and led to the theory of eustatic control of sequences and the resultant eustatic cycle chart (Haq et al., 1987). This global sea level model remains contentious (e.g., Cloetingh et al., 1985; Carter, 1985; Karner, 1986; Christie-Blick et al. 1990; Christie-Blick, 1991; Carter et al., 1991; Karner et al., 1993; Christie-Blick and Driscoll, 1995; Dewey and Pitman, 1998; Miall and Miall, 2001).

High rates of Neogene sediment supply preserved a high-frequency (0.1–0.5 m.y.) seismically resolvable record of depositional cyclicity in the offshore Canterbury Basin (Fulthorpe and Carter, 1989; Browne and Naish, 2003; Lu and Fulthorpe, 2004). Exploration wells indicate the presence of middle Miocene to recent sedimentary sequences that are generally correlative with those drilled on the New Jersey margin by the Ocean Drilling Program (ODP). However, the Canterbury Basin differs in ways that allow expanded study of the complex processes of sequence formation in line with the global approach to sea level change advocated by previous planning groups:

1. The stratigraphy records the early development of the Antarctic circumpolar circulation and related southern oceanographic fronts. Currents have strongly influenced deposition, modifying sequence architecture and locally leading to the deposition of large sediment drifts that aggraded to near shelf depths within the prograding Neogene section.
2. Rifting is younger (Cretaceous) than the New Jersey margin (Jurassic), and from the earliest Miocene copious terrigenous sediment was supplied from a rapidly uplifting nearby mountain range (the Southern Alps). Regional tectonic and geological histories have been intensively studied, allowing evaluation of the influence of sediment supply on sequence formation and of the tectonic evolution of the Alpine Fault plate boundary.

The Canterbury Basin is part of the Eastern New Zealand Oceanic Sedimentary System (ENZOSS) (Carter et al., 1996). The distal (up to 4460 m water depth) component of ENZOSS was targeted by ODP Leg 181, which focused on drift development in the southwest Pacific gateway, principally under the influence of the evolving Antarctic Circumpolar Current and the Deep Western Boundary Current (Shipboard Scientific

Party, 1999a). Expedition 317 complements Leg 181 drilling by focusing on the landward part of ENZOSS.

Geological setting

Regional geology

The eastern margin of the South Island of New Zealand is part of a continental fragment, the New Zealand Plateau, that rifted from Antarctica beginning at ~80 Ma (Anomaly 33). Rifting between the New Zealand Plateau and Antarctica–Australia was active along a mid-ocean-ridge system passing through the southern Tasman Sea and Pacific basins until ~55 Ma (Anomaly 24). Linking of the Indian Ocean and Pacific spreading centers truncated the spreading ridge in the southern Tasman Sea in the late Eocene. Spreading rates on the Indian and Pacific segments of this now-continuous Southern Ocean ridge system resulted in the formation of the modern boundary between the Australian and Pacific plates composed of the Macquarie Ridge, the Alpine Fault, and the Tonga–Kermadec subduction zone (Molnar et al., 1975).

The Canterbury Basin lies at the landward edge of the rifted continental fragment and underlies the present-day onshore Canterbury Plains and offshore continental shelf (Field and Browne, 1989). Banks and Otago peninsulas (Fig. F2) are mid–late Miocene volcanic centers. Basin sediments thin toward these features and also westward, where they onlap basement rocks onshore that are involved in uplift and faulting linked to the latest Miocene (8–5 Ma) initiation of the current period of mountain building along the Southern Alps (Adams, 1979; Tippett and Kamp, 1993a; Batt et al., 2000).

The plate tectonic history of the New Zealand Plateau is recorded in the stratigraphy of the South Island. The postrift, Cretaceous to recent sedimentary history of the Canterbury Basin is composed of a first-order (80 m.y.), tectonically controlled, transgressive–regressive cycle (Carter and Norris, 1976; Field and Browne, 1989). The basin formed part of a simple passive margin from the Late Cretaceous to some time in the late Eocene, when convergence between the Australasian and Pacific plates began to influence the region, eventually leading to the formation of the Alpine Fault at ~23 Ma (Wellman, 1971; King, 2000). The marine sedimentary section can be divided into three principal intervals, the Onekakara, Kekenodon, and Otakou groups (Carter, 1988), during which contrasting large-scale sedimentary processes operated (Fig. F4).

Cretaceous–Paleogene transgression and Oligocene highstand

The postrift transgressive phase (Onekakara Group) produced ramplike seismic geometries and terminated during the late Eocene, when flooding of the landmass was at a maximum (Fleming, 1962). Reduced terrigenous influx during the postrift phase of subsidence and transgression resulted in deposition of regionally widespread siliceous or calcareous biopelagites (Amuri Formation), which range in age to early Oligocene (~33 Ma). The sequence is then interrupted by a current-induced unconformity, the Marshall Paraconformity (Carter and Landis, 1972), which occurs at the base of mid-late Oligocene cross-bedded glauconitic sand (Concord Formation) and calcarenite limestone (Weka Pass Formation) that comprise the Kekenodon Group (Fig. F4) (Carter, 1985, 1988). Exploration wells and ODP Leg 181 drilling (Sites 1123 and 1124; Carter et al., 2004c) reveal that the paraconformity and probable equivalents of the Amuri and Weka Pass formations exist offshore (Wilding and Sweetman, 1971; Milne et al., 1975; Hawkes and Mound, 1984; Wilson, 1985). The paraconformity, the deepest target of Expedition 317 drilling, is also recognized at drill sites throughout the region east of the Tasmanian gateway and is hypothesized to represent the initiation of thermohaline circulation (Deep Western Boundary Current) and shallower circulation upon the opening of the seaway between Antarctica and Australia (~33.7 Ma), prior to the opening of the Drake Passage (Carter et al., 2004c). New Zealand lay directly in the path of the developing current system. The paraconformity has been dated onshore using strontium isotopes as representing a hiatus at 32.4–29 Ma (Fulthorpe et al., 1996). Its deepwater representation may have started to form 1–2 m.y. earlier (Carter et al., 2004c).

Miocene–recent regression

Regression commenced in the late Oligocene or early Miocene in response to an increase in sediment supply provided by the initiation of Alpine Fault movement (Carter and Norris, 1976; Kamp, 1987). The Alpine Fault formed as a dextral strike-slip zone, with 500 km displacement since the earliest Miocene (~23 Ma) (Kamp, 1987). In eastern South Island, this resulted in the deposition of a widespread shelf siltstone (Bluecliffs Formation), starting in the latest Oligocene or earliest Miocene. At abyssal depths, in the path of the Deep Western Boundary Current, fine-grained terrigenous–carbonate rhythms at 41 k.y. Milankovitch frequency commenced at almost the same time (~24–23 Ma) (Carter et al., 2004c). This early uplift is not recognized by fission track dating (e.g., Batt et al., 2000) and is distinct from the later pulse of uplift that culminated in the present-day Southern Alps. Uplift of the Southern Alps accelerated at ~8–5 Ma (Tippett and Kamp, 1993a; Batt et al., 2000) or ~10–8 Ma (Carter and Nor-

ris, 1976; Norris et al., 1978; Adams, 1979; Tippett and Kamp, 1993b), indicating an increased component of convergence along the fault. Transpression led to an increase in the rate of sediment supply to the offshore Canterbury Basin (Lu et al., 2005). As in New Jersey, this sediment influx was deposited as prograding clinoforms (Otakou Group; Fig. F4). Currents continued to influence deposition. At present, the core of the northward-flowing Southland Current, inboard of the Southland Front (part of the Subtropical Front [STF]), is near the ~300 m isobath (Chiswell, 1996). In deeper water of at least 900 m, a local gyre of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current circulates clockwise within the head of Bounty Trough parallel to the Southland Current (Fig. F2) (Morris et al., 2001). Large sediment drifts within the prograding section (Fig. F4) show that similar currents, probably strengthened during glacial periods, existed throughout much of the Neogene (Fulthorpe and Carter, 1991; Lu et al., 2003; Carter et al., 2004c). Carter (2007) has applied the term “Canterbury Drifts” to describe the ensemble of shelf-slope sediments that have been deposited under the influence of north-flowing currents since the latest Oligocene.

Subsidence history

Backstripping suggests an increase in tectonic subsidence in the central part of the offshore basin starting at ~8 Ma (Figs. F5, F6) (Browne and Field, 1988), or perhaps as early as 20 Ma (M. Kominz, pers. comm., 2009). This increased subsidence may be a response to increasing convergence at the Alpine Fault (Lu et al., 2005). The transect approach of Expedition 317 will help us to model the presumed two-dimensional subsidence effect of Alpine Fault convergence, allowing us to remove the tectonic portion of subsidence and leave the eustatic signal. Figures F5 and F6 also show evidence for uplift at ~50–35 Ma, which could reflect the late Eocene reorganization of plate boundaries.

Site survey data and interpretation

Data acquisition

A large amount of multichannel seismic (MCS) data, collected in 1982 for a petroleum exploration consortium (B.P. Shell Todd), is available for the offshore Canterbury Basin (CB-82 profiles; e.g., Fulthorpe and Carter, 1989). Although the data cover an extensive area, they exhibit relatively low vertical resolution (20 m). Therefore, this survey was augmented in 2000 by two-dimensional high-resolution MCS profiles (R/V *Maurice Ewing* Cruise EW00-01; Figs. F3, F7, F8, F9). The survey grid lies approxi-

mately midway between the Banks and Otago peninsulas on the present-day middle to outer shelf and slope, above the late Miocene to recent depocenter and over the area where the largest sediment drifts developed (Fig. F3). The seismic source consisted of two generator-injector (GI) air guns (45/45 in³), and the streamer was deployed with 12.5 m groups in 96 and 120 channel configurations. A total of 57 profiles (~3750 line-km) were collected, covering ~4840 km². Line spacings are 0.7–3.0 km in the dip direction and 2.0–5.5 km in the strike direction. Penetration, 1.7–2.0 s below seafloor, is sufficient to image the entire Oligocene to recent section. Data processing used Focus software and the data were loaded into the GeoQuest interpretation system. High resolution was achieved by using reliable high-frequency sources (maximum frequency = 500 Hz), small sample intervals (1 ms), and high fold (48–60). Vertical resolution (~5 m for two-way traveltime <1 s) is as much as 4–5 times better than that of existing commercial MCS data (Fig. F10). However, seafloor and peg leg multiples are pronounced beneath the shelf. In order to deal with this problem, prestack deconvolution and FK-filtering were applied to critical sections, yielding some improvements in quality.

Commercial low-resolution MCS grid data were used to extend interpretations beyond the EW00-01 grid. The CB-82 data were particularly useful for tying to exploration wells, determining sediment drift distribution, and locating clinoform breakpoints, onlap, and canyons associated with the oldest sequences. The grid consists of 81 profiles, representing ~6000 line-km (Fig. F3). The record length is 5 s, and the sample rate is 4 ms. Digital copies of all stacked profiles were loaded into the GeoQuest system. Paper copies of migrated profiles were also available, and 20 of the digital profiles were migrated as part of this project.

Seismic stratigraphy

The EW00-01 MCS data were interpreted to provide a high-frequency sequence stratigraphic framework for the offshore Canterbury Basin (e.g., Fig. F7). Nineteen regional seismic sequence boundaries (U1–U19) were identified in the middle Miocene to recent shelf-slope sediment prism of the offshore Canterbury Basin (Lu and Fultorpe, 2004). Three larger seismic units were defined based on seismic architecture and facies (Fig. F7):

1. Sequence boundaries U1–U4 mostly lack distinct clinoform breaks within the seismic coverage.

2. Sequence boundaries U5–U8 feature rounded breaks; internal reflection geometries are predominantly sigmoid, and paleoshelves are smooth and defined by onlap and truncation.
3. Sequence boundaries U9–U19 are downlapped on paleoshelves and truncate underlying reflections near paleoshelf edges; internal reflection geometries are oblique, and U- and V-shaped channels incising paleoshelves indicate exposure during sea level lowstands.

Pre-drilling ages of sequence boundaries U3–U10 were initially based on ties to the Clipper exploration well (Hawkes and Mound, 1984), and the ages of U11–U19 were based on ties to ODP Site 1119 (Fig. F11) (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1999b; R.M. Carter, pers. comm., 2002). The Endeavour (Wilding and Sweetman, 1971) and Resolution (Milne et al., 1975) exploration wells were tied to the EW00-01 survey using the commercial CB-82 MCS profiles to calibrate the lowermost sequence boundaries (U1–U3) (Fig. F7). Late Pliocene to recent age sequence boundaries U11–U19 were considered most reliable because they are derived from continuously cored ODP Site 1119. The Site 1119 section is virtually complete; only one downlap unconformity (U18 at ~87 m below seafloor [mbsf], representing the Stage 7/8 boundary at ~252–277 k.y.) has a significant hiatus (~25 k.y.). Sequence boundary U19 (~48 mbsf) corresponds to the Stage 5/6 boundary (~113 ka) at Site 1119, and the age of the deepest sediment recovered is ~3.9 Ma (Carter et al., 2004a). Lower Pliocene and Miocene age unconformities were less well constrained.

Expedition 317 drilling indicates that pre-drilling age estimates for most sequence boundaries agree reasonably well with drilling results (see “[Synopsis](#)”). Only sequence boundary U7 was off by a significant margin (4.49–5.3 Ma vs. the predicted 9 Ma; see “[Synopsis](#)”). A tentative correlation with oxygen isotopic records based on these pre-drilling age estimates suggests a eustatic origin for the sequence boundaries. The number of seismic sequences is similar to that of coeval cycles on the Miocene to recent $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sw}}$ record of Billups and Schrag (2002) when cycles of comparable frequency are compared (Fig. F11). However, local processes also exerted fundamental control on sequence architecture. Along-strike currents strongly influenced sequence development, and large sediment drifts dominate parts of the Neogene section.

Sediment drifts and paleoflow

The presence of long-lived drifts beneath the modern shelf confirms that currents swept the New Zealand plateau as early as 15 Ma (Fig. F12) (Lu and Fulthorpe, 2004), and onshore outcrops of Kekenodon Group greensand and limestone indicate that the current influence extends back to the late Oligocene (Ward and Lewis, 1975). The STF, Subantarctic Front (SAF), and associated currents may have existed close to their present positions relative to New Zealand by the middle or latest Miocene (Carter et al., 2004c; Nelson and Cooke, 2001). The STF is represented by the Southland Front along the eastern South Island (Fig. F2). The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ record at Site 1119 is interpreted as reflecting glacial–interglacial alternations of subtropical and subantarctic water caused by movement of the STF across the site over at least the last two glacial–interglacial cycles (Carter et al., 2004a, 2004b). Falling sea level deflected the front basinward during glacial periods, with the counterintuitive result that the site experienced a warmer water mass during glacials; intervals of interbedded sand and mud mark the passage of the front basinward and landward across the site (Carter et al., 2004b). Sand beds occurring near the peak of glaciation are interpreted to represent the proximity of the STF and SAF, which may coalesce near the site, intensifying current strength (Carter et al., 2004b).

Currents have formed at least 11 large, elongate drifts within the lower Miocene to recent section (e.g., Fig. F12) (Lu et al., 2003; note that timing is based on predrilling ages). The drifts were initiated near the slope toe and aggraded to near shelf water depths. Drift deposits can be as thick as 1000 m and have mounded morphologies with channel-like moats along their landward flanks. Internal geometries define two end-members of elongate drift: simple and complex. Early (middle Miocene) simple drifts are small and concentrated in the southern part of the survey area (Figs. F3, F7). Drift thickness and longevity increased as the shelf aggraded, increasing accommodation space, while the locus of drift development migrated northeastward. Late (late Miocene to recent) simple drifts are therefore larger and occur in the northeastern part of the survey area (Figs. F3, F8). Late simple drifts are subdivided into three parts (base, core, and crest) based on seismic facies. These facies form in response to progressive confinement of current flow within the moat. Complex drifts (multicrested and multistage; Figs. F3) may form as current pathways migrate in response to sea level change, modulated by paleoslope inclination, and as a result of fluctuations in the rate of sediment supply.

Current erosion in drift moats forms diachronous unconformities, which cut across sequence boundaries. Several sequence boundaries pass through some of the larger drifts, indicating that they existed throughout several cycles of relative sea level change (Figs. F7, F8). Drift deposition controls sequence thickness distributions: sequences are thickest at drift mounds and thin within moats. In addition, currents focus deposition on the slope, reducing the rate of basinward movement of the shelf edge but increasing that of the slope toe. As a result, slope inclination is minimized (cf. Figs. F8, F9). Cessation of drift development and replacement of along-strike processes with downslope processes result in increased rates of shelf-edge progradation and slope steepening as the accommodation space over the expanded slope is filled. Termination of large, elongate drift development (~3.25 Ma at Site 1119; Carter et al., 2004a) may have been caused by the initiation of late Pliocene to Pleistocene high-amplitude sea level change, which enhanced downslope processes by exposing the shelf edge. Slope platforms can form above extinct drifts, reducing accommodation and locally accelerating shelf-edge progradation. Along-strike from some large, elongate drifts, seismic evidence for current activity is lacking and coeval strata are clinoformal (Fig. F9) in spite of the demonstrable presence of a current. Therefore, elongate drift formation is the product of multiple controls, including current intensity, sea-floor morphology, and sediment input.

Scientific objectives

Primary objective

Date clinoform seismic sequence boundaries and sample-associated facies to estimate eustatic amplitudes

The facies, paleoenvironments, and depositional processes associated with the sequence stratigraphic model on prograding continental margins, where sequences are best resolved seismically, have yet to be adequately constrained by scientific ocean drilling. The prediction of sediment distribution within sequences is highly model dependent (e.g., systems tract models of Posamentier et al., 1988; Vail et al., 1991). These models offer great potential for understanding oil and gas resources and for ground water/pollution remediation issues. However, the fundamental assumptions and predictive capabilities of these models can only be tested by drilling on shallow continental shelves. Drilling contrasts upper Miocene–lower Pliocene sequences with smooth, onlapped paleoshelves and rounded breakpoints (sequence boundary ~U10 and below) to upper Pliocene–Pleistocene sequences with eroded and incised, down-

lapped paleoshelves and more pronounced breakpoints (above sequence boundary U10) (Fig. F7). This tests the hypothesis that paleoshelves below ~U10 were not sub-aerially exposed at sequence boundaries, whereas those above ~U10 were exposed.

Secondary objectives

1. Drill the Marshall Paraconformity in the offshore basin

Drilling the Marshall Paraconformity offshore provides information on its regional distribution, age, and origin (Figs. F4, F8, F9). The paraconformity has been dated at its onshore type section using strontium isotopes as representing a hiatus of 32.4–29 Ma (Fulthorpe et al., 1996). It is therefore correlative with the postulated early Oligocene eustatic lowstand (Haq et al., 1987). However, a eustatic lowstand is unlikely to have been the direct cause of the paraconformity because correlative features have been inferred to form in water as deep as 4000–5000 m throughout the southwest Pacific (Carter, 1985; Carter et al., 2004c). The limited paleoenvironmental data available suggest that, even at locations now located onshore, the Marshall Paraconformity could not have been widely subaerially exposed, though such exposure may have occurred at localized highs (Lewis, 1992).

Instead, the paraconformity probably records intensified current erosion, or nondeposition, at all water depths, which accompanied the development of a current system following the opening of the seaway south of Tasmania (Carter, 1985; Fulthorpe et al., 1996; Carter et al., 2004c). Seismic interpretation supports a current-related origin by indicating that the paraconformity forms the base of the interval of sediment drift deposition. Indeed, immediately post-Marshall Paraconformity, sedimentation involved sediment drift deposition in shallow (Ward and Lewis, 1975), intermediate (Fulthorpe and Carter, 1991; Lu et al., 2003), and deep (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1999a; Carter et al., 2004c) water settings. There are indications from Leg 181 drilling that the paraconformity developed in deep (bathyal) water ~1–2 m.y. earlier than in shallow water (McGonigal and Stefano, 2002). Dating this paraconformity in the offshore Canterbury Basin at Site U1352 tested this hypothesis by sampling it where paleowater depths were intermediate.

2. Constrain the erosion history of the Southern Alps

The late Oligocene to early Miocene increase in sediment supply to the offshore basin apparently predates the modern transpressional uplift of the Southern Alps, whose main pulse begins at ~8–5 Ma (Tippett and Kamp, 1993a; Batt et al., 2000) or ~10–8

Ma (Carter and Norris, 1976; Norris et al., 1978; Adams, 1979; Tippett and Kamp, 1993b). Such onshore results correlate with increases in subsidence rate and sediment supply in the offshore basin (Figs. F5, F6, F11) but may underestimate earlier, but significant, convergence and uplift (Walcott, 1998). The offshore sedimentary prism is the only record of erosion that preceded the current uplift phase; it constitutes a record of age, volume, and facies of erosion products from the Oligocene to recent.

Sediment volumes within mapped seismic sequences provide a measure of onshore paleoerosion rates. Calculating such volumes involves integration of both the commercial and EW00-01 MCS data because the commercial data have broader areal coverage (Fig. F3). Sequence volumes have been used to calculate sedimentation rates (Fig. F11) (Lu et al., 2005). The limited available age control precludes estimation of meaningful sedimentation rates for individual sequences because such rates are strongly influenced by sequence duration. Therefore, sedimentation rates averaged over groups of sequences are presented instead. The resulting rates correlate well with estimates of the perpendicular component (convergence) of relative plate motion at the Alpine Fault: both increase during the last 5–8 m.y. (Fig. F11). In contrast, high sedimentation rates from 14.5–11.5 Ma occur during a period of low convergence rate at the fault (Lu et al., 2005). However, these high rates correlate with similar peaks in sedimentation rates off New Jersey and West Africa (Steckler et al., 1999; Lavier et al., 2000, 2001) and occur during a period of falling global sea level (Fig. F11). They are probably, therefore, a response to global climatic trends. Drilling results will be used to verify the ages of the progradational units, which will be integrated with sediment volume results to provide an enhanced, sequence-by-sequence record of sedimentation rates for correlation with tectonic and climatic events. Provenance studies will add further to our understanding of the early history of the plate boundary. Mineralogical and isotopic analyses of sand grains, along with Ar-Ar dating of those grains, will allow the matching of outcrop ages and source areas to sequences offshore. Ideally, we would like to have calibrated sequences through the entire Neogene section, but penetration through the entire section at shelf water depths is technically difficult and time consuming. As a compromise, Expedition 317 sites targeted seismic sequence boundaries down to U4 (~12.4 Ma), although U5 was the oldest sequence boundary actually penetrated.

3. Determine sediment drift depositional histories and paleoceanographic record

The elongate drifts parallel to the shelf edge are unusual features in such an inboard continental margin setting; their facies are largely unknown. They were probably de-

posited adjacent to a current flowing northward along the prograding clinoform slope; progradation in parts of the basin occurred by the accretion of successive sediment drifts (Fulthorpe and Carter, 1991; Lu et al., 2003). Drifts can encompass several seismic sequences, and the erosional unconformities in moats are diachronous (Figs. F7, F8, F12) (Lu et al., 2003). Drift formation is not, therefore, linked directly to individual sea level cycles. Site 1119 (Leg 181) confirmed the drift origin of these features; interglacial silty sand and glacial silty clay cycles were recognized in current-deposited sediments (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1999b). However, Site 1119 only penetrated to 494.8 mbsf in 3.9 Ma sediments, insufficient to sample more than the upper few meters of the underlying large elongate drift (D10 of Lu et al., 2003; Carter et al., 2004a). The earlier history of the frontal systems is therefore poorly constrained. In addition, the climatic record of Site 1119 correlates well with that from the Vostok ice core (Carter et al., 2004b), and drilling deeper could provide an extended proxy for Antarctic continental air temperatures. Furthermore, drift D11 can be considered the type example of a late, simple elongate drift, and it displays all of the component seismic facies (base, core, and crest) (Lu et al., 2003). It is estimated to be of late Miocene (~11 Ma) to Pliocene (~3.6–3.25 Ma) age (Fig. F12) (Lu and Fulthorpe, 2004; Carter et al., 2004a) and may be the longest lived sedimentary bedform on Earth. Drilling into D11 would therefore be essential to determine the lithologies that make up the large volumes of sediment within the elongate drifts and recover the paleoceanographic record contained within the drift deposit.

Because of time constraints imposed in part by the 10 day transit from Townsville, Australia (which included a fuel stop in Wellington, New Zealand), drilling into drift D11 became a secondary objective of Expedition 317. Therefore, all sites originally proposed for drilling into drift D11 (proposed Sites CB-05B to CB-05E) were considered contingency sites that were to be drilled only if drilling at shallower slope and shelf sites was not possible. However, insights into sediment drift deposition and paleoceanography are expected from drilling at shelf Site U1351 (which penetrated paleoslopes of sequence boundaries U6 and U7) and slope Site U1352. Drift geometries become gradually less pronounced along strike toward the southwest (from proposed CB-05 sites to the drilling transect sites), and pronounced mounded drift geometries are absent beneath the slope at Site U1352 (Lu and Fulthorpe, 2004). However, the generation of mounded drifts requires specific conditions, which are not well understood; a slope contour current alone is insufficient, as is indicated by the fact that such drifts are not being formed under the present current regime. It is therefore possible that currents have reworked the sediments at Sites U1351 and U1352

and left a paleoceanographic record of glacial–interglacial cycles, as at Site 1119 (Carter et al., 2004c), without producing distinctive geometries.

Operational strategy

Drilling transect strategy

To understand the history of eustasy versus subsidence/sediment supply changes, borehole transects across passive continental margins are required (COSOD II, 1987). The long-term strategy developed by ODP-related planning groups (Watkins and Mountain, 1990; Loutit, 1992; Fulthorpe et al., 2008) involves drilling margins worldwide to evaluate global synchronicity, through correlation among multiple basins and with the oxygen isotopic record, and to document stratigraphic responses in diverse tectonic and depositional settings, including carbonate, siliciclastic, and mixed siliciclastic–carbonate sedimentary systems on both continental and oceanic crust. Initial investigation was to focus on the Neogene “Icehouse” period (Miller et al., 1991), when high-resolution chronological control is available and glacial cycles provide a well-understood mechanism for eustatic change, calibrated by the deep-ocean oxygen isotope record. This approach has guided ODP efforts off New Jersey (Leg 150: Mountain, Miller, Blum, et al., 1994; Miller and Mountain, 1994; Leg 174A: Austin, Christie-Blick, Malone, et al., 1998; Legs 150X and 174AX: Miller et al., 1994; Miller, Sugarman, Browning, et al., 1998) and the Bahamas (Leg 166: Eberli et al., 1997). IODP Expedition 317 represents the latest application of this global strategy.

The passive margin approach integrates seismic profiles and a drilling transect to calibrate the sequence stratigraphic model and test the global sea level model, including investigation of local controls on sequence formation. Seismic profiles provide identification of sequence boundaries, sequence architecture, seismic facies, and morphologic constraints on depositional processes and tectonism. A drilling transect is required to document (1) ages of sequence stratigraphic surfaces, including sequence-bounding unconformities (or their correlative conformities) and maximum flooding surfaces; (2) facies and lithologies comprising each sequence (stratigraphic response to sea level oscillations); (3) porosity, cementation, and diagenesis; and (4) paleowater depths from benthic biofacies. Such paleowater depth estimates are essential for determination of eustatic amplitudes. Two-dimensional modeling of these data within the sequence stratigraphic framework allows estimation of eustatic amplitudes when

the form of the tectonic component of subsidence is known (Kominz and Pekar, 2001).

The ideal approach involves drilling target sequences in at least two locations. First, drilling landward of clinoform breaks or rollovers, presumed to represent paleoshelf edges, provides information on facies and water depths where paleodepth indicators are most reliable. For example, ODP Leg 174A drilling recovered valuable paleoenvironmental information diagnostic of a shallow-water lagoonal environment landward of a rollover (Austin, Christie-Blick, Malone, et al., 1998). Two-dimensional backstripping will enable the use of data from sites farther landward to constrain water depths at more basinward locations. Second, drilling on the slope where pelagic microfossils are more abundant provides sequence boundary ages. Ideally such sites would also provide the paleoenvironment and facies of the lowstand systems tract. This is also essential for eustatic amplitude estimation in the event that sea level fell below the preceding clinoform break. The ideal location for both dating and lowstand facies is near the clinoform toe, to minimize the hiatus at the sequence boundary (Christie-Blick et al., 1998), but locations higher on the slope are necessary to reduce drilling depths (e.g., the location of Leg 174A New Jersey margin Site 1072 relative to sequence boundary m1[s]) (Austin, Christie-Blick, Malone, et al., 1998). In addition, locations on the slope will provide better constrained paleowater depths, which are likely to be poorly constrained at clinoform toes (as is the case at the Clipper well). A further reason for drilling higher on the slope is that seismic correlation from clinoform toes landward to the clinoform front and shelf is difficult on all margins because the section basinward of the clinoform toes is condensed and landward divergence of reflections contributes to mis-ties.

General operations plan

The transect approach requires that drilling operations be conducted on the modern shelf to reach sequence paleoshelves landward of their rollovers, as well as the upper paleoslopes of the oldest sequences. ODP Leg 174A drilling on the New Jersey shelf illustrated the difficulty of shelf drilling with a dynamically positioned drilling vessel (Austin, Christie-Blick, Malone, et al., 1998). The main problem was the collapse of loose sands, which trapped the drill string, although station keeping in shallow water was also occasionally difficult. The proposed drilling strategy for Expedition 317 was influenced by the Leg 174A experience, which relied heavily on rotary core barrel (RCB) drilling, particularly at shelf Site 1072. As a result, the Expedition 317 precruise

operations summary presumed extended core barrel (XCB) refusal at ~350 mbsf, with RCB coring in a separate hole to total depth.

In practice, it was possible to use the XCB to core to ~1000 m at shelf Site U1351 before significant difficulty was encountered. RCB drilling was therefore unnecessary at Expedition 317 shelf sites. In contrast, the greater penetration depth required at Site U1352, on the upper slope, necessitated the use of RCB coring in a separate hole.

Favorable weather conditions on arrival allowed us to follow the original drilling strategy by drilling first at shelf Site U1351 at the deepwater end (121 m) of the shelf transect (Fig. F7; Table T1). This provided us with experience in shelf-sediment drilling before we moved to sites in even shallower water. Although the target depth at Site U1351 was 1249 mbsf, actual penetration of 1030 m nevertheless exceeded expectations in view of the difficulties encountered in penetrating the section during Leg 174A. Unless otherwise noted, all depth references in meters refer to the core depth below seafloor computed by conventional method A (CSF-A) depth scale (see “IODP depth scales terminology” at www.iodp.org/program-policies/). Only the two deepest sequence boundaries targeted (U4 and U5) were not reached, although recovery was limited, averaging ~30% and generally decreasing with depth.

The ship then moved ~15 km to slope Site U1352, which was selected to provide good age control for sequences drilled on the shelf at Site U1351 (Fig. F3). An additional objective at this site was the penetration and recovery of the Marshall Paraconformity, which had been estimated to lie at ~1600 mbsf (Fig. F9).

On completion of slope drilling, we moved back to the shelf to drill the two additional shelf Sites U1353 and U1354 (Fig. F7) to provide spatial control of facies within sequences and to recover the lowermost unconformities landward of their rollovers.

Traveltime/depth conversion

Relating seismic sequence boundaries to features observed in cores is critical to any test of continental margin sequence stratigraphy. Sequence boundaries were originally assigned predicted depths based on synthetic seismograms created using sonic and density logs from ODP Site 1119 and commercial exploration well Clipper-1. Ages were also assigned to the sequence boundaries using ties to Site 1119 and the exploration wells, principally Clipper-1 (Lu and Fulthorpe, 2004).

During the safety reviews by the Environmental Protection and Safety Panel (EPSP), predicted sequence boundary depths were modified using a new analysis of check shot and sonic log information from Clipper-1 and Site 1119. Seismic stacking velocities were not used for traveltime/depth conversion because they were substantially higher than those derived from Site 1119 and Clipper-1, which are in general agreement. The final traveltime/depth conversion function used to estimate sequence boundary depths prior to Expedition 317 was

$$Y = 317X^2 + 758.3X,$$

where Y = depth below seafloor in meters, and X = two-way traveltime in seconds.

Pending postcruise analysis, depths quoted for seismic sequence boundaries in this report continue to be derived from this predicted function. A sonic log was obtained from Hole U1351B to ~490 m WSF, but it requires postcruise processing before it can be used to provide an alternative traveltime/depth conversion. A sonic log was also obtained from Hole U1352B to ~420 m WSF, and a synthetic seismogram was generated to provide an alternative traveltime/depth conversion. However the resultant velocities proved to be anomalously lower than the predicted function, which is itself evidently too slow, at least for traveltimes corresponding to the deepest penetrations. For example, if the Marshall Paraconformity correlates, as seems likely, with seismic horizon "Green," the precruise predicted function underestimates its depth by ~30–35 m.

Results from Hole U1353C may be more representative. A sonic log to ~230 m WSF yielded an excellent synthetic seismogram match to the seismic data and estimated depths to seismic sequence boundaries within the logged interval (U13–U10) that were 5–10 m deeper than predicted precruise. This may represent an improved traveltime/depth conversion for this interval, although the difference may be within the margin of error for both sets of traveltime/depth estimates. A sonic log was also obtained from Hole U1354C to ~380 m WSF at the end of the cruise, and results have not yet been assessed.

In summary, the precruise predicted function continues to be used for core-log-seismic correlations in this report. However, postcruise analysis may lead to modifications of this function based on further evaluation of Expedition 317 wireline logging and physical properties data.

Synopsis

The fundamental objective of Expedition 317 was to test the concepts of sequence stratigraphy by documenting the lithologies, ages, and depositional environments within sequences in order to determine the sedimentary processes active during sequence development and constrain the underlying controls (both global and local) responsible for those processes. This involves testing sequence stratigraphic model (e.g., Van Wagoner et al., 1988; Vail et al., 1991) predictions of facies and lithologies associated with the development of prograding, clinoformal, continental margin sequences that are well defined by seismic interpretations. It also necessitates testing the presumption that global sea level is the primary control on sequence boundary formation (Haq et al., 1987). Other goals were coring the early Oligocene Marshall Paraconformity, constraining the early uplift and erosion history of the Southern Alps, and documenting the evolution of contourite deposition on this current-influenced margin.

Nineteen middle Miocene to Pleistocene seismic sequence boundaries (U1–U19) were defined by sequence stratigraphic interpretation of the EW00-01 seismic data (Lu and Fulthorpe, 2004). Expedition 317 was designed to provide ground truth for sequences above boundary U4 and also to core through the Marshall Paraconformity. Prior to Expedition 317, only ODP Leg 174A (Austin, Christie-Blick, Malone, et al., 1998) and IODP Expedition 313 (Expedition 313 Scientists, 2010) had drilled on a continental shelf for sequence stratigraphic and sea level objectives. Expedition 317, therefore, provided a rare opportunity to investigate the facies, paleoenvironments, and depositional processes associated with the sequence stratigraphic model on a prograding continental margin where large-scale depositional geometries and sequence architectures are constrained by seismic data.

Core-seismic correlation

The Canterbury Basin formed along a passive continental margin where large volumes of sediment were derived from a tectonically and climatically evolving hinterland. Seismic sequence boundaries provide a large-scale understanding of the subsurface architecture of the margin necessary for drill site selection and for placing drilling results within a broader two-dimensional and three-dimensional context. Sequence boundaries U19–U6 were penetrated at outer shelf Site U1351 and mid-shelf Site U1353, which may also have reached U5. Intermediate shelf Site U1354 cored U19–U8. Slope Site U1352 penetrated slope facies of most seismic sequence boundar-

ies (U19–U13, U11, and U9–U6), as well as the Marshall Paraconformity, with the particular goal of providing age control for sequence boundaries. Slope facies of sequence boundaries U6–U7 were also sampled at shelf Site U1351 (Figs. **F13**, **F14**).

Upper Miocene–lower Pliocene seismic sequence boundaries (~U10 and below) feature smooth, overlapped paleoshelves and rounded rollovers, or clinoform breaks, with sigmoid internal reflection geometries. In contrast, mid-Pliocene to Pleistocene sequence boundaries (above U10) display eroded and incised downlapped paleoshelves and more pronounced rollovers with oblique reflection geometries. This transition reflects a background of changing paleoenvironmental conditions, against which the cored sediments can be interpreted downhole.

Similarly, the character of sequences changes horizontally landward to basinward across the transect. Sequences are not represented by a single pattern of lithofacies across the shelf and onto the slope. Shelf successions are dominated by periodic facies changes that reflect fluctuating sea level, whereas deposition of slope sediments was also influenced by north-traveling Subantarctic Mode Water (SAMW), Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW), and their predecessor current systems (e.g., Carter and Gammon, 2004).

Correlating seismic sequence boundaries with lithologic facies and features found in the cores will be a focus of postcruise research. However, preliminary relationships can be defined at two scales.

Lithologic units

At the largest scale, the preferred boundaries between lithologic Units I and II at both outer shelf Site U1351 and inboard shelf Site U1353 are lithologic boundaries that separate overlying heterolithic facies of Unit I from more uniform facies below in Unit II (Figs. **F13**, **F14**, **F15**). The unit definitions for each of the four Sites U1351–U1354 are based on the observed variation in lithology in the cores, particularly in the occurrence and frequency of calcareous units (generally green, shelly, and sandy). In general, Unit I is heterogeneous, containing a wide variety of facies including interbedded terrigenous lithologies and many green marl or calcareous beds with sharp (or bioturbated) bases (Fig. **F15**). Conversely, Unit II is more homogeneous. On the shelf, Unit II is dominated by mud or muddy sand, with lower percentages of carbonate components and less frequent greenish calcareous beds, whereas on the slope this unit is represented by homogeneous sandy marlstone (Figs. **F15**, **F16**). However, at each site the location of the unit boundary is difficult to identify precisely because the

transition between units of this type is inevitably gradational and also because of low recovery near some unit boundaries (Fig. F17). At slope Site U1352, the homogenous sandy marlstone of Unit II changes abruptly into foraminifer-bearing nannofossil limestone of early Oligocene to late Eocene age. This hemipelagic to pelagic unit is correlative with the onshore Amuri Limestone.

The Unit I/II boundary generally conforms to the predicted depths of seismic sequence boundaries U10 and U12 (late Pliocene) in a zone between high-amplitude seismic reflections related to clinoforms with sharp shelf-slope transitions above and clinoforms with rounded shelf-slope transitions below. The exact location is not identical at each site, in part because of the gradational nature of the transition noted above.

One objective of drilling on the shelf was to test the hypothesis that these contrasting characteristics of sequence boundaries and seismic facies above and below ~U10 occur because the paleoshelves of U10 and the underlying sequence boundaries were not subaerially exposed at lowstand, whereas those above U10 may have been exposed, probably because of increasing eustatic amplitudes during the Pleistocene. The lithologic contrasts between Units I and II at shelf Sites U1351 and U1353 appear to broadly support this hypothesis, with the heterolithic sediments of Unit I representing deposition under conditions of fluctuating sea level since the mid-Pliocene. The fact that the transition is gradational also implies that the change in processes operating to produce these sediments is also gradational and not an abrupt change from one type of process that produces rounded shelf-slope breaks to one that produces sharp shelf-slope breaks.

The change in lithology between units is mirrored in the percentage of carbonate components as estimated from smear slides and thin sections and measured using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and coulometry. The carbonate percentage in Unit I is high and variable (Fig. F16). The carbonate percentage in Unit II at shelf sites is low and only variable at the top of the unit, whereas it is moderate and less variable at slope Site U1352 (Fig. F16). At shelf Site U1351, the carbonate percentage begins to increase downhole, which is a reflection of the shift of depositional environment toward the slope with depth at this site. At slope Site U1352, the carbonate percentage also increases downhole, reflecting a rise in oceanicity (planktonic foraminiferal abundance) of the depositional environment (Fig. F16). Subunit IIC and Unit III are both limestones, deposited in truly oceanic environments, with little to no terrigenous sediment input.

Potential sequence boundaries in cores

Shelf sites (U1351, U1353, and U1354)

Finer scale correlations between lithology and seismic interpretations are also possible. Correlation of specific lithologic features with seismic sequence boundaries will be improved by postcruise analysis, but some intriguing relationships can be drawn based on shipboard observations. Erosion surfaces and associated overlying sediments were identified near the predicted depths of seismic sequence boundaries at all sites (Figs. F18, F19). Identification is based on changes in lithologic composition, the presence of reworked sediments, and the nature of the contacts. Basal erosion surfaces were not always recovered—for example, in zones where recovery was poor because of the (inferred) presence of sand. In such cases, the surfaces were picked based on the presence of sand (or the assumption of washed-out sands) and correlation to wireline logs. Prefixes in the erosion surface numbering sequence link erosion surfaces to the sites at which they were identified (Figs. F18, F19), but these lithologic surfaces and associated sediments are believed to be correlative between sites across the transect.

Candidate sequence boundaries are best identified in lithologic Unit I at each site, where recovery was highest (Fig. F17). For example, the erosion surfaces of Unit I at Site U1351 are overlain by a series of lithofacies associations composed of upward-fining shelly sandy mud topped by regressive coarsening-upward sandy mud. Together, the package is provisionally interpreted as a transgressive wave-eroded ravinement surface overlain by a lag deposit corresponding to a transgressive systems tract. Subsequent highstand mud units are topped by the regressive coarsening-upward sediments. The facies assemblage includes decimeter- to centimeter-thick clay beds similar to those attributed to rapid deposition by flood events on modern shelves near sediment-rich fluvial systems. Truncated versions of this facies association, lacking the regressive highstand phase, occur in the uppermost 30 m at Site U1352, suggesting more frequent erosive episodes. There are more such truncated facies associations than there are seismic sequence boundaries, and it is therefore possible that some of the truncated facies associations may represent higher order cycles or autocyclicity related to migration of distributaries and/or shelf depocenters. The facies associations, both complete and truncated, are similar to those known from the Wanganui Basin, between North Island and South Island of New Zealand (Abbott and Carter, 1999; Saul et al., 1999; Abbott et al., 2005; Naish et al., 2005).

Unit I erosion surfaces at Site U1351 may be correlative with sequence boundaries U19–U11 (Fig. F19). The geometries of seismic sequence boundaries U19–U11, as well

as paleoenvironmental interpretations from biostratigraphy, show that these erosion surfaces developed on the mid- to outer shelf, where eustatic fluctuations had the most significant direct impact. In contrast, erosion Surface E8 and the underlying strata formed when the position of Site U1351 was on the outermost shelf, progressively migrating (downhole) to the upper slope. E8 is less sharp than E1–E7, and reworked sediments are more difficult to identify below E8.

No erosion surfaces were identified in Unit II at Site U1351, although cemented intervals occur, some of which may correlate with seismic sequence boundaries. Diagenetic changes begin in the lower part of Unit I (at ~150 m) with authigenic carbonate cements and limestone concretions. Unit II is dark greenish gray very fine sandy mud and greenish black to dark greenish gray mud. Shells are less frequent below ~350 m and are absent below ~890 m, probably reflecting the downhole shift from shelf to slope environments. The indurated clayey or sandy mud found in some core catchers in the lower part of the unit was drilling related, caused by frictional heating and baking by the XCB cutting shoe. However, other indurated intervals represent in situ carbonate cementation. These nodules or concretions are present throughout the unit, particularly below 750 m. These have no clear relationship to intervals of low recovery.

The facies associations noted at Site U1351 may also be present in lithologic Unit I at Site U1353, but they are not as prominent. The uppermost four erosion surfaces identified at Site U1353 correlate well with seismic sequence boundaries U19–U16 (Fig. F19). Correlations of other erosion surfaces and associated sediment packages at Site U1353 with seismic sequence boundaries remain tentative because of poor recovery (Fig. F17). Below 80 m, recovery at Site U1353, the most landward of the shelf sites, was either low or involved significant cave-in of overlying shell hash. The dominant facies is mud, interpreted mostly to represent a highstand facies. Sandy intervals represent transgressive or regressive periods, whereas the shell hash, even when muddy, probably formed as a transgressive shoreface deposit. Sediment recovered from Unit II was predominantly muddy, but recovery was low and other lithofacies may have been present. The mud suggests deposition on a shelf below the fair-weather wave base, and the lack of abundant shell debris suggests that the setting was more distal than it was during deposition of Unit I. The sediment source for terrigenous sediments of both Units I and II at Site U1353 appears to have been the Torlesse graywacke to the west. This contrasts with sediments in Unit II at outer shelf Site U1351 and Subunit IB and Unit II at slope Site U1352, which include a major contribution from the Otago schists to the south.

Site U1354 facies associations show close similarity with those of the other shelf Sites U1351 and U1353. Several potential unconformities are recognized within Unit I. Sequence boundaries U19–U16 were intersected in both Holes U1354A and U1354B at depths comparable to those predicted (Fig. F19). In many cases, more than one candidate surface in the core could correlate with seismically mapped surfaces. Correlations with seismic sequence boundaries therefore remain tentative, but good recovery in the uppermost 250 m at Site U1354 should allow for improved correlation between lithologic features and seismic sequence boundaries U19–U10. As at other sites, poor recovery in the lower part of the hole makes core-seismic correlation more difficult.

Slope Site (U1352)

A number of distinct surfaces and their associated sediments present at slope Site U1352 also have the potential to be resolvable by seismic profiles. Whereas some candidate sequence boundaries involve sharp basal contacts separating different lithologies, as at Site U1351, others fall within intervals containing several coarse-grained packages, so a specific sequence bounding surface may be difficult to pick. Coarse sandy intervals potentially correlate with seismic sequence boundaries U19–U16. These remain the best constrained boundaries. However, because of improved recovery at Site U1352 (Fig. F17), candidate slope erosional surfaces were also identified in all lithologic units down to and including the Marshall Paraconformity (Fig. F18).

Sedimentary cyclicity

Seismic sequences and Milankovitch cyclicity

The relationship between high-frequency Milankovitch sequences and longer, seismically resolvable sequences remains an active and unresolved area of research. Saul et al. (1999) showed that for the Wanganui Basin, classic fourth- and fifth-order cyclothems are grouped together into third-order cycles that were controlled by basin-wide tectonic rhythms. Alternatively, Miller et al. (2005) pointed out that some third-order cyclicity may be the manifestation of longer wavelength Milankovitch periodicities of 1.2 and 2.4 m.y. or of interference between these cycles.

Sequences drilled during Expedition 317 span the boundary between Milankovitch-scale cyclicity and longer period, third-order, seismically resolvable cycles: periods of most seismic sequences range from ~0.1 (seafloor to ~U17) to ~0.4 m.y. (U16–U7) (Table T2; Fig. F20). The two upper seismically delimited sequences on the Canterbury shelf (corresponding to the sequences overlying seismic sequence boundaries U18

and U19) almost certainly correspond to marine isotope Stage (MIS) 1–5 and MIS 6–7 sedimentary cycles based on the magnetic susceptibility (MS) and natural gamma ray (NGR) time series (Fig. F21). Erosion Surfaces E2–E4 at Site U1351 correlate in turn with seismic sequence boundaries U18–U16, respectively. Gamma ray trends between successive low gamma spikes in this interval show four cycles of a gradual upward increase followed by a decrease. These cycles correlate with fining- to coarsening-upward facies associations, which are formed by one cycle of transgression and regression (e.g., E2–E4 at Site U1352; Fig. F18). Based on biostratigraphic datums and the inferred position of the Brunhes/Matuyama boundary (~70 m at Site U1351), we can speculate that these sequence boundaries formed during periods of low global sea level and perhaps correlate with three of the four MIS 8, 10, 12, and 16 (Fig. F22). At Site U1354, a burrowed probable sequence boundary in the same interval that contains the Brunhes/Matuyama boundary corresponds to seismic sequence boundary U16. A ~0.3 m.y. gap that encompasses the Jaramillo normal chron, demonstrated on nannofossil evidence, corresponds to sequence boundary U15. Furthermore, other, older seismic sequence boundaries correspond to erosional gaps in the succession. Three distinct intervals of low gamma radiation and high resistivity in wireline logging data across the three shelf sites correspond well to the predicted depths of sequence boundaries U12, U11, and U10 (Fig. F23), which are also well resolved in preliminary synthetic seismograms generated from the logs. These features, which most frequently coincide with intervals of poor core recovery, appear to be associated with several meter thick sand, shell, and gravel beds that may be laterally continuous across the shelf.

Postcruise analysis, including improved age control for deeper sequence boundaries, will document and clarify the probable relationship between seismic sequences and Milankovitch cycles. Careful study will be needed to distinguish lithologic changes that correspond to genuine Milankovitch cyclicity from those that result from autocyclic variation within cycles, controlled by sedimentary changes of local significance. It is already clear that Expedition 317 data will provide important insights into the hierarchy of sedimentary cyclicity and into how and why certain cycles and/or periodicities are preferentially imaged using seismic data.

Late Quaternary to Holocene glacial–interglacial cycles

Expedition 317 shelf sites (landward to basinward: U1353, U1354, and U1351) provide highly significant high-recovery sections through the Holocene and late Quaternary for high-resolution study of recent glacial cycles in a continental shelf setting. The smaller scale cycles penetrated by Expedition 317 drilling are in part similar to

the Milankovitch-scale rhythms documented from nearby ODP Site 1119 (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1999a; Carter and Gammon, 2006) and also the New Jersey shelf (McHugh and Olson, 2002) and correspond to cycles with inferred lengths of 100,000 and 40,000 years. The seismic stratigraphy of the sequences deposited during the last (MIS 1–5) and penultimate (MIS 6–7) glacial–interglacial cycles on the Canterbury and Otago shelves has been described by Browne and Naish (2003) and Osterberg (2006).

Cycles drilled during Expedition 317 have differing sedimentary architectures dependant upon whether they were deposited seaward (Site U1352) or landward (Sites U1353 and U1354) of the inferred position of the last glacial lowstand shoreline (~125 m). Site U1351 at 122 m water depth is located near the lowstand shoreline. Cycles at slope Site U1352 were always seaward of the lowstand shoreline. The main sedimentary facies association on the slope is composed of a sharp basal contact with sand-filled *Thalassinoides* burrows overlain by a few decimeters of shelly sand that grades upward to sandy mud. Similar cycles at nearby Site 1119 were shown to contain temperate-water planktonic foraminifers in the basal sand (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1999a) with a colder water microfauna in the intervening muds; these facts are consistent with each such cycle representing a full interglacial–glacial rhythm (though perhaps with a diastem of a few thousand years represented by the sharp, burrowed base to the sand units). These rhythms are interpreted as resulting from oscillations in flow intensity of cold-water SAMW, perhaps linked also to migrations of the Southland (Subtropical) Front across the site (Carter et al., 2004b). The rhythms also have to be influenced by terrestrial sediment supply, which is likely highest at lowstands and minimal to absent during highstands.

Glacial–interglacial deposits landward of the position of the lowstand shoreline are well developed in the upper parts of Sites U1353 and U1354. They too are composed of a sharp and sometimes burrow-penetrated base, inferred to sometimes represent a shoreface ravinement surface, overlain by well-sorted sands up to several meters thick that grade rapidly up into sandy siltstone and siltstone. A pedogenic profile may be preserved below one or more of the ravinement surfaces that coincide with preexisting sequence boundaries; if confirmed, this would be an unusual circumstance because virtually all of the Pleistocene sequence boundaries in the onland Wanganui Basin are characterized by sharp (albeit often burrowed) marine ravinement surfaces cut during transgression, and only one soil profile has been recorded (Abbott, 1992).

Some basal sandy units have a lower shelly portion or possess a shell-hash facies similar to the Type A transgressive shellbeds described from mid-Pleistocene cyclothems in the onland Wanganui Basin (Abbott and Carter, 1994). The associated molluscan faunas are firmly indicative of a proximal shoreface–beach environment for the sandy and shelly units (*Austrodosinia*, *Phacosoma*, *Anchomasa*, *Maoricolpus*, and *Alcithoe*), and a distal shoreface–inner shelf environment (*Atrina*, *Dosinia lambata*, *Divaricella*, *Mao-ri-mactra*, *Scalpomactra*, *Antisolarium*, and *Stiracolpus*) for the slightly deeper water siltstones.

Overall, the sedimentary cycles penetrated in the upper parts of Sites U1353 and U1534 show striking similarities to Pleistocene cyclothems described from the Wanganui Basin. Though in detail individual cycles display differing sedimentary architectures, which await full description and interpretation, elements of the Castlecliff motif (Abbott and Carter, 1999; Saul et al., 1999) and the Birdgrove and Maxwell motifs (Abbott et al., 2005) may all be represented.

Located close to the position of the lowstand shoreline, Site U1351 displays cyclicity characteristic of the more shoreward facies associations in its upper part and of the upper slope facies associations deeper in the hole. However, the uppermost cycles at Site U1351 are thicker and represent deeper water mud facies settings than those of their shelf counterparts at Sites U1353 and U1354, as would be expected. Some Site U1351 cycles coarsen upward into sands before being truncated by the superjacent sequence boundary, in similar fashion to Pliocene cycles described from the Wanganui Basin (Naish et al., 2005).

Sedimentary processes

One goal of Expedition 317 was to provide insights into the role of contour current deposition in a location where prominent sediment drift geometries are absent. Slope Site U1352 is most relevant to this question, but the deeper parts of Site U1351 also sampled slope facies between sequence boundaries U6–U8.

Northeasterly flowing currents (Southland Current, inboard of the Subtropical Front, and a gyre of the Subantarctic Front) are active today. At present, the core of the Southland Current is over the ~300 m isobath (Chiswell, 1996). The local gyre of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current circulates clockwise within the head of Bounty Trough parallel to the Southland Current to at least 900 m (Fig. F2) (Morris et al., 2001). The sediment drifts in the northern part of the Canterbury Bight, as well as outcrop evi-

dence of current-related deposition, suggest that similar currents have been active for the last 30 m.y.

Early Pliocene and late Miocene nannofossil assemblages at Site U1351 contain reworked older material, some as old as Oligocene, but mainly early to middle Miocene. The downhole increase in reworking began while paleowater depths were still relatively shallow (inner to mid-shelf) and before the downhole transition from shelf to upper slope facies, between sequence boundaries U8 and U7 (~725 m), where paleowater depths became more equivalent to those influenced by modern ocean currents. Nanofossils are small and easily transported. Reworked nannofossils at Site U1351 may have been reworked from the Campbell Plateau, where Miocene sediment is shallowly buried and may be exposed. This suggests an along-strike component of deposition.

A nearly complete Neogene continental slope sedimentary record dominated by pelagic to hemipelagic sedimentation with minor traction and gravity flow sediments and, below the Marshall Paraconformity, a condensed late Eocene to early Oligocene section was cored at slope Site U1352. This site represents a downhole record from unlithified sediments to lithified carbonates at depth. The gradual downward transition in lithofacies from more siliciclastic-rich Quaternary muddy facies into pelagic limestones and glauconitic marlstones and marls appears to reflect the downhole transition, seen on seismic profiles, from an upper slope location on a clinoformal margin with sharp shelf-slope breaks in the Quaternary toward a toe-of-slope location on a margin with more rounded shelf-slope breaks and more gently inclined slope in the Miocene (Fig. F14). The lower carbonate content in the upper part of this interval may be linked to higher terrigenous input, related to the uplift of the Southern Alps and/or Neogene climate change.

Subunit IA at Site U1352 may be composed of sediment gravity flows that fed a series of lowstand deltas. Evidence for this lies in the composition of the sediments. Gray sand beds of Subunit IA have a Torlesse terrane mineralogy, indicating that they derive from the Canterbury region. This differs from the rest of Site U1352 (Subunit IB and below) and Site U1351, which appear to be predominantly sourced from the Otago schist to the south. Subunit IB also seems likely to contain evidence of mass transport deposition, although the equivalent interval at ODP Site 1119 was interpreted as contourites. Subunit IB may be a transitional (downhole) downslope to drift interval culminating in Subunit IC, which shows evidence (well-sorted, very fine

grained sand fraction) consistent with a considerable transport distance and seismic evidence (strike profile) of sediment drift deposition.

Unit II is dominantly calcareous (sandy marl and marlstone). Hemipelagic to pelagic sediments of Subunit IIA (709–1189 m) may have been deposited as sediment drifts, based primarily on seismic evidence downslope and along strike (Fig. F14): diagnostic sedimentary structures are rare, in part because of extensive bioturbation. More calcareous lithologies may represent condensed intervals within the drifts. The increasing frequency of calcareous intervals toward the base of Subunit IIA may reflect reduced rates of terrigenous deposition near clinoform toes.

Subunit IIB (1189–1694 m) contains intermittent current-generated structures (wavy laminations and ripple cross laminations) interpreted as contourites. An alternation of light-colored marlstone with darker mudstone suggests switching between more hemipelagic carbonate (highstand?) sources and terrigenous (lowstand?) sources. Subunit IIB lithologies suggest a complex interplay between along-strike and down-slope sedimentary processes. Subunit IIB also contains mass transport complexes (slumping) near its base.

Subunit IIC marlstones and limestones lack traction features, suggesting pelagic deposition from suspension in deep water. Micropaleontology indicates a lower bathyal setting. The intercalated glauconitic sandstone laminae characteristic of this unit are inferred to be injected sands derived from the underlying Oligocene greensand (Kekenodon Group), which was not recovered at this site. The Marshall Paraconformity (see below) forms the boundary between Units II and III. Unit III is Amuri Formation–equivalent pelagic nannofossil limestone deposited in lower bathyal depths.

Marshall Paraconformity

The Marshall Paraconformity is a regional unconformity terminating the postrift transgressive phase and capping the widespread Amuri limestone formation. The paraconformity has been dated at its onshore type section using strontium isotopes as representing a hiatus of 32.4–29 Ma (Fulthorpe et al., 1996), where it occurs at the base of mid–late Oligocene cross-bedded glauconitic sand (Concord Formation) and calcarenite limestone (Weka Pass Formation). The paraconformity was the deepest target of Expedition 317 drilling and is hypothesized to represent intensified current erosion or nondeposition associated with the initiation of thermohaline circulation (Deep Western Boundary Current) and associated shallower circulation upon the

opening of the seaway between Antarctica and Australia (~33.7 Ma), prior to the opening of the Drake Passage (Carter, 1985; Fulthorpe et al., 1996; Carter et al., 2004c).

The Marshall Paraconformity is represented by a lithologic change at 1853 m in Hole U1352B, requiring a record penetration for a Deep Sea Drilling Program (DSDP)/ODP/IODP hole drilled during a single expedition (total depth was 1927 m). The paraconformity marks the boundary between overlying lower Miocene glauconitic limestone and underlying lower Oligocene recrystallized pelagic nannofossil limestone containing trace fossils and stylolites, equivalent to the onshore Amuri Formation. Recovery was low across the paraconformity, which is represented by a decimeter-scale rubble zone in recovered core. No equivalent to the Concord Formation glauconitic sand was recovered, although logs from the Clipper-1 exploration well suggest that a boundary sand layer is present. The hiatus at the paraconformity is estimated to be 11–12 m.y. at Site U1352, conforming with previous observations that the hiatus is longer offshore than at the type section, perhaps owing to greater current-induced erosion at such deeper water locations (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1999a; Carter et al., 2004c). Hole U1352B terminated in upper Eocene (35.2–36.6 Ma) limestone with clayey interbeds.

Drilling results indicate that the Marshall Paraconformity correlates with the reflection named “Green” by industry interpreters (e.g., Hawkes and Mound, 1984). Our original interpretations assumed a correlation with a reflection that is ~160 m shallower than “Green.” This, in turn, means that “Green” does not represent the base of the Amuri Formation limestone, as was previously inferred.

Biostratigraphy

Expedition 317 cored subtidal to lower bathyal sediments of Holocene to late Eocene age (0–36 Ma) in a transect across the Canterbury Basin continental shelf and slope. Sequence stratigraphic processes influenced calcareous nannofossil and planktonic foraminiferal assemblages, and this is strongly reflected in the overall abundance, preservation, and assemblage variations in relation to lithology. These variations may have been influenced by a number of factors, including global climate, local paleoceanography, changes in sea level, and tectonic uplift along the Alpine Fault. In general, Expedition 317 age assignments relied on nannofossils from the Holocene to middle Pliocene and planktonic foraminifers from the early Pliocene to late Miocene. Both fossil groups were integral for biostratigraphic control from the middle Miocene

to Eocene. The following biostratigraphy and resulting interpretations are described in the order that sequences were drilled, from youngest to oldest.

Holocene

The Holocene was not identified biostratigraphically, but based on lithologic evidence, the thickness of Holocene sediments decreased oceanward, from a maximum of 9.9 m at the innermost shelf Site U1353 to 1.2 m at slope Site U1352. Mudline samples from Sites U1352, U1353, and U1354 contained temperate planktonic foraminiferal assemblages consistent with the Holocene highstand and the present-day landward position of these sites relative to the Southland Front.

Pleistocene

Calcareous nannofossil dating provided robust age control through the Pleistocene and contributed to the identification of several intra-Pleistocene hiatuses at all three shelf sites. The hiatuses potentially correspond to seismically mapped sequence boundaries (Fig. F20). Calcareous nannofossil abundance appears to be cyclic. Maximum abundances are thought to be correlated with highstands and lowest abundances with lowstands. This is consistent with the observed lithologic variations. In general, greenish gray sandy marls are associated with high numbers of calcareous nannofossils and warm-water populations, whereas gray sandy muds are associated with low numbers of nannofossils and cool-water populations. The Pleistocene section at slope Site U1352 is expanded relative to the shelf sites, and the hiatuses that were seen at the shelf sites were either absent or less pronounced at Site U1352.

Planktonic foraminiferal assemblages through the Pleistocene sections of shelf sites U1351, U1353, and U1354 are generally characterized by low abundances and small, thin-walled neritic forms typical of deposition under inner neritic conditions (Fig. F24). The low abundances are consistent with an offshore westerly wind pattern, similar to that of the present day. However, higher abundances indicative of deposition under outer neritic or extra-neritic conditions were noted in some samples, especially greenish gray sandy marls. These assemblages included larger temperate forms, whereas interbedded gray calcareous muds were dominated by smaller cold-water forms, including the subantarctic species *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma*. Similar alternations between temperate and cold-water assemblages were noted throughout the Pleistocene succession. Age-diagnostic planktonic foraminifers that need deep water to complete their reproductive cycle were generally rare, which contributed to the poor planktonic foraminiferal dating through the Pleistocene. Planktonic foramini-

fers were better represented at slope Site U1352 (Fig. F24), but the temperate species that facilitate a robust correlation with the New Zealand timescale were relatively rare and were generally only found in greenish gray sandy marls. There were few age-diagnostic species in intervals of gray calcareous mud.

Pliocene

The Pliocene/Pleistocene boundary falls within an unconformity at all four sites and is a major correlation surface that falls close to the predicted depth of sequence boundary U13 (Table T2). Biostratigraphic evidence indicates that most, if not all, of the upper Pliocene is missing. The thickness of the Pliocene succession is poorly constrained at the innermost shelf Site U1353, but at middle shelf Site U1354 the middle Pliocene is 50 m thick and the lower Pliocene is at least 185 m thick. At the outermost shelf Site U1351, where the Pliocene is represented by a progradational succession, the middle and lower Pliocene sections are 100 and 580 m thick, respectively. The same progradational succession at slope Site U1352 is 390 and 380 m thick, respectively, for the middle and lower Pliocene sections.

The standard calcareous nannofossil zonation schemes (typically derived from mid to low latitudes) could not be applied to the Pliocene section at any site in spite of the fact that other scientific drilling expeditions at even higher latitudes found the standard zonal markers and were able to apply the schemes. This is attributed to the relatively cold (not warmer than temperate) oceanographic setting of the Canterbury Basin. Age-diagnostic planktonic foraminifers associated with the *Globoconella spherioconiozea-puncticulata-inflata* group are common throughout the Pliocene section of slope Site U1352 and the lowermost Pliocene section of outer shelf Site U1351. This, in combination with calcareous nannofossils and seismic stratigraphy, provided age control through the Pliocene sections of the shallower shelf Sites U1353 and U1354.

At Site U1351, planktonic abundances increase downhole throughout the lower Pliocene section below 590 m, marking a downhole change from shelfal to slope sedimentation and reflecting oceanward migration of the shelf break over the site. Significantly, a major influx of reworked late–middle Miocene calcareous nannofossils occurs in this same interval. The absence of standard zonal markers in the reworked calcareous nannofossil assemblage indicates that it must have come from a cold, southerly source. This has implications concerning the role of along-strike sediment transport, the focus of which appears to be at the shelf break.

Similar increases in planktonic foraminiferal abundance related to slope deposition and reworking of Miocene calcareous nannofossils were not seen in the Pliocene sections of the shallower shelf sites. In fact, the Pliocene–?upper Miocene section of the most landward Site U1353 is largely devoid of microfossils, except for occasional pulses of calcareous nannofossils and planktonic foraminifers.

Miocene

Upper Miocene sediments were recovered at all shelf Sites U1351, U1352, and U1353, although the dating and subdivision of the Miocene was very poorly constrained at the latter site. The Miocene/Pliocene boundary occurs between sequence boundaries U7 and U6 at all three sites and appears not to fall within an unconformity.

The reworking of calcareous nannofossils noted in the lower Pliocene section of Site U1351 continues through the 204 m thick progradational upper Miocene slope section at the same site, except the age of the reworked material ranges from middle Miocene to Oligocene. The continuity of the upper Miocene section at this site is interrupted by a major hiatus between sequence boundaries U6 and U5, where a gap of ~5 m.y. exists between 5.6 and 10.6 Ma (Table T2).

At slope Site U1352, the progradational upper Miocene section is 220 m thick and overlies a 190 m thick middle Miocene section and a 170 m thick lower Miocene section. Deposition at this site occurred in a lower to basal slope environment under oceanic conditions (Fig. F24). The high abundance of planktonic foraminifers and the presence of bolboformids contributed to reliable dating at this site, although preservation was generally poor, especially in intervals of cemented sandy marl. Calcareous nannofossil assemblages in the Miocene section of Site U1352 include pulses of reworking, but the total amount of reworked material is less than that at Site U1351. This means that approximate calcareous nannofossil ages can be assigned, even without zonal markers of the *Reticulofenestra* lineage. The major hiatus recognized between sequence boundaries U6 and U5 in the lower upper Miocene section at Site U1351 was also recognized at Site U1352, although it occurs just above rather than below sequence boundary U6. This suggests that the seismic mapping of boundary U6 needs to be reviewed. A significant hiatus was also noted between the middle and upper Miocene at Site U1352, where at least 1.3 m.y. was missing. The same hiatus may also occur in the lowermost part of Site U1353, although the poorly constrained dating at this site reduces the reliability of the correlation. A marked change to warmer planktonic foraminiferal and calcareous nannofossil assemblages occurs in the lower Miocene, below the level of the hiatus. This coincides with the return of standard

nannofossil zonal markers in the lower Miocene. Another major hiatus associated with the Marshall Paraconformity was recognized at the base of the Miocene succession, where ~12 m.y. was missing. At ODP Site 1123, on the deep northeast slope of Chatham Rise, ~1000 km north-northeast of Site U1352, a similar amount of time is missing at the Marshall Paraconformity (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1999).

Oligocene to Eocene

Slope Site U1352 was the only site at which Oligocene and Eocene sediments were recovered. Microfossil preservation is generally poor in this interval, but good age control was still possible using calcareous nannofossils and planktonic foraminifers. The very high abundances of planktonic foraminifers throughout this succession are consistent with basin floor deposition under an open oceanic water mass (Fig. F23). The Oligocene/Miocene boundary falls within the Marshall Paraconformity, with a hiatus extending from lower Miocene (18–19 Ma) to lower Oligocene (30.1–32.0 Ma), a gap of ~12 m.y. The Eocene/Oligocene boundary falls within a hiatus of ~2.3 m.y. from the lower Oligocene (32.5–32.9 Ma) to the upper Eocene (35.2–36.0 Ma), based on calcareous nannofossil evidence (Fig. F20). The age at the bottom of the hole is 35.2–36.0 Ma.

Oceanicity

Planktonic foraminiferal abundance provides a measure of the overlying oceanicity and essentially serves as a measure of the distance from land in paleogeographic reconstructions. Test size, diversity, and the composition of the planktonic foraminiferal component of foraminiferal assemblages also serve as proxies for oceanicity.

Oceanicity records from the Expedition 317 sites are markedly different, except in the Pleistocene sections of the three shelf sites, where significant high-amplitude fluctuations in planktonic abundance were noted (Fig. F24). Peaks in abundance in this interval may correspond to highstands and could potentially be correlated across the shelf.

The Pliocene to Miocene succession of landward shelf Site U1353 is characterized by an almost total absence of planktonic foraminifers (Fig. F24), which is unusual even in such a shallow marine environment and is in marked contrast with the continental shelf in the Taranaki Basin, where planktonic foraminifers are relatively common. This difference is attributed to the prevailing westerly wind pattern, which in Taranaki drives planktonics inshore and in Canterbury drives them offshore. Turbid

water over the Canterbury shelf, related to high-volume river discharge, may also have contributed to the paucity of planktonics.

The Pliocene–Pleistocene record of oceanicity at shelf Site U1354 is similar to the age equivalent succession at Site U1353, except planktonic abundances are slightly higher (Fig. F24). This is consistent with the site being farther from land and closer to the shelf break.

Planktonic abundances throughout the lower Pliocene to Miocene succession of outermost shelf Site U1351 increase from inner neritic to suboceanic values (Fig. F24). The onset of the downhole increase in the lower Pliocene occurred when the shelf break was located near the site. The subsequent increase in oceanicity below this level suggests the site was located more oceanward of the shelf break and more distal from land. This trend is also reflected in the sizes of tests and the diversity of planktonic foraminiferal assemblages. Second-order high-amplitude perturbations in the oceanicity record of the slope succession may be related to changes in sea level, although more detailed sampling is needed.

The abundance of planktonic foraminifers at slope Site U1352 generally increase downhole from suboceanic values in the Pleistocene to fully oceanic values in the Oligocene (Fig. F24). This is consistent with deposition on the mid- to lower slope and possibly the basin floor in the lowermost part of the succession. It also indicates that the site was always located oceanward of the shelf break.

Benthic foraminifers and paleowater depths

Although the abundances of benthic foraminifers vary, they constitute the major microfossil component within the 150–1000 μm size fraction at all sites. The preservation of benthic foraminifers is generally good in the Pleistocene at all sites, becomes poor to moderate in the Pliocene and Miocene at shelf Sites U1353, U1354, and U1351, and is poor in the Oligocene and Eocene at slope Site U1352.

The Pleistocene assemblage is composed of mainly inner to outer shelfal species at shelf Sites U1351, U1353, and U1354 and outer shelf to upper bathyal species at slope Site U1352. Subtidal to inner shelfal assemblages alternate with mid–outer shelfal assemblages at shelf sites, implying cyclic changes in water depth. General trends of deepening or shallowing can be provisionally correlated with some seismic sequence boundaries (Fig. F25). Pliocene assemblages at the shelf sites are similar to those of the Pleistocene, with marker species suggesting slightly deeper shelfal environments

oceanward across the transect. Several benthic foraminifers are also useful for dating, especially in the Pleistocene section at the shelf sites.

In spite of the poor preservation and rarity of earliest Pliocene to latest Miocene benthic foraminifers at slope Site U1352, assemblages suggest a downhole decrease in shelfal species and an increase in upper bathyal marker species. Deep mid- to deep lower bathyal species are the main components in the middle Miocene. Water depths at slope Site U1352 have therefore shallowed from lower bathyal to uppermost bathyal since the middle Miocene as the shelf-slope sediment prism prograded out into the basin (Fig. F25). Early Miocene and Oligocene benthic foraminifers are too rare for reliable estimation of paleowater depths.

Age-depth plots

Age-depth plots (Figs. F26, F27, F28, F29) were created for each site using biostratigraphic datums, New Zealand stage determinations based on foraminiferal assemblages, and paleomagnetic reversal data at Site U1354. At all sites, age control data points were spaced too far apart to determine sedimentation rates with accuracy; however, average sedimentation rates were calculated over the gross intervals between major hiatuses, where the rate appeared to remain constant (dashed lines on the graphs). These rates may be averaged over unresolved hiatuses, so the relatively low numbers may actually represent high rates of sedimentation separated by periods of non-deposition and/or erosion.

The pattern of sedimentation rates across the sites indicates relatively slow deposition during the early to middle Miocene (data mainly from slope Site U1352; Fig. F29), with one or more hiatuses in the late Miocene, dividing this succession from a latest Miocene to Pliocene period of rapid deposition across both shelf sites (data from Sites U1354, U1351, and U1352; Figs. F27, F28, F29).

Note that the benthic foraminiferal datums included in the graphs are in some cases likely to be strongly affected by environmental changes. An example of this effect is the highest occurrence of *Hopkinsina mioindex* at 1220 m in Hole U1352C (Fig. F29). This datum (3.62 Ma) appears displaced from the rest of the data points in this zone, perhaps because the species occurs only in water depths greater than ~1000 m. This highest occurrence is therefore actually an indication that water depths in the depositional environment reached 1000 m at this horizon.

Hiatuses were identified by the biostratigraphy group based on the occurrence of multiple datums at a single stratigraphic level, as well as on “softer” data such as sudden changes in abundance, preservation, and faunal assemblages. Sometimes these hiatuses corresponded with lithologic changes or surfaces identified in the cores, but more often low recovery meant that unconformity surfaces were not recovered. The exception is Site U1354, where several sharp lithologic changes were recovered in intervals where biostratigraphy identified hiatuses; the good recovery allowed the identification of two unconformity surfaces between 60 and 80 m (Fig. F27), whereas at other sites only one unconformity was definitely identified. Otherwise, the unconformities first identified at Site U1351 were also identified at other sites, particularly a mid-Pleistocene unconformity (two surfaces at Site U1354), an unconformity including the Pliocene/Pleistocene boundary (identified at all sites), and a late Miocene unconformity (or possibly two, identified at Site U1352).

Porosity and backstripping

One of the goals of Expedition 317 was to provide the data necessary to allow quantitative analysis of subsidence and, ultimately, sea level change in the Canterbury Basin using two-dimensional backstripping. In addition to paleoenvironment and age data, backstripping requires an estimate of the history of sediment compaction. Porosity measurements reveal variations in compaction of mixed, largely terrigenous sediments as a function of both burial depth and location across the shelf to the upper slope (Fig. F30).

All sites experience rapid compaction in the upper several tens of meters, suggesting a rapid change from loosely to closely packed grains. Beneath this is a zone in which little porosity loss occurs with depth. The thickness of this zone increases from the middle shelf to the upper slope (Fig. F30). This zone is all but absent at landward shelf Site U1353, where compaction is most rapid as a function of depth. At upper slope Site U1352, two overlapping compaction trends were observed (Fig. F30). The coarser grained facies display rapid cementation and porosity loss, whereas interbedded finer grained sediments remain unlithified to greater depths and lose their porosity more slowly. The improved understanding of sediment compaction and diagenesis that arises from these data will be incorporated into backstripping models.

Geochemistry

The transect of the four coring sites drilled during Expedition 317 provided the opportunity to study pore fluid and sediment geochemistry in contrasting settings, from mid-shelf to upper slope sediments spanning water depths of 85–344 m. This contrasts with the majority of scientific ocean drilling sites, most of which involve coring in water depths from 1500 to 5000 m. Shelf sediments display variability imposed by glacial–interglacial changes in sea level and changes in conditions associated with discontinuities in sedimentation, including subaerial emergence, uplift, and erosion. These conditions introduce complications such as missing section and major changes in variables such as temperature and pressure that affect the ephemeral properties of pore fluids.

Many of the geochemical processes studied in the shipboard geochemistry program are driven by the microbially mediated remineralization of organic matter buried with the sediments. In addition, other processes are seen to advance with increasing burial depth as unstable mineral assemblages are reorganized by dissolution and precipitation. By sampling and analysis at successive core depths, we attempted to piece together the dominant geochemical processes that have taken place in the sediments. The analyses are grouped conveniently by gas, liquid, and solid.

Gas and shallow biogeochemical zones

During Expedition 317, cores at all four sites were routinely sampled for traces of residual dissolved gas, both as a hydrocarbon safety procedure and as a sensitive technique to determine the status of the microbial and thermal degradation of organic matter. Combined with high-resolution water samples (taken in every section of recovered cores), this approach led to profiles covering the biogeochemical zones of sulfate reduction, anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM), and methanogenesis at all sites in great detail (Fig. [F31](#)).

Sites U1351 and U1352 are located farther offshore and show similar geochemical profiles that are distinct from Sites U1353 and U1354. At both sites a classic sulfate–methane transition (SMT) zone is located at ~15–16 m. In sediments below the SMT, sulfate is virtually absent and methane concentrations increase dramatically from background levels in the low ppmv range to >10,000 ppmv. The alkalinity at the SMT maximizes at 10 and 24 mM for Site U1351 and U1352, respectively. The apparent levels of carbon oxidized and the low levels of ammonium and phosphate generated at Site U1351 suggest that sulfate reduction is primarily fueled by AOM, whereas at

Site U1352 both AOM and organic matter oxidation are coupled with the removal of sulfate. Residual methane concentrations below the SMT remain constant in the range of 10,000–20,000 ppmv for both sites, approximately equivalent to 4–8 mM of methane per liter of pore volume, which probably represents only 5%–10% of the actual subsurface dissolved gas contents. However, ethane levels (shown by the methane/ethane or C_1/C_2 ratio) vary significantly. C_1/C_2 at Site U1351 shows the expected gradual increase with depth and temperature, but C_1/C_2 ratios at shallow depths are unusually low (400–600) for sediments at such shallow burial depth. This suggests some combination of higher temperatures associated with warmer bottom waters, some removal of sediment at unconformities, preferential loss of methane by anaerobic oxidation, or gas loss from sands during core recovery and sampling. At Site U1352, which is located farther offshore on the slope, gas just below the SMT has a C_1/C_2 ratio of 16,000, which is lower than most deepwater coring sites. The average C_1/C_2 ratio decreased by three orders of magnitude to 10–60 at the bottom of Hole U1352C at 1927 m. At an apparent discontinuity near 1395 m, only very low gas content was found (40 ppmv methane) and C_1/C_2 dropped as low as 7, mainly from the near absence of methane. Below the discontinuity the gas resumed the normal trend of residual methane contents (>10,000 ppmv). At both Sites U1351 and U1352 the higher hydrocarbons (C_3 – C_5) were detected in increasing amounts with depth, apparent products of the low-temperature alteration of organic matter in the deeper cores.

Sites U1353 and U1354 are located on the shelf in water depths of 85 and 120 m, respectively. Both sites are characterized by the absence, or low levels, of methanogenic activity in the uppermost 150 m, leading to low methane concentrations. At Site U1353 no hydrocarbons above background levels were detected, and sulfate remains close to seawater concentration with the exception of slightly decreased sulfate in a lower salinity interval at 20–70 m. No SMT is apparent, suggesting that either (1) methanogenesis did not occur in the sediments, (2) previously generated methane was lost when the shelf was emergent, or (3) methane was oxidized when sulfate was replenished by diffusion after a subsequent sea level rise. Alkalinity never rises above 6.5 mM and declines gradually with depth.

Hydrocarbon monitoring at Site U1354 showed two peaks in methane, a small peak (23 ppmv) at 33–75 m, and a large peak below ~200 m, where methane increased to >20,000 ppmv. In both cases methane begins to increase when sulfate drops to zero, and the shallow methane peak disappears when sulfate reappears in the cores. These alternations of methanogenesis appear to be related to changes in sedimentation rate. The upper and lower methane zones correspond to periods of rapid sedimentation

(200–400 m/m.y.) in which sulfate was depleted and methane was generated. The intermediate interval (60–200 m) corresponds to periods of slower sedimentation (<50 m/m.y.) when pore waters could be replenished with sulfate by diffusion from overlying seawater.

Interstitial water geochemistry

Interstitial water (IW) was squeezed from sediments through most of the sedimentary sequence for the three shelf sites, but only in the uppermost 1400 m of slope Site U1352. At this site, pore water yield decreased from ~3–5 mL/cm of whole round squeezed in the shallower sediments to <1 mL/cm below 1200 m, reflecting progressive compaction, porosity and water loss, and the general sediment to rock transformation. Pore waters in the Canterbury Basin have quite variable properties depending on site location on the transect.

Below the sulfate reduction zone calcium always increases with depth, but at different rates and to different values (Fig. F32). At Sites U1353 and U1354, the increase occurs mainly in the uppermost 200 m and reaches only moderate values (<20 mM), whereas at Sites U1351 and U1352 the calcium increase is noticeably sharp (at ~250 and 600 m, respectively) and reaches values of 30 mM and higher at the base of Site U1351. These variations are likely related to carbonate diagenesis, and possibly contributions from deeper basinal brines, and are broadly consistent with dissolution of carbonates and the poor preservation of microfossils. More particularly, there is evidently some exchange of magnesium for calcium in the dissolution and reprecipitation of carbonates during diagenesis at Sites U1351 and U1352, as indicated by the greater declines in the Mg/Ca ratio and the higher carbonate content at these sites.

Alkalinity, mainly as bicarbonate ion produced by oxidation of organic matter, always decreases with depth below the sulfate reduction zone and generally reaches values <3 mM below 200 m, although this transition, together with the increase in calcium, occurs deeper at ~500 m at slope Site U1352.

Chloride and salinity generally co-vary, but there are other controls on sodium content. The salinity and chloride content of the pore waters remains constant and near seawater composition throughout slope Site U1352, except for the deepest sample, which has a significantly lower chloride content. At Site U1351 the chloride becomes more concentrated than seawater between 50 and 200 m and again below 900 m. The highest salinity and chloride values are found at Site U1354, where they increase throughout the sequence and reach values of 3.8 and 695 mM, respectively. The deep-

est samples from Site U1353 are also the most saline, but this site is also characterized by a salinity minimum in the uppermost 150 m that reaches 2.4 mM or 70% of seawater at ~50 m. This less saline lens affects the pore water composition of several other ions, including sodium, potassium, and magnesium (Fig. F32). The presence of this less saline lens could be explained by either modern intrusion of meteoric water from land or by the historic remains of freshwater, emplaced when the shelf was emergent and now being slowly replaced by the downward diffusion of seawater. This less saline water lens is not present at the more seaward Sites U1354 and U1351. Water depths are 85 m at Site U1353, compared with 110 m at Site U1354 and 122 m at Site U1351. Global sea level was about 125 m below today's sea level at the last glacial maximum about 20,000 y ago, so both Sites U1353 and U1354 should have experienced periods of complete emergence. Therefore, the lack of less saline water at Site U1354 and its presence at Site U1353 are more likely explained by the modern intrusion of meteoric water from land, rather than by the historic remains of freshwater emplaced when the shelf was emergent.

Potassium concentrations decrease with depth at all four sites, starting from slightly higher than seawater values of ~11 mM in the shallowest samples (Fig. F32). The decrease is rapid to <6 mM within the uppermost 150 m burial at the shelf sites but is much more complex at the slope site, with an initial decrease in the sulfate reduction zone, an increase back to seawater values, and finally a steady decrease to <4 mM below 800 m (Fig. F32). The decrease in potassium, and to some extent sodium, is likely related to diagenetic glauconite precipitation, which is a common mineral at all four sites and usually appears around the depth of the potassium drawdown.

Silica and silicon concentrations are always <1 μM and generally show rapid fluctuations in abundance in the uppermost 100 m. At the slope site this variability persists throughout the section with IW samples, but silica and silicon are more consistent and less abundant below 150 m. At Site U1351 silicon concentration levels are ~400–500 μM , whereas they are ~200 μM at nearer shore sites. This suggests that silica mobilization and precipitation is more active nearer to shore, consistent with the lesser carbonate and greater clastic component at these sites.

Lithium concentrations generally increase with depth at all four sites, with very smooth profiles to values of <100 μM at Sites U1353 and U1354 and more complex patterns and higher overall concentrations at the two more distal sites. The lithium increases may be caused by dehydration reactions removing lithium from clay inter-layer exchange sites and in some cases are related to lithologic changes.

Barium concentrations increase rapidly in the shallowest samples (<40 m), and then generally remain steady until >250 m, below which they increase. At Sites U1353 and U1354 this increase never exceeds 10 μM , but at Site U1352 barium concentration is much higher (>20 μM) in the samples below 500 m. Site U1351 has intermediate barium concentrations in the deeper sediments (5–10 μM) but higher abundance (as high as 23 μM) in the four shallowest samples, which so far remains unexplained.

Boron concentrations also increase with depth at all four sites, but to different values. Boron reaches ~0.6 μM in the deepest sample (586 m) at Site U1353, ~1.25 μM at 320 m at Site U1354, and maximum values of 4–5 μM at Sites U1351 and U1352. Thus there is a trend of higher boron farther offshore and deeper in the cores, which may be consistent with a diagenetic opal-A/opal-CT transition and microbial degradation of organic matter.

Sediment geochemistry

The sediments cored at Site U1351 show marked differences in geochemistry with depth, with higher carbonate, higher nitrogen, and lower sulfur above 200 m (Fig. F33). Organic carbon contents range from 0.3 to 1.5 wt%, with more frequent higher values in the uppermost 200 m. Pyrolysis characterization suggests the organic matter is dominated by degraded higher plant debris.

Analyses of sediment samples at Site U1352 also distinguished the clay-rich lithologic Unit I from the carbonate-dominated Unit II. Organic carbon content was generally low (<0.6 wt%), with only a few samples having >1% total organic carbon (TOC) (Fig. F33). The character of the organic matter changes from relatively labile volatile material in the shallower sediments to more stable proto-kerogen downhole, with evidence for increasing thermal maturity at total depth. The organic matter appears to be mainly terrestrial plant in origin.

At Site U1353 the average carbonate content is low (0.5–2 wt%) and decreases with depth (Fig. F33). The decrease of TOC over the uppermost 100 m can be correlated with the intervals of increased alkalinity and decreased sulfate and might represent active biological oxidation. Pyrolysis characterization of organic matter suggests a major contribution of terrestrial plants, whereas C/N ratios from elemental analysis are consistent with a significant marine influence.

Only 18 sediment samples were analyzed at Site U1354 for carbonate content and by the elemental analyzer due to time constraints at the end of the expedition. Calcium

carbonate contents range from 1.3 to 52 wt% in the sediments analyzed down to burial depths of 81 m. Organic carbon ranges from 0.02 to 1.1 wt%, with the highest value at 50 m (Fig. F33). The ratio of TOC to total nitrogen generally decreases with depth, with the exception of some of the high carbonate samples in the 73–76 m depth interval.

Microbiology

Microbiological studies on sediment cores collected during DSDP, ODP, and IODP have demonstrated the presence of microbial communities in deeply buried marine sediments down to >1600 mbsf. The Canterbury Basin is a promising place to expand our knowledge of the deep biosphere in a complex setting, representing the history of life under a variety of environmental constraints. The basin is heavily influenced by the input of terrestrial organic matter and is therefore an excellent end member environment to complement the marine settings that have been studied during previous drilling expeditions.

The Expedition 317 shipboard microbiology program included collection of samples for onshore microbiological investigations, assessment of the degree of seawater contamination of the microbiological sediment samples, and testing of a new cell counting technique. Three sites were sampled for microbiology investigations (Sites U1351, U1352, and U1353). Eleven whole-round samples were taken between 1630 m and the bottom of Hole U1352C at 1928 m for microbiological and organic geochemical characterization of the in situ microbial communities. These samples will be studied in more detail onshore and could potentially extend the maximum known depth of habitable sediments.

A new cell counting technique, recently developed by Morono et al. (2009), was also tested. The procedure proved to be highly efficient, and discriminative detection and enumeration of microbial cells in sediments using diluted (1%) hydrofluoric acid was achieved at Site U1351. The greatest abundance of cells was found in the near-surface sample, which contained 1.08×10^8 cells/cm³, and the smallest number of cells was found at 930.9 m, containing 1.34×10^5 cells/cm³. Generally, the total number of cells decreased rapidly with depth in the uppermost 4 m of sediment. This depth profile follows a trend observed at other ODP sites, but the absolute numbers of prokaryotes are lower than the average numbers for all previously examined sites, particularly below 4 m.

The contamination tests on board were performed using particulate tracers and provided confirmation that uncontaminated samples can be recovered and that there is no difference in contamination produced by the three types of coring (advanced piston corer [APC], XCB, and RCB). Nevertheless, the dispersion of these tracers was found not to be homogeneous along the core liner, and the microsphere concentrations were found to be diluted during RCB coring.

Preliminary scientific assessment

Expedition 317 marked a new direction for IODP by incorporating (1) drilling in shallow water on the continental shelf and (2) unusually deep penetrations. Expedition 317 set records for the shallowest water depth for a site drilled for scientific purposes and the deepest hole drilled on a continental shelf by the R/V *JOIDES Resolution*, as well as the deepest sediment site and deepest site drilled in a single expedition in the history of scientific ocean drilling.

Only ODP Leg 174A (New Jersey margin) previously attempted shelf drilling using the *JOIDES Resolution*. Experience on Leg 174A, where unconsolidated sands caused hole collapse during coring, suggested that similar problems might be encountered during Expedition 317 and penetrations might fall far short of target depths.

However, penetration during Expedition 317 exceeded all expectations. Drilling of Canterbury Basin sediments went smoothly even at the shallowest water sites (including Site U1354, in only 85 m water depth) and reached 1030 m in Hole U1351B on the outer shelf (121 m water depth). The performance of the drillers and the level of cooperation and support from the operations staff were superb. There was no hesitation in taking on new challenges.

Recovery was highly variable and, indeed, poor within long intervals of unconsolidated sediment, particularly at shelf sites U1351 and U1353 during XCB coring (Fig. F17). This may be unavoidable with existing IODP coring technology. It would be very useful to develop new coring methods, if possible, for future operations in such lithologies. Percussive or vibracoring tools could provide solutions, although it will be important to maintain a reasonable rate of penetration in order to core thick sections equivalent to those targeted during Expedition 317. On the other hand, XCB coring was effective in the uppermost 250 m at shelf site Site U1354. A different recovery problem was encountered at slope site Hole U1352C, where RCB cores of solid rock with very low recovery (1% or 2%) were occasionally interspersed with cores

with 100% recovery for reasons not fully understood. It was speculated that heavy rock cores may have fallen out of the core barrels. However, we believe that recovery is sufficient to achieve most objectives, particularly in providing ground truth at the scale of seismic resolution (~5 m vertically).

Drilling in shallow water requires good weather (low heave and wind speeds that can be managed by the dynamic positioning system). In this we were extremely fortunate. There were only two delays caused by weather. One was relatively minor because it occurred when the ship was about to move from slope Site U1352 to landward shelf Site U1353. The swell was considered too high for shelf operations, so we used the opportunity to duplicate the uppermost 127 m at Site U1352 by drilling Hole U1352D while we waited for conditions to improve. This occupied <24 h. The second delay was more serious and occurred at the final site: shelf Site U1354. The wind became too strong to maintain position over the hole in such shallow water (113 m), and operations in Hole U1354B were shut down after 73.6 m of APC coring. In this case we could only wait for weather conditions to improve, given the short time remaining for the expedition and the need to resume operations at Site U1354; however, once again the delay was <24 h. That the operational plan was impacted by less than 2 days in more than 6 weeks of operations, much of it in shallow water and in a region of unstable weather patterns, is remarkably fortunate, as well as a tribute to the abilities of the dynamic positioning operators and drillers.

The upper sections (~500 m) of most boreholes contained coarse-grained unlithified material that proved highly unstable. This made wireline logging challenging, even in one instance when a dedicated logging hole was drilled (Hole U1351C). However, the logging personnel worked hard to achieve success and were always prepared to commit the tools to these unstable holes. Strategies were refined to manage the difficult conditions, including using a special tool combination at the final site.

It was possible to keep the holes clear of collapsing sand and shell hash during drilling while rotation and circulation were maintained, but when these were stopped during logging, unconsolidated material flowed into the hole, forming bridges. In Hole U1351C, this situation trapped the triple combination (triple combo) tool, which was rescued using the drill pipe in a 36 h operation. In addition, the Formation Micro-Scanner (FMS)-sonic tool could not be pulled into the drill pipe in Hole U1353C because the centralizers were jammed by debris. The tool was retrieved by tripping the pipe as the hole collapsed around it.

In spite of these difficulties, valuable logs were obtained, particularly at Site U1351, where the first run of the triple combo tool reached the bottom of Hole U1351B at 1030 m wireline log depth below seafloor (WSF), in Hole U1353C, where a triple combo run was also completed in a dedicated logging hole to ~530 m WSF, and at Site U1354, where a special tool string (gamma ray/sonic/resistivity) reached total depth at 380 m WSF. The caliper was at maximum extent over long intervals in all holes in which a caliper was run, implying significant wash-out.

The limited success at Site U1352, where logging was unable to pass ~500 m WSF in the 1927 m deep Hole U1352C, was surprising given that the sediments at this slope site were more fine grained than at the shelf sites. However, the amount of time (2 weeks) that Hole U1352C was open prior to logging probably caused significant deterioration of the hole, which may have played a part. The varying success at different sites may also have been related to the use of varying amounts/weights of mud during logging at different sites. A degree of mud rationing was required because of the heavy use of mud while coring in such unstable lithologies and in such deep holes with enlarged diameters.

Sonic and vertical seismic profile (VSP) data were especially important to expedition objectives for seismic correlation. However, although complete runs with the triple combo were made to total depth in Holes U1351C and U1353C, the holes did not stay open long enough to complete a run with the second FMS-sonic tool string, let alone the third run required for the VSP. Therefore, FMS-sonic runs were not completed to total depth (<500 m WSF in Holes U1351B and U1352B and ~230 m WSF in Hole U1353C). It was for this reason that a modified string was used, with success, in Hole U1354C to total depth at ~380 m WSF. No VSPs were conducted. Even if the holes had stayed open long enough for a VSP, wash-out made the holes too wide for the tool to make contact with the formation.

The sonic log from Hole U1351B requires postcruise processing, but the one from Hole U1352B was used to generate a traveltime/depth conversion. The resultant velocities proved to be anomalously lower than the precruise predicted function, which is itself evidently too low, at least for traveltimes corresponding to the deepest penetrations. Results from Hole U1353C may be more representative. The sonic log to ~230 m WSF yielded an excellent synthetic seismogram and estimated depths to seismic sequence boundaries within the logged interval (U13–U10) that were 5–10 m deeper than predicted precruise. The sonic log from Hole U1354C was acquired late in the expedition and has not yet been assessed.

Although the logs obtained will be extremely valuable, the limited depths reached by sonic logs, the lack of VSPs, and poor hole conditions limit our ability to provide an improved traveltime/depth conversion for seismic correlation throughout the full extent of the drilled interval. In a sense, wireline logging became a victim of Expedition 317's success in achieving deep penetrations. However, the relative ease of drilling indicates that logging-while-drilling (LWD) would be ideal for Canterbury Basin sites. Use of LWD was discussed before the expedition. However, the level of drilling difficulty and the associated risks to LWD equipment were anticipated to be more severe than turned out to be the case. Coupled with the cost of LWD operations at a time of financial constraint, this led to the decision not to employ LWD during Expedition 317. We hope that we will be able to return with LWD in the future and intend to investigate that possibility.

Site summaries

Site U1351

Background

Site U1351 is located on the outer shelf and is the most basinward shelf site of the Canterbury Basin drilling transect. Site U1351 penetrates seismic sequence boundaries U6–U19. Upper Miocene–lower Pliocene sequence boundaries (below U9) feature smooth onlapped paleoshelves and rounded clinoform breaks, or rollovers, with sigmoid internal reflection geometries. In contrast, upper Pliocene–Pleistocene sequence boundaries (above U9) display eroded and incised downlapped paleoshelves and more pronounced breaks with oblique reflection geometries. Sequence boundaries U8–U19 were penetrated on their paleoshelves, whereas U6 and U7 were penetrated on their paleoslopes.

Lithostratigraphy

Stratigraphic changes at Site U1351 on the Canterbury margin are fairly gradual, reflecting progressive differences in sedimentary styles. Two lithologic units were differentiated based on transitional sedimentary facies. Unit I (0–262 m) is heterolithic, mainly dark gray to greenish/olive-gray in color, and composed of mud and sandy mud with lesser shell hash, sand, and muddy sand. In contrast, Unit II (262–1024 m) is composed of mainly dark greenish gray to greenish black sandy mud (sandy mud-

stone) and muddy sand (muddy sandstone) with lesser sand (sandstone) and shell hash (limestone).

Unit I lithologies can be bounded by abrupt to gradational bedding planes, including distinct unconformities, and are locally bioturbated. Fining- and coarsening-upward beds, lamination, convolute bedding, and carbonate concretions are rare. The diverse assemblage of bioclasts/macrofossils are locally concentrated (shell hash) but are generally dispersed in the core and become less common with depth. Coarse shelly beds on the boundaries are covered by fining-upward sandy mud and are followed by coarsening-upward lithologies. The arrangement of lithologies in Unit I is characteristic of eustatically influenced shelf successions. Tentatively, 11 candidate erosion surfaces (E1–E11) were identified. The upper nine generally have sharp basal contacts, are commonly bioturbated, and separate coarse lithologies from underlying muds.

Unit II is generally structureless, becoming more lithified with depth owing to carbonate cementation as expressed in short pieces of sandy mudstone in the XCB core catcher. Recovery in Unit II was poor, but contrasts across lithologic boundaries appear more gradational downcore from shelly to sandy to calcareous muds. Mineralogy suggests southerly schist rather than more local graywacke provenance, perhaps reinforcing the importance of northeastward-flowing currents during deposition. Alternatively, the schist detritus may be recycled from more local, uplifted, and eroded units onshore. The uppermost part of Unit II represents the transition from a shelf to slope environment. Below ~300 m the sediments indicate deposition in an upper slope environment, with some intervals possibly influenced by drift deposition.

Biostratigraphy

The biostratigraphy of Site U1351 is based on the shipboard study of calcareous nanofossils, diatoms, and planktonic and benthic foraminifers in core catcher samples from Holes U1351A and U1351B. Additional calcareous nanofossil samples were taken from within selected cores to address specific age and paleoenvironmental questions. All microfossil groups are present throughout the cored Holocene to upper Miocene section, except for diatoms, which are present in only a few samples.

The Holocene to Pleistocene section between Cores 317-U1351B-1H and 18X (0–141.6 m) was primarily dated and divided using calcareous nanofossils into Zones NN21 (Cores 317-U1351B-1H to 5H), NN20 (Cores 317-U1351B-6H to 10H), and NN19 (Cores 317-U1351B-11H to 18X). Benthic foraminifers suggest water depths are variable through the Pleistocene but generally deepen downcore, from inner mid-

shelf depths to mid- to outer shelf depths. The Pliocene section between Cores 317-U1351B-19X and 94X (151.2–822.3 m) was primarily dated with calcareous nannofossils in the upper part and planktonic foraminifers in the lower part. Reworked calcareous nannofossils of Miocene age occur throughout the lower part of the Pliocene. This was close to the level where planktonic foraminifers indicate a change to deposition on the slope and the first consistently outer shelf to uppermost bathyal water depths were recorded, the upper part of the Pliocene being shallower. The Miocene section between Cores 317-U1351B-95X and 116X (831.8–1030.6) was primarily dated with planktonic foraminifers. The lowermost part of the Miocene section between Sample 317-U1351B-113X-CC and Core 114X is cut by a major unconformity, provisionally correlated with the U5 sequence boundary in the seismic interpretation. Planktonic foraminifers and calcareous nannofossils suggest a hiatus of at least 3.4 m.y. Outer shelf to uppermost bathyal water depths persist throughout the cored Miocene section, although planktonic foraminiferal abundances are consistent with deposition on the slope. The age at the bottom of Hole U1351B is late Miocene (10.60–10.91 Ma).

Paleomagnetism

Natural remanent magnetization (NRM) was measured on all but the most heavily disturbed cores. Intensities generally range from 10^{-2} to 10^{-4} A/m, with some higher intensity zones, particularly at tops of cores, attributed to material that had fallen into the cores. NRM orientations tend to show steep ($\sim 80^\circ$) positive inclinations and declinations clustered in the northern hemisphere. Alternating-field (AF) demagnetization was applied at 10 and 20 mT steps and removed $\sim 30\%$ of the NRM. Where magnetic core barrels had been used (in XCB coring from 94.7 m drilling depth below seafloor [DSF]), orientations changed very little with demagnetization. In contrast, where nonmagnetic core barrels were used with APC coring at shallower depths, orientations of NRM did change with demagnetization. In the uppermost 65 m of Hole U1351B, inclinations after 20 mT were negative (approximately -70°), suggesting a normal characteristic component. Between 65 and 94 m, core recovery decreased, and when material was available, inclinations shallowed with demagnetization but remained positive, suggesting that the first polarity change occurs between 65.9 and 69.7 m. Poor core recovery and a strong drilling overprint at greater depths limited further magnetostratigraphic interpretations.

Physical properties

Systematic whole-round and/or section-half measurements of MS, NGR, gamma ray attenuation (GRA) density and colorimetry revealed patterns of sedimentation characterized by well-defined cyclicity in the uppermost 280 m. At greater depths these patterns seem to be missing, but this may be the result of poor core recovery. Down-hole logging suggests that lower amplitude cycles may persist to at least 400 m. Automated vane shear and fall cone penetrometer sediment strength and moisture and density (MAD) analyses revealed interesting trends. The observed shear strength generally reflects the cyclicity seen in other parameters in the uppermost 250 m. Additionally, abrupt changes or offsets in both MS and shear strength suggest the presence of unconformities. A gradual increase in bulk density with depth was matched by a similarly subtle decrease in porosity from an average of ~45% at the surface to ~37% at 1000 m. Thermal conductivity variations seemed to follow these trends.

Geochemistry

High-frequency sampling established the midpoint of the SMT at 16 m, based on the dissolved sulfate and methane gradients. The maximum alkalinity at the SMT was 10 mM, with marked cation depletions of 15 mM for Mg^{2+} and 5 mM for Ca^{2+} . The apparent levels of carbon oxidized and low levels of ammonium and phosphate generated suggest that sulfate reduction is fueled primarily by AOM. The initial gas present beneath the SMT contains ethane at relatively high levels ($C_1/C_2 = 500-800$), but absolute gas contents were low (15,000–20,000 ppm C_1 in headspace or 1–2 mM in pore space). This suggests preferential loss of methane (possibly when the shelf at Site U1351 was emergent) due to AOM or gas loss from sands during core recovery and sampling. The gas did not show any major deviations from established trends at greater depths to 1000 m. The pore waters in the uppermost 250 m have moderately elevated salinity, Cl^- , and Na^+ (about 10% greater than seawater). Ca^{2+} increases from 15 to 35 mM, whereas Mg^{2+} decreases from 30 to 20 mM over the interval between 200 and 250 m. There are also marked differences in the sediment geochemistry, with higher carbonate, higher nitrogen, and lower sulfur above 200 m. Organic carbon contents range from 0.3 to 1.5 wt%, with more frequent higher values in the uppermost 200 m. Pyrolysis characterization suggests the organic matter is dominated by degraded higher plant debris.

Heat flow

Only one out of five temperature measurements taken at this site was of acceptable quality based on conductive cooling curves over >300 s. Using all five temperature

measurements yields a poorly fit geothermal gradient of 14.1°C/km, much lower than that obtained from Clipper-1 of 40°–50°C/km (Reyes, 2007) and almost certainly in error. Thermal conductivity measured in the laboratory (range of 0.962–2.233 W/[m·K]) was corrected to in situ conditions (range of 0.959–2.215 W/[m·K]). The resulting values increase linearly with depth. Use of a Bullard plot yields a heat flow of 20.1 mW/m². However, as with the estimated geothermal gradient, heat flow values are suspect because of insufficient reliable temperature measurements.

Downhole logging

Downhole logging took place in Holes U1351B and U1351C. Two tool strings were deployed in Hole U1351B: (1) the triple combo tool string, which measures NGR, bulk density, porosity, and electrical resistivity, was run from the seafloor to 1032 m WSF; (2) the FMS-sonic tool string, which measures electrical resistivity images and sonic velocities, could not reach the total depth of the hole and acquired data from 74 to 488 m WSF. In Hole U1351C, only the triple combo tool string was deployed, recording gamma radiation and resistivity during its descent between the seafloor and 801 m WSF. The tool was trapped by hole collapse, preventing logging of the deeper section of Hole U1351C. The complete tool string was later recovered after a 36 h recovery effort.

Three logging units were identified in the logs. Logging Unit 1 (83–260 m WSF) is characterized by relatively high amplitude variations in gamma ray values, overall increasing with depth. In this unit, gamma ray minima associated with high resistivity and sonic velocities are consistent with sand layers alternating with clay. Logging Unit 2 (260–510 m WSF) is defined by low-amplitude variability in all logs and trends of decreasing gamma radiation and resistivity. Three distinct intervals of uphole-increasing gamma radiation within this unit suggest fining-upward transgressive sequences. Caliper readings consistently higher than 19.5 inches in Units 1 and 2 show that the formation has little cohesion. The top of Logging Unit 3 (510–1032 m WSF) is defined by a significant downhole increase in gamma radiation, density, and resistivity, which remains variable with no distinct trends within this unit. The borehole diameter was slightly smaller (12–18 inches), but irregular, suggesting a change to more cohesive sediments.

Site U1352

Background

Site U1352 (proposed Site CB-04B) is located on the upper slope within the Canterbury Bight and is the most basinward site of the Canterbury Basin drilling transect. Site U1352 penetrates sequence boundaries U6–U19, where sediments are finer grained and pelagic microfossils more abundant than at shelf sites, in order to provide good age control for sequences drilled on the shelf.

An additional target, requiring deep penetration, was recovery of the mid-Oligocene Marshall Paraconformity. The paraconformity is presumed to record intensified current erosion, or nondeposition at all water depths, that accompanied the development of a partial Antarctic Circumpolar Current system following the opening of the seaway south of Tasmania. There are indications from Leg 181 drilling that the paraconformity developed in deep (bathyal) water ~1–2 m.y. earlier than in shallow water. Dating the paraconformity in the offshore Canterbury Basin at Site U1352 tests this hypothesis by sampling it where paleowater depths were intermediate.

Because of time constraints, drilling into one of the large, elongate sediment drifts of the Canterbury Basin became a secondary, contingency objective. However, it is likely that insights into sediment drift deposition and paleoceanography will be obtained from Site U1352 cores. Current reworking of sediments is evident at Site U1352 even though distinctive drift seismic geometries are absent.

Lithostratigraphy

Three holes were drilled at Site U1352, reaching a total depth of 1927 m and spanning the Holocene to late Eocene. This site contains a gradual lithologic transition between the Holocene and Miocene and a major unconformity between the early Miocene and early Oligocene at 1853 m. The succession is divided into three lithologic units.

Unit I (0–711 m) spans the Holocene to middle Pliocene and contains predominantly mud-rich sediment consisting of calcareous sandy mud, interbedded sandy mud and clay, interbedded sand and mud, massive sand, mottled sandy mud, homogeneous mud, shelly mud, and marl. Unit I was subdivided into three subunits. Subunit IA (0–98 m) is dominated by interbedded mud, sand, and clay lithologies, with frequent greenish gray sharp-based muddy sand or sandy mud beds. Subunit IB (98–447 m) contains more homogeneous mud and less frequent sharp-based greenish gray muddy sand or sandy mud beds. Subunit IC (447–711 m) represents a transition be-

tween the mud-dominated lithologies of Unit I to the calcareous lithologies of Unit II. This gradual transition reflects a progressive change in water depth to deeper slope depositional environments.

Unit II (711–1853 m) spans the middle Pliocene through early Miocene and contains hemipelagic to pelagic sediment consisting of calcareous sandy mud, sandy marls, chalk, sandy marlstone, and sandy limestone with minor amounts of calcareous mudstone and sandstone. The unit is divided into three subunits. Subunit IIA (711–1189 m) ranges from homogeneous marl (in Hole U1352B) to bioturbated marlstone (in Hole U1352C). Occasional mudstone, muddy sandstone, and chalk lithologies also occur. Subunit IIB (1189–1694 m) contains abundant dark colored mudstone beds in the upper part and more frequent occurrences of current bedding, especially toward the base of the unit. The frequency of mudstone bed occurrence decreases below 1392 m, in concert with other changes in mineralogy and an unconformity detected by biostratigraphy. Packages of recumbent and isoclinal folds, tilted beds, contorted strata, and fluid escape features are present both above and below this unconformity. Subunit IIC (1694–1853 m) contains a gradual progression from marlstone to limestone, with frequent glauconitic laminae and beds.

A ~12 m.y. unconformity occurs at the base of Unit II with an abrupt change into lithologic Unit III (1853–1924 m, total depth) consisting of hemipelagic to pelagic foraminifer-bearing nannofossil limestone of early Oligocene to late Eocene age. Except for minor abundances of quartz and clay, Unit III lacks siliciclastic components. This unit is correlative to the onshore Amuri Limestone.

Site U1352 represents a late Eocene to early Oligocene and nearly complete Neogene continental slope sedimentary record dominated by pelagic to hemipelagic sedimentation with minor traction and gravity flow sediments. The sediments were deposited along a passive continental margin characterized by large volumes of sediment from a tectonically and climatically evolving hinterland. The site represents a unique downhole record from unlithified sediments to lithified carbonates at depth. The gradual downhole transition in lithofacies from more siliciclastic-rich Quaternary muddy facies into pelagic limestones and glauconitic marlstones and marls appears to reflect the downhole transition, seen on seismic profiles, from an upper slope location on a clinoformal margin with a sharp shelf-slope break in the Quaternary toward a toe-of-slope location on a more ramplike margin in the Miocene. The lower carbonate content in the upper part of this interval may be linked to higher terrige-

nous supply, possibly related to the uplift of the Southern Alps and/or Neogene climate change.

Biostratigraphy

Site U1352 recovered a Holocene–upper Eocene succession. Fifty-five bioevents were recognized and used to provide a detailed biostratigraphic framework. Calcareous nannofossils were the primary dating tool in the Pleistocene, whereas planktonic foraminifers provided robust age control in the Pliocene–middle Miocene section. Both fossil groups were integral for biostratigraphic assessment of the lower Miocene–upper Eocene succession. Diatoms were sparse but provided two useful Pleistocene datums. Analysis of benthic foraminifer assemblages yielded detailed estimates of paleowater depths throughout the succession.

A ~500 m thick progradational Holocene to Pleistocene section was recovered, and 16 biomarkers were distinguished. The Pliocene/Pleistocene boundary was constrained between 492 and 525 m and is potentially unconformable. Sediments below the boundary were dated between 2.45 and 3.04 Ma, suggesting that most, if not all, of the upper Pliocene is missing. Pleistocene nannofossil abundances fluctuate dramatically across predicted seismic sequence boundaries (most notably from 0.8 Ma to recent). Similar fluctuations in planktonic foraminifer abundance were also noted near sequence boundaries.

The progradational Pliocene interval was also thick (~785 m) and spanned Cores 317-U1352B-57X through 73R (500–1285 m). As at Site U1351, all standard nannofossil zonal markers (except *Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilicus*) were absent. The Miocene/Pliocene boundary was identified between 1266 and 1284 m and is conformable, though potentially condensed toward the base of the Pliocene.

Twenty-six foraminifer and nannofossil datums were observed within the cored Miocene succession (1275–1851 m). The Miocene interval contains three biostratigraphically defined hiatuses: a lower upper Miocene hiatus between Cores 317-U1352C-90R and 91R (1395–1410 m), a hiatus including the upper to middle Miocene transition between Cores 317-U1352C-101R and 102R (1487–1497 m), and the Marshall Paraconformity between Cores 317-U1352C-139R and 140R (1848–1853 m). This latter hiatus separates lower Miocene sediments (~18–19 Ma) from underlying lower Oligocene sediments (30.1–32.0 Ma), with ~12 m.y. absent.

The Oligocene and Eocene intervals are relatively thin (Cores 317-U1352C-139R to 147R and 147R to 148R, respectively). Microfossil preservation is generally poor in Oligocene sediments and moderate in the Eocene. This boundary was recognized between Cores 317-U1352C-146R and 147R (1903–1917 m) and was unconformable, missing ~2.3 m.y. The bottom-hole age was constrained between 35.2 and 36.0 Ma in Core 317-U1352C-148R (1924 m).

Pleistocene and Pliocene sediments are dominated by inner to outer shelf benthic foraminiferal taxa, although the rare but persistent presence of bathyal marker species suggests that the shallower shelfal taxa are possibly reworked. Paleodepths generally increase downhole to lower bathyal depths in the lower part of the cored succession. Mid- to deep bathyal taxa occur in lower Pliocene and older sediments. This is consistent with a general increase in the abundance of planktonic foraminifers downhole through the progradational foreset sequence into the bottom sets and basin floor facies and coincided with the change from suboceanic to fully oceanic conditions.

Paleomagnetism

NRM was measured on all but the most disturbed cores from all four holes at Site U1352. Intensity ranged from 10^{-2} to 10^{-3} A/m in the upper half of the drilled interval and decreased to 10^{-4} A/m in the lower half of the record (consistent with an increase in carbonate). One AF demagnetization step at peak fields of 20 mT was routinely applied. Where nonmagnetic core barrels were used with APC coring (the uppermost 27 cores in Hole U1352B [to 246 m]), inclinations after 20 mT demagnetization are well grouped around -60.1° . The uppermost 18 cores (to 166 m) were azimuthally oriented and show a mean declination of 27.7° after correction. These values are close to the orientation of the present-day magnetic field (inclination -70° , declination 25°). The relatively shallower inclination of this component suggests that it may be a primary magnetization. The change to magnetic barrels occurred within the interval where the Brunhes/Matuyama boundary was anticipated (from biostratigraphic age determinations), and the boundary was not unambiguously identified at this site. A pervasive drilling overprint hampered any further magnetostratigraphic interpretation.

Rock magnetic experiments and demagnetization of discrete specimens indicate that a low-coercivity mineral is the main magnetization carrier. Thermal demagnetization of the NRM showed unblocking temperatures in the range of 320° to 340°C and an increase of susceptibility at $\sim 400^\circ\text{C}$, suggesting the presence of iron sulfides.

Physical properties

Core physical properties change with depth broadly as anticipated, with decreasing overall trends in MS and NGR and an increasing trend in bulk density obtained from GRA and MAD methods.

The uppermost 300 m exhibits regular cyclicity in MS and NGR, similar to the NGR record from ODP Site 1119 (Leg 181). For example, three peaks between 50 and 70 m in Hole U1352B correlate with peaks in Holes 1119B and 1119C (between 36 and 46 mbsf), which have been recognized as signals of MIS 5. MS, NGR, GRA density, and color reflectance b^* show an unexplained but conspicuous negative peak between 555 and 630 m, followed by a positive peak at 665 m. Below the Marshall Paraconformity (~1853 m), the MS switches to negative values, consistent with diamagnetic limestone.

Excellent *P*-wave velocity measurements were obtained using the *P*-wave caliper (PWC) method on discrete samples from cemented sediments in RCB cores from Hole U1352C. PWC values show a slight increase below 1255 m (averaging ~2500–3500 m/s) and a strong increase between 1500 and 1670 m (averaging ~3500–4000 m/s). Below ~1795 m the *P*-wave velocity increases again to 5200 m/s, and a further slight increase in *P*-wave velocity is observed below the Marshall Paraconformity. The unexpected high velocities below 1255 m may require a revision of the traveltime/depth conversion of seismic records.

Reflectance spectrometry measurements on split cores reveal clear trends in both reflectivity and color. Variations in color were observed to correlate with similar variations in MS.

Results from MAD analyses reveal downhole trends in sediment compaction and lithification. Lithologic Subunit IA shows little downhole variability, but porosity begins to decrease and bulk density begins to increase in Subunit IB. Cementation begins at this level and increases downcore toward lithologic Subunit IIB, which is almost fully cemented. Grain density varies little with depth.

Sediment strength measured with the automated vane shear and the fall cone penetrometer indicates that sediments range from very soft (0–20 kN/m²) to very stiff (150–300 kN/m²). Sediment strengths correlate well in very soft and soft sediments, but the vane shear appears to underestimate shear strength in firm to very stiff sedi-

ments. The pronounced cyclicity in shear strength seen at Site U1351 was not observed at Site U1352.

Geochemistry

The SMT occurs between 15.2 and 16.6 m. The apparent level of carbon oxidized relative to sulfate reduced suggests that sulfate reduction is driven by both methane oxidation and organic matter oxidation. The initial gas below the SMT contains ethane (2 ppmv) with C_1/C_2 of 16000. Gas composition changes regularly with increasing depth, reaching C_1/C_2 of 60 near the bottom of Hole U1352C at 1920 m. C_3 – C_5 hydrocarbon abundance also increases with depth. At an apparent unconformity near 1395 m, gas content was very low (40 ppmv methane) and C_1/C_2 dropped as low as 7, mainly because of the near absence of methane. Below the unconformity the gas resumed the normal trend. An initial predominance of branched C_4 and C_5 alkanes in the gas decreases with depth. Below the unconformity the normal/(normal+iso) C_4 and C_5 ratios show a large and consistent decrease and then an increase, which so far remains unexplained.

Analyses of sediment samples distinguished the clay-rich lithologic Unit I from the carbonate-dominated Unit II. Organic carbon content was generally low (<0.6 wt%), with only a few samples having >1 wt% TOC. The character of the organic matter changes from relatively labile volatile material in the shallower sediments to more stable proto-kerogen downhole, with evidence for increasing thermal maturity at total depth. The organic matter appears to be mainly terrestrial plant in origin.

IW analyses extend to 1400 m. There are initial reductions in calcium and magnesium in the SMT related to microbial processes (sulfate reduction and methanogenesis). Below 400 m, calcium, magnesium, and strontium concentrations in the IW increase and alkalinity decreases, consistent with dissolution of carbonates and the poor preservation of microfossils. Potassium and sodium concentrations decrease markedly below 300 m, possibly related to glauconite formation, whereas fluctuations in silica concentration point to dissolution of siliceous fossils. Increasing boron concentrations below 200 m may reflect a diagenetic opal-A/opal-CT transition and microbial degradation of organic matter. A lithium increase below 500 m can be explained by dehydration reactions that remove lithium from clay interlayer exchange sites.

Eleven whole-round samples were taken between 1630 m and the bottom of Hole U1352C at 1927 m for microbiological and organic geochemical characterization of

in situ microbial communities. Onshore investigation of these samples could potentially extend the maximum known depth of habitable sediments.

Heat flow

Four good-quality temperature measurements out of six measurement attempts in the depth interval from 94 to 313 m yielded a geothermal gradient of 46.2°C/km. Laboratory thermal conductivity measurements from 8 to 1920 m, with a range of 0.849–3.440 W/(m·K), reveal a trend of increasing thermal conductivity with depth except in the topmost 90 m, where a decreasing trend is observed. Two individual trends are recognized: one for unlithified sediment from 90 to 800 m and another for hard rock from 600 to 1920 m. Thermal conductivity shows positive and negative relationships with bulk density and porosity, respectively. Heat flow is calculated as 57.8 mW/m² within the depth interval where the geothermal gradient was established. Assuming steady-state heat flow, the temperature profile yields a bottom-hole temperature of ~60°C.

Downhole logging

Downhole logging at Site U1352 took place in Holes U1352B and U1352C. Two tool strings were deployed in Hole U1352B: (1) the triple combo tool string, which measures NGR, bulk density, porosity, and electrical resistivity, was run from the seafloor to 487 m WSF, below which an obstruction prevented the tool string from reaching the total depth of the hole; (2) the FMS-sonic tool string, which measures electrical resistivity images and sonic velocities, encountered the same blockage and acquired data from 82 to 442 m WSF. In Hole U1352C, hole conditions were unstable and a modified triple combo (without radioactive sources) was the only tool string deployed, recording gamma ray and resistivity between the seafloor and 207 m WSF. Below 207 m WSF the tool string encountered a blockage that prevented it from reaching total depth.

Two logging units were identified. Logging Unit 1 (82–250 m WSF) is characterized by relatively low amplitude variations in gamma radiation, resistivity, and acoustic velocities. A distinct increasing-uphole and then decreasing-uphole trend in gamma radiation is consistent with gamma ray logs at ODP Site 1119 and may be associated with variations in clay content. Resistivity decreases with depth, whereas velocity increases with depth in this unit. Caliper measurements consistently higher than 19.5 inches indicate an enlarged borehole in this interval. Logging Unit 2 (250–487 m WSF) is defined by a change to higher amplitude variations in gamma radiation, re-

sistivity, and acoustic velocities. Gamma radiation and velocity show increasing trends with depth, whereas resistivity varies around a relatively constant value. Sharp peaks in *P*-wave velocity associated with variations in density or lithology may correlate with significant seismic reflections in this unit. The borehole diameter is smaller but highly irregular (6–19.5 inches) and may reflect the appearance of more cohesive marls within the formation.

Site U1353

Background

Site U1353 is located on the mid-shelf within the Canterbury Bight and is the most landward shelf site of the Canterbury Basin drilling transect. As a result, Site U1353 was considered the most challenging site, both because the water depth at the site (85 m) is the shallowest among all Expedition 317 sites and also because the lithologies at this inboard setting were likely to be particularly coarse grained.

Site U1353 penetrates a middle Miocene to Holocene section containing seismic sequence boundaries U5–U19. All sequence boundaries are penetrated landward of their rollovers, or paleoshelf edges, with the goal of recovering proximal facies, yielding evidence of shallow-water deposition, and providing optimal paleowater depths from benthic foraminiferal biofacies. Cores from Site U1353 include upper Miocene to lower Pliocene sequence boundaries (below U10) that feature smooth onlapped paleoshelves and rounded rollovers with mid-Pliocene to Pleistocene sequence boundaries (U10 and above), which display eroded and incised downlapped paleoshelves and more pronounced rollovers.

Lithostratigraphy

Site U1353 provides an excellent and unique sedimentary record of deposition through the Holocene–late Quaternary period of global sea level fluctuation. Hole U1353B also penetrated some of the older early Pleistocene–Miocene seismic reflectors in the offshore Canterbury Basin, which at this site are at relatively shallow sub-bottom depths of <500 m. Poor core recovery, however, hindered lithologic interpretation in deeper portions of the hole.

Cores recovered from Holes U1353A and U1353B show a downhole transition from a heterolithic upper section with abrupt contacts (Unit I) to a more featureless mud-dominated section with depth (Unit II). These changes suggest a progressive and gradual change in sedimentary style as the margin evolved.

Unit I (Hole U1353B, 0–151 m) is characterized by its overall muddy character, the dominant lithology being a dark greenish gray homogeneous mud with a few percent of very fine sand. Shells are either rare and scattered or locally concentrated in layers as thick as 15 cm. Bioturbation is common, with ichnofabric indexes of 3–4. Subordinate lithologies include shelly mud to shell hash, micaceous well-sorted very fine sand, clay, and sandy marl.

The dominant lithology of Unit II (Hole U1353B, 151–614 m) consists of dark greenish gray, micaceous, very fine sandy mud and mud, typically with shells. Both types of sediment are slightly to heavily bioturbated (bioturbation indexes of 2–4). Sand and cemented intervals were recovered sporadically throughout the unit as minor lithologies.

Close similarities are noted between Sites U1353 and U1351, 20 km to the southeast. Comparable Unit I and II subdivisions and constituent lithotypes are recognized at both sites, and potential lithologic expression of seismic unconformities can also be matched between the sites. Site U1353 is interpreted to represent a slightly more shoreline-proximal equivalent of Site U1351. Deposition is dominated by shelf processes, characterized during Unit I time (late Quaternary) by frequent sea level variations and preceded by inner to mid-shelf depositional settings through Unit II time (early Pleistocene–Miocene).

Biostratigraphy

Calcareous nannofossil, planktonic and benthic foraminifer, and diatom assemblages from Site U1353 core catcher samples were used to create a shipboard biostratigraphic framework. Benthic foraminifers were also used to estimate paleowater depths. Diatoms are sparse to absent at this site.

Site U1353 contains a Holocene to Miocene succession. Thirteen biostratigraphic events were recognized, most of them in the Pleistocene. Pleistocene microfossil abundances are high, and assemblages exhibit good preservation, which allowed for robust age control, particularly with nannofossils. A hiatus was recognized within the middle-lower Pleistocene between Samples 317-U1353B-12H-CC and 14X-CC (80.12–80.77 m), where ~0.8 m.y. is missing.

The Pliocene/Pleistocene boundary was biostratigraphically picked between Samples 317-U1353B-21H-CC and 23H-CC (121.16–135.71 m) and is unconformable, missing most, if not all, of the upper Pliocene. Biostratigraphic analysis of Pliocene and Mio-

cene sediments at this site was particularly problematic for all microfossil groups because of either low abundances and/or the absence of biostratigraphic markers. Below Sample 317-U1353B-60H-CC (257.69 m), nannofossil abundances drop sharply and remain low for the rest of the downhole succession. Samples below this level are nearly barren of planktonic foraminifers, but shelfal benthic foraminifers are present, albeit without reliable age-diagnostic markers.

Nevertheless, several important datums allowed for biostratigraphic constraint and critical correlation with Sites U1351 and U1352. The lower middle Pliocene boundary was distinguished on the basis of a nannofossil datum (3.7 Ma) between Samples 317-U1353B-27H-CC and 28H-1, 124 cm (149.68–150.64 m). In combination with a planktonic foraminifer datum (<4.3 Ma) it constrained the interval from 150.64 to 256.04 m to the lower Pliocene between 3.7 and 4.3 Ma. The Miocene/Pliocene boundary could not be picked biostratigraphically.

Although Site U1353 yielded no biostratigraphic evidence for upper Miocene sediments, the extrapolation of correlative seismic reflectors from outermost shelf Site U1351 supports the presence of an upper Miocene interval at Site U1353. A nannofossil marker constrained between Samples 317-U1353B-89X-CC and 90X-CC (518.66 and 528.87 m) was dated older than 12.03 Ma, indicating a substantial hiatus above this interval, although the amount of time missing is unknown. Samples below 317-U1353B-90X-CC are barren of calcareous nannofossils and planktonic foraminifers except for the bottommost core catcher, 98X-CC (604.60 m), which contained sparse nannofossils with an assemblage age of middle to early Miocene.

Paleowater depths derived from benthic foraminifers ranged from subtidal to outer shelf environments throughout the Holocene to Miocene section but were no deeper than outer shelf. Pleistocene paleowater depths fluctuated between subtidal and mid-shelf water depths, though a notable deepening to outer shelfal depths (correlated to the interval just above the NN21–NN20 hiatus) was noted in Samples 317-U1353B-10H-CC and 11H-CC (67.5–73.19 m). Pliocene water depths were generally subtidal to inner shelf but ranged down to outer shelf in the early Pliocene. Middle–early Miocene paleowater depths could not be interpreted because of low numbers of benthic foraminifers.

Paleomagnetism

NRM was measured on all but the most disturbed cores from Site U1353. NRM intensities were of the order of 10^{-3} to 10^{-2} A/m and decreased to 10^{-4} to 10^{-3} A/m by AF

demagnetization at peak fields of 20 mT. A steep, northerly, positive drilling overprint was removed from APC cores that were recovered using nonmagnetic barrels (Hole U1353B, 0–67.6 m) by AF peak demagnetization at 20 mT. After demagnetization, remanence direction was oriented upward or negative (normal), with mean inclination around -60° , consistent with a Brunhes age for this component. The overprint persisted (with declinations clustered at north) where standard steel core barrels were used at greater depths. Consequently, no reversals could be detected at Site U1353.

Rock magnetic experiments reveal lithology-dependent changes in magnetic behavior between gray muds and green silty/sandy intervals in Hole U1353B. Gray muds show relatively higher coercivities (~ 80 mT) and lose $\sim 80\%$ of their remanence between 250° and 360°C heating steps. Green coarser grained sediments have coercivities of ~ 40 – 50 mT and lose only 40% – 50% of their remanence between the same heating steps. Rock magnetic parameters are consistent with the presence of magnetic iron sulfides throughout the sediments and indicate the presence of an additional remanence carrier, particularly in the greenish intervals, which is likely magnetite.

Physical properties

In the uppermost 260 m, systematic whole-round and/or section-half measurements of MS, NGR, GRA density, and *P*-wave velocities, as well as colorimetry and MAD measurements, revealed patterns of sedimentation characterized by cyclic variations as well as evidence suggestive of unconformities. Two abrupt excursions in MS, NGR, and color occur between 13 and 17 m and between 27 and 36 m and are associated with thick sandy units. These peaks may correlate with the two most recent glacial–interglacial cycles, MIS 1–5 and 6–7, and provide tentative age estimates for the Holocene and latest Pleistocene.

Overall, MS decreases, and *P*-wave velocity and bulk density increase downhole. Several abrupt shifts were observed in these trends. These shifts correspond to intervals where biostratigraphic evidence indicates hiatus, and those intervals could be related to erosion or a missing section.

A number of prominent peaks in MS in the uppermost 80 m may be linked to caved-in shell hash material observed at the top of each core, as observed previously at Site U1351. These findings indicate that the noisy MS signal below ~ 100 m may also be mainly caused by the drilling process, including material falling down onto the top of cores (cave-in) or sucked-in material in the bottom of core liners (flow-in). Caution

is required before additional onshore analyses confirm or reinterpret tentative ship-board observations.

Good *P*-wave velocity results were obtained in the muddy portions of the sediment to >585 m. This is in contrast to the previous Sites U1351 and U1352, where high gas content destroyed the *P*-wave signal below the top ~20 m of soft sediments.

Automated vane shear and fall cone penetration strength correlate well in very soft and soft sediments, but the values of the vane shear tests are about three times lower than the fall cone values in firm to very stiff sediments. These findings, consistent with those at Sites U1351 and U1352, suggest that the applicability of the vane shear in firm to very stiff sediments is limited in that it underestimates the strength of such sediments.

Geochemistry

Gaseous hydrocarbon monitoring at Site U1353 did not show significant levels of hydrocarbons above the background laboratory air concentration of ~2 ppmv. Sulfate levels are never strongly depleted and no SMT is apparent, suggesting that either (1) methanogenesis did not occur in the sediments, (2) previously generated methane was lost when the shelf was emergent, or (3) methane was oxidized when sulfate was replenished by diffusion after a subsequent sea level rise.

The IW chemistry in the uppermost 150 m is dominated by a salinity minimum, reaching values of 2.4 or 70% of seawater at ~50 m. Below this depth salinity increases again to seawater values and reaches a value slightly higher than seawater in the deepest sample at 595 m. The presence of this less saline lens can be explained by either modern intrusion of meteoric water from land or by the historic remains of freshwater, emplaced when the shelf was emergent and now being slowly replaced by the downward diffusion of seawater. The profiles of chloride, sodium, sulfate, and to some extent potassium closely track the salinity trend and also show minima at 50 m. Normalization of alkalinity, sulfate, calcium, and magnesium profiles to seawater chloride concentrations allows the evaluation of changes due to reaction rather than dilution with freshwater. Chloride-normalized alkalinity shows an increase from 3.2 mM near the seafloor to 9 mM at a depth of 54 m, which co-occurs with a depletion of 8 mM in chloride-normalized sulfate. This implies that some sulfate reduction occurred and that alkalinity is affected by additional processes such as carbonate precipitation and dissolution. The normalized calcium concentrations increase with depth to 16 mM and possibly reflect dissolution of calcareous microfossils. The chloride-

normalized magnesium profile shows a steady decline downhole and levels out at 45 mM. Increasing boron concentrations indicate release of a desorbable boron fraction and degradation of organic matter. Similarly, the increasing lithium amounts can be explained by desorption reactions. Barium concentrations seem to be coupled to the sulfate profile and increase slightly when sulfate concentrations decline, possibly related to dissolution of barite.

Average carbonate content is low (0.5–2 wt%) and decreases with depth. The decrease of TOC over the uppermost 100 m can be correlated with the intervals of alkalinity increase and sulfate decrease and might represent active biological oxidation. Pyrolysis characterization of organic matter suggests a major contribution of terrestrial plants, whereas C/N ratios from elemental analysis are consistent with a significant marine influence.

Heat flow

One in situ temperature measurement was attempted with the APCT-3, but it yielded poor results. Additional temperature measurements were not obtained due to difficult drilling conditions and therefore heat flow could not be determined. Laboratory thermal conductivity measurements, covering the depth interval 5.2–413.5 m, provided a range of 1.122–1.840 W/(m · K) and show two downhole increasing trends: an increasing trend in the interval 0–32 m with a local maximum at ~30 m, and a subsequent drop followed by another increasing trend to 413 m. The origin of the local maximum at ~30 m is unclear since porosity and bulk density are constant in the interval 20–50 m. In general, thermal conductivity varied positively with the bulk density and negatively with porosity. Below 414 m thermal conductivity measurements were unreliable.

Downhole logging

Downhole logging of dedicated logging Hole U1353C took place on 28 December 2009. Two tool strings were deployed: (1) a modified triple combo tool string (without radioactive sources because of unstable hole conditions at this site), which measured NGR and resistivity from the seafloor to a total depth of 528 m WSF, and (2) the FMS-sonic tool string, which measured electrical resistivity images and sonic velocities from 105 to 249 m WSF. Below 249 m WSF the FMS-sonic tool string encountered a blockage that prevented it from reaching the total depth of the hole.

Two units were identified in the logs. Logging Unit 1 (105–260 m WSF) is characterized by an increasing trend from the top of the unit to 180 m WSF. Below that interval

the gamma ray trend decreases downhole to ~250 m WSF. These trends are interrupted by abrupt high-amplitude lows in gamma radiation and peaks in resistivity and velocity that are interpreted as sandy intervals, many of which coincide with sand or gravel at corresponding depths in Hole U1353B. These features also show good correspondence to significant seismic reflections. Logging Unit 2 (260–528 m WSF) is characterized by overall decreasing trends with depth in gamma radiation and resistivity, with low variability. The top of the unit is roughly the same depth as the onset of low core recovery in Hole U1353B and the point at which the FMS-sonic tool string was unable to descend deeper into the hole, suggesting a change in the properties of the formation across the unit boundary.

Site U1354

Background

Site U1354 (proposed Site CB-02A, 110 m water depth) is located on the mid-outer shelf within the Canterbury Bight between landward shelf Site U1353 (proposed Site CB-01A) and outer shelf Site U1351 (proposed Site CB-03B) within the Canterbury Basin drilling transect. Site U1354 occupies an intermediate position in the shelf portion of the Expedition 317 transect. Lithologies and paleoenvironments should therefore be intermediate between those found at Sites U1351 and U1353.

Site U1354 penetrates a middle Miocene to Pleistocene section containing seismic sequence boundaries U8–U19. All sequence boundaries are penetrated landward of their rollovers, or paleoshelf edges, with the goal of recovering proximal facies, yielding evidence of shallow-water deposition, and providing optimal paleowater depths from benthic foraminiferal biofacies.

The principal objectives at Site U1354 were

1. To sample facies landward of rollovers of progradational sequence boundaries U8–U19, and in particular to use benthic foraminiferal biofacies to estimate paleowater depths both above and below sequence boundaries. This information will be used to calculate eustatic amplitudes using two-dimensional backstripping.
2. To investigate the facies, paleoenvironments, and depositional processes associated with the sequence stratigraphic model in a proximal setting on a prograding continental margin where sequence architecture is well constrained by seismic imaging.

Lithostratigraphy

Site U1354 drilled Quaternary to early Pliocene sediments located in a water depth and location intermediate between Site U1351 on the outer shelf and Site U1353 on the mid-shelf. This site provides an excellent sedimentary record of deposition through the Holocene–Quaternary period of eustatic sea level fluctuation. Hole U1354C penetrated some of the older early Pleistocene–Pliocene seismic reflectors in the offshore Canterbury Basin, which at this site are at relatively shallow subbottom depths. Core recovery was reduced below 175 m, which hindered lithologic interpretation of the deeper parts of the section.

Lithologic changes suggest a progressive and gradual change in sedimentary style as the margin evolved and are consistent with similar observations made at the other shelf sites. Cores recovered from Holes U1354A, U1354B, and U1354C show a down-hole transition from a heterolithic upper section between 0 and 250.81 m with abrupt contacts (Unit I) to a more featureless mud-dominated succession below 250.81 m (Unit II). Unit I is further divided into an upper Subunit IA (0–145.8 m) and a lower Subunit IB (145.8–250.81 m).

Subunit IA is more heterolithic, containing dark greenish gray to olive-gray calcareous muddy sand, sandy marl, and homogeneous marl (e.g., Cores 317-U1354A-1H and 317-U1354B-10H) and very dark gray massive quartz-rich very well sorted very fine–fine sand (e.g., Cores 317-U1354A-7H and 317-U1354B-7H). It also contains examples of sharp bioturbated contacts between very fine muddy sand (sometimes calcareous), above, and silty mud, below (e.g., Section 317-U1354B-13H-2). In contrast, Subunit IB lacks the aforementioned olive-gray marls and massive sands and is characterized by more repetitive assemblages of facies (e.g., Cores 317-U1354C-19X and 21X) that consist of homogeneous greenish gray mud, which appears to be more clay rich (e.g., Sections 317-U1354C-18X-1 and 12X-2) than that of Subunit IA and contains a minor calcareous component, and greenish gray to gray calcareous sandy mud to sandy marl that often contains calcareous concretions (e.g., Sample 317-U1354C-21X-CC).

The dominant lithology of Unit II consists of very dark and dark greenish gray to gray micaceous, very fine sandy mud and mud, typically with shells. Both types of sediment have variable degrees of bioturbation ranging from absent to moderate (bioturbation indexes of 1–3). Muddy very fine sand with shells occurs as a minor lithology.

Site U1354 is interpreted to represent a slightly more shoreline-proximal equivalent of Site U1351, but Unit I appears to represent a shallower water, inner shelf setting

compared to Sites U1351 and U1353. This is surprising because Site U1354 is today in shallower water. Evidence for shoreface and subtidal deposition, including potential paleosols, suggests that Subunit IA at Site U1354 might represent a shoaled region of deposition. Several sharp, subtle contacts, along with biostratigraphic evidence for hiatuses, are evidence that sea level variations controlled depositional facies of Unit I. Unit II represents inner to mid-shelf depositional settings during the Pliocene. Potential lithologic expression of seismic sequence boundaries can be matched between all three shelf sites.

Biostratigraphy

The Holocene to lower Pliocene biostratigraphy of Site U1354 was based on the shipboard study of calcareous nannofossils, diatoms, and planktonic and benthic foraminifers in core catcher samples from Holes U1354A, U1354B, and U1354C. Additional intra-core samples were taken from selected cores to address specific age and paleoenvironmental questions using calcareous nannofossils. All microfossil groups were represented throughout the cored section, except for diatoms, which were only found in a few Pleistocene samples.

The Holocene to Pleistocene section in Hole U1354A (3.78–85.4 m), Hole U1354B (4.1–77.3 m), and Hole U1354C (0–127.8 m) was primarily dated and subdivided with calcareous nannofossils into Zones NN21–NN19. Two hiatuses were identified from nannofossil dating: (1) an intra-Pleistocene hiatus at 57.7–62.4 m in Hole U1354A and 73.7–73.9 m in Hole U1354B, where ~0.3 m.y. was missing; and (2) a hiatus at the base of the Pleistocene at 122.2–133.4 m in Hole U1354C, where ~1 m.y. was missing. Another potential hiatus was identified on the basis of calcareous nannofossil dating and magnetostratigraphic data in Holes U1354A and U1354B at 69.9 and 64.8 m, respectively. Benthic foraminifers are generally indicative of subtidal to mid-shelf depths throughout the Pleistocene, and planktonic foraminifers suggest that deposition generally occurred under sheltered inner neritic conditions, except for short-lived excursions to outer neritic and extra-neritic conditions.

The Pliocene section between 133 and 375 m was poorly dated, although calcareous nannofossil and planktonic foraminifer dating suggest the age of the section is middle Pliocene (>2.78 Ma, calcareous nannofossils) to late early Pliocene (>4.3 Ma, planktonic foraminifers). There is no biostratigraphic evidence for the upper Pliocene and it is probably missing at the level of the basal Pleistocene hiatus. Pliocene deposition occurred generally in inner shelf water depths, ranging possibly to mid-shelf depths at times, under sheltered inner neritic conditions. The age at the bottom of Hole

U1354C (357.3 m), as constrained by calcareous nannofossils and planktonic foraminifers, is late early Pliocene (3.7–4.3 Ma).

Paleomagnetism

When possible, NRM was measured before and after demagnetization at 20 mT peak fields. Persistent flux jumps in the superconducting rock magnetometer (SRM) rendered measurement difficult, and in some cases impossible, in the time available. In spite of this, a good, unambiguous record was recovered. The use of nonmagnetic core barrels throughout the overlapping Holes U1354A and U1354B allowed the identification of sediments holding reversed polarity from about 69.9 and 65 m downhole, respectively. The Brunhes/Matuyama boundary lies within an unconformity marked by a sharp lithologic boundary in both holes. Coring in Hole U1354C began just beneath this boundary and revealed sediments with reversed polarity of Matuyama age at its top. Biostratigraphic constraints indicate that the Jaramillo Subchron (C1r.1n, 0.998–1.072 Ma) was not recorded in sediments from Site U1354. Below 78.1 m, coring in Hole U1354C used the XCB system, which imparted a pervasive drilling overprint not fully removed during shipboard analyses.

Physical properties

MS, NGR, and color records show pronounced variations in the uppermost ~170 m in all three holes, and these variations are similar to the patterns observed at the previous Expedition 317 sites. Changes in MS, NGR, and color can be linked to changes in lithology. Color changes in particular highlight the utility of this parameter for distinguishing between low NGR and MS sands and marls. Abrupt changes in these records at 34 and 54 m also coincide with two observed SMTs in Hole U1354A.

P-wave measurements yielded good results over the uppermost 217 m. Good results were also gained from measurements made at Site U1353. At both Sites U1353 and U1354, the long record of good *P*-wave data can be ascribed to the absence of sediment fracturing caused by high gas content. A change between ~68 and 70 m in Hole U1354A and 64 and 65 m in Hole U1354B marks both a hiatus and the position of the Brunhes/Matuyama boundary. This boundary can also be recognized in the NGR, MS, and color data.

Porosity and void ratio decrease, and bulk density changes are compatible with the porosity trends seen at the other three Expedition 317 sites. The grain density shows some scatter, reflecting the variable lithology at this site. Sediment strength measurements have a similar pattern to that observed at all Expedition 317 sites.

Geochemistry

Gaseous hydrocarbon monitoring at Site U1354 revealed two peaks in methane content, one at 33–75 m, where headspace methane increases to a peak of 23 ppmv at 46 m, and one below ~200 m, where headspace methane increases to >20,000 ppmv. Where sulfate is zero, methane begins to increase and then decreases to near background concentrations at 60 m, the depth at which sulfate reappears in the cores. Sulfate is also fully depleted below 200 m. The upper methane zone corresponds to a zone of rapid sedimentation, above which sulfate was depleted quickly by both organic matter oxidation (one-third) and AOM (two-thirds). In the 60–178 m depth interval, the stoichiometry suggests that sulfate reduction was driven almost exclusively by AOM and that apparently sediments in this depth interval were deposited at a rate slow enough to permit continuous replenishment of dissolved sulfate by diffusion from overlying seawater.

One notable aspect of the shallow pore water chemistry profiles at Site U1354 is the lack of a low-salinity zone like that seen at ~50 m at the more landward Site U1353. This helps clarify the origin of this low-salinity zone. Because the water depth at Site U1354 is only slightly deeper than at Site U1353, there is no reason to believe that these sites experienced significantly different exposure during lowstands caused by glaciation. Therefore, the presence of a less-saline lens at Site U1353 is most likely explained by modern intrusion of meteoric water from land rather than by the historic remains of freshwater emplaced when the shelf was emergent.

Other changes in pore water chemistry at Site U1354 are probably related to carbonate diagenesis and possible contributions from deeper basinal brines. The main decreases in dissolved calcium and magnesium occur within the depth intervals characterized by sulfate reduction, methanogenesis, and AOM. These processes are commonly associated with precipitation of authigenic carbonates with distinct carbon isotopic compositions. The increase in sodium and chloride from 0 to 60 m, possibly related to an influx of saline fluid, may also account for some of the other changes seen at Site U1354, such as the increases in barium, lithium, and boron with depth.

Only 18 sediment samples were analyzed for carbonate content by the elemental analyzer because of time constraints at the end of the expedition. Calcium carbonate contents range from 1.3 to 52 wt% in the sediments analyzed down to burial depths of 81 m. Organic carbon ranges from 0.02 to 1.1 wt%, with the highest value at 50 m.

The ratio of TOC to total nitrogen generally decreases with depth, with the exception of some of the high carbonate samples in the 73–76 m depth interval.

Heat flow

Two temperature measurements were made using the Sediment Temperature Tool (SET), but results were poor because of the harsh coring conditions. Accordingly, it was impossible to determine the geothermal gradient and heat flow at Site U1354. Laboratory thermal conductivity ranged from 1.183 to 1.873 W/(m·K), showing a constant profile with depth. Overall thermal conductivity correlated negatively with porosity and positively with bulk density. However, the highest values >1.700 W/(m·K) came from very fine–fine sand layers in Holes U1354A and U1354B that were not associated with low porosity and high bulk density, probably because the sand layers consist mainly of high thermal-conductive material such as quartz.

Downhole logging

Downhole logging of Hole U1354C took place on 2 January. Based on the potential for unstable hole conditions, our previous experience logging shelf sites, and time constraints at the end of the expedition, we decided that a single logging run without radioactive sources was the most reasonable logging strategy for this site. A modified “sonic combo” tool string was deployed, measuring NGR, sonic velocities, and electrical resistivity from the seafloor to 383 m WSF.

Two units were identified in the logs. Logging Unit 1 (110–285 m WSF) is characterized by an increasing trend in gamma radiation from the top of the unit to ~185 m WSF, followed by a generally decreasing trend to the base of the unit, punctuated by abrupt high-amplitude lows in gamma radiation and peaks in resistivity and velocity. This unit is identical to Logging Unit 1 at Site U1353, and the high-amplitude features at both sites correspond to coarser grained intervals in cores. Preliminary synthetic seismograms show that the two most prominent sand-rich intervals coincide with seismic boundaries U10 and U11. Logging Unit 2 (285–384 m WSF) is characterized by slightly decreasing trends in gamma radiation and resistivity, with limited variability, and increasing velocity. Unit 2 at this site is similar to Logging Unit 2 at Site U1353, which is characterized by low core recovery associated with sandy sediments.

Operations

Transit to Site U1351 (proposed Site CB-03B)

After a 1 day transit from Wellington, New Zealand, covering 257 nmi at an average speed of 11.4 kt, the vessel was positioned over Site U1351 (proposed Site CB-03B) on 18 November 2009 at 0931 h (Universal Time Coordinated [UTC] + 13 h). The position reference was a combination of Global Positioning System (GPS) and an acoustic beacon on the seafloor, weighted heavily toward the acoustic beacon (80%). The positioning beacon (FSI BAP-547W, SN 1025, 14.0 kHz, 200 dB) was deployed at 1030 h.

Site U1351

Three holes were drilled at Site U1351 (Table T1). The first two holes were cored with the APC/XCB coring systems. Total core recovery was 332 m. Average recovery rates for Site U1351 were 87% with the APC coring system, 23% with the XCB coring system, and 31% for all cores. Hole U1351B was logged with limited success. The third hole was drilled with a 9-7/8 inch tricone bit for logging purposes. Logging was attempted, but the tool became stuck and had to be recovered. The third-generation advanced piston corer temperature tool (APCT-3) was deployed three times, and the SET temperature tool was deployed twice. Data from four out of five deployments were severely compromised, probably because of sediment that had fallen to the bottom of the hole and/or motion at the bit.

Hole U1351A

Rig floor operations commenced at 0931 h on 18 November. The drill string was spaced out, placing the bit at 126.5 m drilling depth below rig floor (DRF) or 4.9 m above the “corrected” precision depth recorder (PDR) depth of 131.4 m DRF. The first APC barrel recovered ~2.7 m of core, and an official seafloor depth was established at 133.3 m DRF. APC coring continued through Core 317-U1351A-6H to 28 m DSF using standard steel coring assemblies. All cores after Core 317-U1351A-2H were partial-stroke cores, indicating very firm near-surface sediments. The hole was terminated after Core 317-U1351A-6H.

Contamination testing was done on all cores with perfluorocarbon tracers (PFT) and microspheres. A temperature measurement was taken with the APCT-3 at Core 317-U1351A-4H. Average recovery for Hole U1351A using the APC coring system was

97.6%. The drill string was pulled back to the seafloor and the bit cleared the seabed at 2330 h on 18 November.

Hole U1351B

Hole U1351B officially began at 2330 h on 18 November. The vessel was offset 20 m south of Hole U1351A, and coring in Hole U1351B began at 0045 h on 19 November. Hole U1351B was piston cored to 94.7 m DSF with a total recovery of 81.12 m (81%). Orientation was measured on the first five cores, but poor APC coring conditions forced the tool to be removed after this point. The XCB system was deployed for most of the remaining interval, Cores 317-U1351B-14X through 116X (94.7–1030.6 m DSF). Recovery was acceptable (ranging from 20% to 100%) from the top of the XCB interval to ~429 m DSF, where it dropped to nearly zero for seven cores. We switched back to the APC system three times (Cores 317-U1351B-57H through 59H, 65H, and 78H) in an attempt to get better recovery. However, penetration was short each time (0.8–4 m; recovery was nominally high but was based on recovered length) and XCB coring was resumed. The average core recovery for all XCB cores was 23%. Coring was terminated at 1030.6 m DSF after the XCB core barrel became stuck inside the bottom-hole assembly (BHA).

After the core barrel was freed, we stopped coring to log Hole U1351B. A 50 bbl high-viscosity mud sweep was pumped to clean the hole, and the hole was displaced with 420 bbl of 9.0 ppg mud with a 100+ Marsh funnel viscosity. The drill string was tripped back to 80 m DSF, and the triple combo was rigged up and run to the bottom of the hole. Hole conditions prior to logging were good, but the gauge of the hole was largely unknown. The first logging run revealed a hole that was oversized over most of its length. Next, the FMS-sonic tool was rigged up and deployed. The tool failed to pass 618 m wireline log depth below rig floor (WRF), indicating that the hole was collapsed and bridged near that depth. The hole was logged from that point upward. A 12 bbl cement plug was pumped according to IODP policies for drilling on a continental shelf, with the string at 283 m DSF. The drill string was tripped back to the surface, and the bit cleared the rotary table at 1800 h on 25 November, ending Hole U1351B.

Contamination testing for microbiology was done every ~50 m in Hole U1351B. Both PFTs and microspheres were deployed. Temperature measurements were taken with the APCT-3 tool at Cores 317-U1351B-10H and 12H and with the SET tool at Cores 317-U1351B-16X and 42X, with mostly poor results.

Average core recovery in Hole U1351B was 84% for the APC system and 23% for the XCB system. Total recovery for Hole U1351B was 304.5 m out of a cored interval of 1030.6 m (30%).

Hole U1351C

The objective of Hole U1351C was to provide a fresh, dedicated hole for wireline logging without coring, and operations officially began at 1800 h on 25 November. The ship was offset 30 m south of Hole U1351B. A new BHA was made up with a 9-7/8 inch tricone bit fitted with a mechanical bit release. After tripping the BHA to the seafloor and picking up the top drive, drilling in Hole U1351C began at 2335 h on 25 November. The hole was drilled to 1100 m DRF and swept clean with 50 bbl of high-viscosity mud.

At 1915 h on 27 November, while the hole was being swept clean with mud in preparation for logging, a sudden wind change forced the vessel outside its maximum positioning offset (8% of water depth, or ~10 m). The vessel lost power to three of the six forward thrusters while trying to respond to the sudden shift. Position and power were reestablished within 15 min. The maximum excursion from the hole was 38 m. With all drilling parameters still normal, Hole U1351C was displaced with logging mud in preparation for logging. The drill string was tripped to 80 m DSF, and the section of drill pipe that was located at the seabed during the excursion was inspected after it was recovered at the surface. No damage to the drill string was visible.

The triple combo logging string was assembled and run into Hole U1351C. The winch lost weight with the tool at ~912 m WSF, indicating that the tool was stuck. At that point the tool could not be moved up or down. We decided to cut the logging line and trip in with drill pipe over the logging line in an attempt to recover the triple combo logging string. The logging tools were eventually recovered after tripping over the wireline from 80 to 708 m DRF. The tools were pulled up into the drill string, and the logging line was pulled up onto the rig floor using T-bars until sufficient length was recovered to attach the line to the coring winch. After the line was spooled onto the coring winch, the coring winch was used to recover the logging tools. The logging tools were set back on the rig floor, the drill string was pulled back to ~285 m DRF, and the hole was cemented with 10 bbl of 14 ppg cement. The drill string was then tripped back to the rig floor and secured for the 8 nmi transit to the next site, ending Hole U1351C at 0200 h on 30 November.

Transit to Site U1352

After a 3 h, 8 nmi move from Site U1351 in dynamic positioning mode, the vessel was positioned at Site U1352 (proposed Site CB-04B) at 0500 h (UTC + 13 h) on 30 November. The position reference was a combination of GPS and an acoustic beacon on the seafloor, weighted heavily toward the acoustic beacon (80%). The positioning beacon (FSI BAP-547W, SN 1025, 14.0 kHz, 200 dB) was deployed at 0455 h on 30 November. Water depth at the new site was 354.4 m DRF based on the PDR.

Site U1352

Four holes were drilled at Site U1352 (Table T1). The first hole was cored with the APC system to 42.2 m DSF with the objective of providing whole-round samples for microbiology, chemistry, and geotechnical studies. The second hole was cored with the APC/XCB coring systems to XCB refusal at 830.9 m DSF. The third hole was drilled and cored with the RCB coring system to the target, record depth of 1927.5 m DSF. The fourth hole was cored with the APC system to 127 m DSF while we waited for the weather to improve before transit to the next site.

Logging was attempted with partial success in Holes U1352B and U1352C. The APCT-3 temperature tool was deployed five times with good results. Overall recovery for Site U1352 was 102% with the APC, 59% with the XCB, and 51% with the RCB. The total cored interval for Site U1352 was 2296.5 m, and total recovery was 1444.1 m (63%).

Rig floor operations commenced at 0500 h on 30 November when the vessel shifted to dynamic positioning control and ended at 2100 h on 21 December when the vessel was secured for transit.

Hole U1352A

The BHA was made up with an APC/XCB bit, and coring in Hole U1352A began at 1145 h on 30 November. The mudline was established at 354.8 m DRF. APC coring continued through Core 317-U1352A-5H (42.2 m DSF) using nonmagnetic coring assemblies. Core orientation was measured on all cores, and a temperature measurement was taken with Core 317-U1352A-4H. Contamination testing was done on all cores with PFTs and microspheres. A total of 43.9 m of core was recovered in Hole U1352A (104%). The drill string was pulled back to the seafloor, and the bit cleared the seabed at 1530 h on 30 November, officially ending operations in Hole U1352A.

Hole U1352B

The vessel was offset 20 m south of Hole U1352A, and Hole U1352B was piston cored to Core 317-U1352B-36H at 297.0 m DSF with a total recovery of 299.3 m (101%). Core orientation was measured on the first 17 cores before the FlexIt tool was pulled up because of severe piston coring conditions. The XCB coring system was deployed for Cores 317-U1352B-37X through 94X (297.0–830.9 m DSF). Recovery for the XCB system was very good to ~500 m DSF. Below that depth a steadily increasing number of cores had poor recovery. A total of 533.9 m was cored, and 315.0 m was recovered (59%). Toward the bottom of the hole, the XCB cutting shoe began to overheat and the formation caused excessive torque. The risk of damaging the core barrel was too high to continue with the XCB system. A 50 bbl high-viscosity mud sweep was pumped to clean the hole.

The drilling string was tripped back to 80 m DSF, and the triple combo tool string was rigged up and run into the hole. The first logging run failed to pass 487 m WSF because the hole evidently collapsed during logging preparations. Two passes were successfully recorded. The caliper readings indicated excessive borehole size that would not permit an adequate clamp for the Versatile Seismic Imager (VSI) tool to obtain reliable data, and the VSP plan was canceled. The FMS-sonic tool string was subsequently deployed, and it also encountered a borehole obstruction somewhat higher in the hole. FMS-sonic logs were recorded from 442 m WSF. After the logging tools were rigged down, a 12 bbl cement plug was pumped at 154.4 m DSF. The drill string was tripped back to surface and cleared the rotary table at 1615 h on 5 December, ending operations in Hole U1352B.

PFTs and microspheres were deployed every ~50 m in Hole U1352B for microbiological contamination testing. Temperature measurements with the APCT-3 tool were taken with Cores 317-U1352B-6H, 10H, 15H, and 20H. All temperature measurements had good decay curves. The cored interval in Hole U1352B was 830.9 m, and total recovery was 614.3 m (74%).

Hole U1352C

The ship was offset 20 m south of Hole U1352B and operations at Hole U1352C began with the makeup of a new BHA for the RCB system, which was fitted with a mechanical bit release to facilitate logging after coring was complete. Coring in Hole U1352C began at 2015 h with a center bit installed. At ~300 m DSF the center bit was recovered, inspected, and reinstalled. The hole was further advanced to 574.7 m DSF, where

the center bit was pulled up again and a rotary core barrel was dropped. The hole was cored with the RCB system from 574.7 to 603.6 m DSF (Cores 317-U1352C-2R through 4R) and recovered 12.79 m of core (44%). The center bit was reinstalled, and drilling continued to 660.0 m DSF. The center bit was again pulled up, and rotary coring resumed for Cores 317-U1352C-6R through 41R (660.0–1009.9 m DSF). Sweeps of 20 bbl high-viscosity mud were performed every 50 m of coring to clean the cuttings from the hole. At 1900 h on 8 December, a 50 bbl sweep was pumped, and a wiper trip of the drill string was made from ~200 to 1007 m DSF and back. Coring resumed ~8 h later for Cores 317-U1352C-42R through 118R (1009.9–1661.5 m DSF), with sections of good and poor recovery. The deplugger was run, and coring intervals were reduced to 5 m several times in an attempt to improve core recovery. On 15 December at 2030 h, a 50 bbl sweep was pumped, a wiper trip of the drill string was made from ~1000 to 1662 m DSF and back, and coring resumed ~7 h later. The interval of regular 20 bbl hole cleaning mud sweeps was decreased to 40 m.

On 17 December, it became evident that the Marshall Paraconformity, the scientific target of the hole, was deeper than anticipated. Permission was requested and received to exceed the original EPSP limit of 1913 m DSF by up to 250 m (to 2163 m DSF). The Marshall Paraconformity was recovered in Core 317-U1352C-140R (1851–1861 m DSF) on 18 December. Coring continued to Core 317-U1352C-148R (1927.5 m DSF) in order to provide sufficient depth to log across the Marshall Paraconformity. At 1740 h on 19 December, the last core from Hole U1352C was received on deck.

Starting at 796 m DSF, PFTs and microspheres were deployed at ~50 m intervals throughout Hole U1352C for microbiological contamination testing.

The 1928 m penetration depth of Hole U1352C established a new single-bit, single-expedition record for the *JOIDES Resolution* and is the deepest sediment hole ever drilled by IODP or its predecessor programs. The cored interval in Hole U1352C was 1296.4 m, and 655.0 m of core was recovered (51%).

The hole was swept clean with a 50 bbl sweep of high-viscosity mud, the RCB coring bit was released, and the drill string was tripped out to ~900 m DSF. The top drive was picked back up, and 400 bbl of high-viscosity logging mud was displaced into Hole U1352C. The top drive was set back, and an attempt was made to continue the trip out of the hole. After one stand, excessive drag required the top drive to be reinstalled, and the trip out of the hole continued with rotation until the end of the string reached 545 m DRF. The top drive was then set back, and the drill string was pulled

back to 439 m DRF. The upper guide horn was removed, and the vibration-isolated television (VIT) camera was deployed to observe and document the cone of cuttings at the seafloor while logging. The drill string was set to a logging depth of 458 m DRF. The logging string was rigged up to run a modified version of the triple combo. The first logging run indicated that the hole had collapsed, and the tool string was unable to pass 562 m WRF. The tool string was pulled back to the surface and rigged down. The drill string was tripped back to the surface and cleared the rotary table at 2200 h on 20 December, ending Hole U1352C.

Hole U1352D

When operations in Hole U1352C concluded, the winds and swells were too high for operations to begin at the next site, which was in extremely shallow (<100 m) water. In anticipation of a weather delay of ~24 h, we decided to core Hole U1352D first. An APC/XCB assembly was made up, and piston coring in Hole U1352D began at 0615 h on 21 December and continued through Core 317-U1352D-14H (0–127.0 m DSF). Core recovery totaled 130.8 m (103%).

Nonmagnetic coring assemblies were used, and core orientation was measured on all cores. The drill string was pulled back to the rotary table, the BHA was racked back, and the rig floor was secured for transit at 2100 h on 21 December, ending Hole U1352D and Site U1352.

Transit to Site U1353

After an 18 nmi transit from Site U1352, the vessel was positioned over Site U1353 (proposed Site CB-01A) at 2320 h (UTC + 13 h) on 21 December. Water depth was estimated at 96.4 m DRF based on the PDR. The position reference was a combination of GPS and an acoustic beacon on the seafloor, weighted heavily toward the acoustic beacon (80%). At 2346 h on 21 December, the positioning beacon (FSI BAP-547W, SN 1025, 14.0 kHz, 200 dB) was deployed.

Site U1353

Three holes were cored or drilled at Site U1353 (Table [T1](#)). The first hole was cored with the APC system to 56 m DSF to provide sufficient samples for microbiology, chemistry, and geotechnical studies. The second hole was cored with the APC/XCB coring systems to the target depth of 614 m DSF. The third hole was drilled to 529 m DSF as a dedicated logging hole with a center bit installed in the APC/XCB BHA.

Hole U1353A has the shallowest water depth of any hole drilled by the *JOIDES Resolution* for science. The only holes on record that are shallower and that were cored by the *JOIDES Resolution* were done at the Eniwetok Lagoon on Leg 143. Holes 870A/B were cored from 48.7–49.8 m DSF with a total recovery for both holes of 0.6 m. Both holes were drilled to test the dynamic positioning system and were not drilled for scientific objectives.

Core recovery at Site U1353 was 100% for the APC system and 5% for the XCB system. The total cored interval for Site U1353 was 670.3 m with 267.86 m (40%) of recovered core samples.

Hole U1353A

Rig floor operations commenced at 2320 h on 21 December when the vessel shifted to automatic dynamic positioning control. The BHA was made up with an APC/XCB bit, and operations in Hole U1353A began at 0230 h on 21 December. APC coring continued through Core 317-U1353A-8H to 56.0 m DSF using nonmagnetic coring assemblies. Orientation was taken on the first six cores. The APCT-3 temperature tool was deployed once (Core 317-U1353A-5H) without success. The type of formation encountered proved too difficult for our temperature measurement tools. Contamination testing was done on all cores with microspheres. Overall recovery for Hole U1353A was 56.38 m over an interval of 56 m (101%). The drill string was pulled back to the seafloor, and the bit cleared the seabed at 1115 h on 22 December.

Hole U1353B

The vessel was offset 20 m south of Hole U1353A, and coring in Hole U1353B began at 1215 h on 22 December. Hole U1353B was piston cored to 80.2 m DSF with a total recovery of 80.74 m (101%). Because of the rough piston coring conditions encountered in Hole U1353A, orientation and temperature measurement tools were not deployed in this hole. In an effort to maximize recovery and make progress in the hole, the XCB coring system was deployed intermittently from Cores 317-U1353B-14X through 60H (80.2–257.7 m DSF). In this interval, 9 XCB deployments cored 69.7 m and recovered 2.53 m of core (4%), and 38 APC deployments cored 107.8 m and recovered 107.6 (100%). The superior recovery with the APC was not without penalty. Almost all of the piston cores were partial strokes (average = 3.7 m), and because the bit was advanced by recovery, the rate of penetration was very slow. Many cores had shattered core liners, and the piston seals and core catchers were damaged. In addi-

tion, the recovered material included a large amount (>50%) of reworked or fallen in material.

Below 257.7 m DSF, Cores 317-U1353B-61X through 98X were taken to a total depth of 614.3 m DSF, recovering 20.55 m (6%).

The drill string was tripped back to 250 m DRF, and a 12 bbl, 14 ppg cement plug was pumped according to IODP policies for drilling on a continental shelf. The drill string was tripped back to just above the seafloor, and the bit cleared the seafloor at 2050 h on 26 December, ending Hole U1353B. A total of 211.48 m of core was recovered over an interval of 614.3 m (34%).

Hole U1353C

The ship was offset 20 m north of Hole U1353A, and drilling in Hole U1353C, the dedicated logging hole, began at 2230 h on 26 December. The hole was drilled to 529 m DSF, swept clean with a 50 bbl high-viscosity mud sweep, and displaced with 300 bbl of high-viscosity 10.5 ppg mud. The drill string was tripped out of the hole to 202 m DRF. The triple combo logging tool string was rigged up without nuclear sources (neutron porosity and gamma ray density) to minimize operational risk. The tool string was run into the hole, tagging the bottom at 621 m WRF. The hole was logged up from there. The logging string was pulled to the surface, rigged down, and the FMS-sonic tool string was assembled and run into the hole to 343 m WRF, where an obstruction was encountered. The hole was logged up from 343 m WRF. A second attempt was made to run down with the FMS-sonic tool string, but the string was only able to reach ~300 m WRF. Hole conditions during logging continued to deteriorate until the hole collapsed completely just below 202 m WRF. After the drillers worked to free both the drill string and the logging string, the logging string partially reentered the drill string. The drill string and the logging line were pulled up onto the rig floor using T-bars from ~200 m DRF. This hole could not be cemented with the logging tools stuck in the drill string, and the hole completely collapsed as the BHA was pulled clear of the hole, making the hole impossible to cement. The logging tools were rigged down on the rig floor. The BHA was broken down and secured at 2100 h on 28 December, ending Hole U1353C and operations at Site U1353.

Transit to Site U1354

After a 7 nmi transit from Site U1353, the vessel was positioned over Site U1354 (proposed Site CB-02A) at 2320 h (UTC + 13 h) on 28 December. Water depth at the new

site was estimated at 120.4 m DRF using the PDR. The position reference was a combination of GPS and an acoustic beacon on the seafloor, weighted heavily toward the acoustic beacon (80%). At 0001 h on 29 December, the positioning beacon (FSI BAP-547W, SN 1025, 14.0 kHz, 200 dB) was deployed.

Site U1354

Three holes were cored with the APC/XCB coring systems at Site U1354 (Table T1). The third hole was drilled with a center bit installed to 65 m DSF to advance the hole after severe weather ended Hole U1354B. Logging of Hole U1354C was successfully completed with a special tool configuration that combined the NGR tool, the sonic tool, and the resistivity tool. The SET temperature tool was deployed twice without success. The type of formation encountered proved too difficult for our temperature measurement tools. Overall recovery for Site U1354 was 100% with the APC coring system and 39% with the XCB system. The total cored interval for Site U1354 was 479.8 m with 294.50 m (61%) of recovery.

Hole U1354A

Rig floor operations commenced at 2345 h on 28 December when the vessel stabilized over Hole U1354A. Coring in Hole U1354A began at 0415 h on 29 December with 3.8 m of mudline core, establishing the seafloor at 121.2 m DRF and the water depth at 109.8 m. APC coring continued through Core 317-U1354A-19H to 85.4 m DSF using nonmagnetic coring assemblies. A 2 m section (64.9–66.9 m DSF) had to be drilled to advance through a section of shells and shell fragments. Core orientation was measured on the first three cores, but hard formation and incomplete piston strokes prompted a decision to remove the tool. Temperature measurements were not attempted on this hole because hole conditions were poor and waiting for 10 min without circulation in shallow water was deemed too risky. Overall recovery for Hole U1354A using the APC coring system was 100%. The drill string was pulled back to the seafloor, and the bit cleared the seabed at 2300 h on 29 December, officially ending the hole.

Hole U1354B

The vessel was offset 20 m south of Hole U1354A, and coring in Hole U1354B began at 2330 h on 29 December. Hole U1354B was piston cored to 77.2 m DSF with a total recovery of 77.52 m (100%). Because of the rough piston coring conditions encountered in Hole U1354A, the core orientation and downhole temperature tools were not

deployed. Coring was terminated at 77.2 m DSF after 15 APC cores were taken, because highly variable winds from the south caused positioning problems. The vessel could not maintain its position in the required watch circle, so we decided to wait for the weather to improve. The drill string was tripped back to just above the seafloor, and the bit cleared the seafloor at 1245 h on 30 December, ending Hole U1353B.

Hole U1354C

Hole U1354C officially began at 0700 h on 31 December when the vessel was again able to maintain its watch circle over the new location. The ship was offset 20 m south of Hole U1354B, and coring began at 0715 h on 31 December. The hole was drilled to 65 m DSF with the center bit installed before two APC cores were taken. The core liner shattered on the second attempt, and the APC system was replaced with the XCB system to core through a particularly dense layer of shells. Core recovery with the XCB was initially very good but deteriorated downhole. Coring with the XCB continued to 384.2 m DSF (Core 317-U1354C-36X). The total penetration for Hole U1354C was 384.2 m. The total cored interval for Hole U1354C was 319.2 m with 133.37 m of core recovered (42%).

The hole was swept clean with a 50 bbl high-viscosity mud sweep and displaced with 320 bbl of high-viscosity 10.5 ppg logging mud. The drill string was tripped out of the hole to 225 m DRF (100 m DSF). A special logging string was assembled to include all basic sensors except nuclear sources into a single run. The string included resistivity (Dual Induction Tool [DIT]), sonic compressional and shear (Dipole Sonic Imager [DSI]), and natural gamma ray spectroscopy (Hostile Environment Gamma Ray Sonde [HNGS]) tools. The tool string was rigged up in the early morning of 31 December and tagged the bottom of the hole at ~505 m WRF. A short "repeat section" was recorded from there to ~440 m WRF. The tool string was returned to total depth and then recorded a main pass from total depth up to the seabed. The drill string was tripped back to 275 m DRF, and a 12 bbl, 14 ppg cement plug was pumped. At 0615 h on 31 December, the logging tools were rigged down. The drill string was then tripped to the surface and the BHA was broken down and secured for transit. After the beacons were recovered and the rig was secured for transit, operations at Hole U1354C, at Site U1354, and on Expedition 317 ended at 1200 h on 2 January 2010, and the vessel departed for the 24 h transit to Wellington, New Zealand.

References

- Abbott, S.T., 1992. The mid-Pleistocene Waiomio Shellbed (c. 500–600 ky), Wanganui Basin, New Zealand. *Alcheringa: Australas. J. Palaeontol.*, 16(2):171–180. doi:10.1080/03115519208619038
- Abbott, S.T., and Carter, R.M., 1994. The sequence architecture of mid-Pleistocene (0.35–0.95 Ma) cyclothems from New Zealand: facies development during a period of known orbital control on sea-level cyclicity. In de Boer, P.L., and Smith, D.G. (Eds.), *Orbital Forcing and Cyclic Sequences*, Spec. Publ. Int. Assoc. Sedimentol., 19:367–394.
- Abbott, S.T., and Carter, R.M., 1999. Stratigraphy of the Castlecliffian type section: 10 mid-Pleistocene sequences from the Wanganui coast, New Zealand. *N. Z. J. Geol. Geophys.*, 42:91–111. <http://www.royalsociety.org.nz/Site/publish/Journals/nzjgg/1999/8.aspx>
- Abbott, S.T., Naish, T.R., Carter, R.M., and Pillans, B.J., 2005. Sequence stratigraphy of the Nukumaruan stratotype (Pliocene-Pleistocene, c. 2.08–1.63 Ma), Wanganui Basin, New Zealand. *J. R. Soc. N. Z.*, 35(1–2):123–150.
- Adams, C.J.D., 1979. Age and origin of the southern Alps. In Walcott, R.I., and Cresswell, M.M. (Eds.), *The Origin of the Southern Alps*. Bull.—Roy. Soc. N. Z., 18:73–78.
- Austin, J.A., Jr., Christie-Blick, N., Malone, M.J., et al., 1998. *Proc. ODP, Init. Repts.*, 174A: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program). doi:10.2973/odp.proc.ir.174a.1998
- Batt, G.E., Braun, J., Kohn, B., and McDougall, I., 2000. Thermochronological analysis of the dynamics of the southern Alps, New Zealand. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 112(2):250–266. doi:10.1130/0016-7606(2000)112<0250:TAOTDO>2.3.CO;2
- Berggren, W.A., Kent, D.V., Swisher, C.C., III, and Aubry, M.-P., 1995. A revised Cenozoic geochronology and chronostratigraphy. In Berggren, W.A., Kent, D.V., Aubry, M.-P., and Hardenbol, J. (Eds.), *Geochronology, Time Scales and Global Stratigraphic Correlation*. Spec. Publ.—SEPM (Soc. Sediment. Geol.), 54:129–212.
- Billups, K., and Schrag, D.P., 2002. Paleotemperatures and ice volume of the past 27 Myr revisited with paired Mg/Ca and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O measurements on benthic foraminifers. *Paleoceanography*, 17(1):1003. doi:10.1029/2000PA000567
- Browne, G.H., and Field, B.D., 1988. A review of Cretaceous–Cenozoic sedimentation and tectonics, east coast, South Island, New Zealand. In James, D.P., and Leckie, D.A. (Eds.), *Sequences, Stratigraphy, Sedimentology: Surface and Subsurface*. Mem.—Can. Soc. Pet. Geol., 15:37–48.
- Browne, G.H., and Naish, T.R., 2003. Facies development and sequence architecture of a late Quaternary fluvial-marine transition, Canterbury Plains and shelf, New Zealand: implications for forced regressive deposits. *Sediment. Geol.*, 158(1–2):57–86. doi:10.1016/S0037-0738(02)00258-0
- Cande, S.C., and Kent, D.V., 1995. Revised calibration of the geomagnetic polarity timescale for the Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100(B4):6093–6095. doi:10.1029/94JB03098
- Cande, S.C., and Stock, J.M., 2004. Pacific–Antarctic–Australia motion and the formation of the Macquarie Plate. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 157(1):399–414. doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2004.02224.x
- Carter, L., Carter, R.M., McCave, I.N., and Gamble, J., 1996. Regional sediment recycling in the abyssal southwest Pacific Ocean. *Geology*, 24(8):735–738. doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1996)024<0735:RSRITA>2.3.CO;2

- Carter, R.M., 1985. The mid-Oligocene Marshall Paraconformity, New Zealand: coincidence with global eustatic sea-level fall or rise? *J. Geol.*, 93(3):359–371. doi:10.1086/628957
- Carter, R.M., 1988. Post-breakup stratigraphy of the Kaikoura Synthem (Cretaceous–Cenozoic), continental margin, southeastern New Zealand. *N. Z. J. Geol. Geophys.*, 31:405–429.
- Carter, R.M., 2007. The role of intermediate currents in continental shelf-slope accretion: Canterbury Drifts, SW Pacific Ocean. In Viana, A.R., and Rebesco, M. (Eds.), *Economic and Paleooceanographic Significance of Contourite Deposits*. Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ., 276(1):129–154. doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2007.276.01.07
- Carter, R.M., Abbott, S.T., Fulthorpe, C.S., Haywick, D.W., and Henderson, R.A., 1991. Application of global sea-level and sequence-stratigraphic models in Southern Hemisphere Neogene strata from New Zealand. In Macdonald, D.I.M. (Ed.), *Sedimentation, Tectonics, and Eustasy: Sea-level Changes at Active Margins*. Spec. Publ. Int. Assoc. Sedimentol., 12:41–65.
- Carter, R.M., Fulthorpe, C.S., and Lu, H., 2004a. Canterbury drifts at Ocean Drilling Program Site 1119, New Zealand: climatic modulation of southwest Pacific intermediate water flows since 3.9 Ma. *Geology*, 32(11):1005–1008. doi:10.1130/G20783.1
- Carter, R.M., and Gammon, P., 2004. New Zealand maritime glaciation: millennial-scale southern climate change since 3.9 Ma. *Science*, 304(5677):1659–1662. doi:10.1126/science.1093726
- Carter, R.M., Gammon, P.R., and Millwood, L., 2004b. Glacial–interglacial (MIS 1–10) migrations of the subtropical front across ODP Site 1119, Canterbury Bight, Southwest Pacific Ocean. *Mar. Geol.*, 205(1–4):29–58. doi:10.1016/S0025-3227(04)00017-9
- Carter, R.M., and Landis, C.A., 1972. Correlative Oligocene unconformities in southern Australasia. *Nature (London), Phys. Sci.*, 237:12–13.
- Carter, R.M., McCave, I.N., and Carter, L., 2004c. Leg 181 synthesis: fronts, flows, drifts, volcanoes, and the evolution of the southwestern gateway to the Pacific Ocean, eastern New Zealand. In Richter, C. (Ed.), *Proc. ODP, Sci. Results*, 181: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 1–111. doi:10.2973/odp.proc.sr.181.210.2004
- Carter, R.M., and Norris, R.J., 1976. Cainozoic history of southern New Zealand: an accord between geological observations and plate-tectonic predictions. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 31(1):85–94. doi:10.1016/0012-821X(76)90099-6
- Chiswell, S.M., 1996. Variability in the Southland Current, New Zealand. *N. Z. J. Mar. Freshwater Res.*, 30:1–17. <http://www.royalsociety.org.nz/Site/publish/Journals/nzjmfr/1996/100.aspx>
- Christie-Blick, N., 1991. Onlap, offlap, and the origin of unconformity-bounded depositional sequences. *Mar. Geol.*, 97(1–2):35–56. doi:10.1016/0025-3227(91)90018-Y
- Christie-Blick, N., Austin, J.A., Jr., and Shipboard Scientific Party, 1998. Introduction: Oligocene to Pleistocene eustatic change at the New Jersey continental margin—a test of sequence stratigraphy. In Austin, J.A., Jr., Christie-Blick, N., Malone, M.J., et al., *Proc. ODP, Init. Repts.*, 174A: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 5–16. doi:10.2973/odp.proc.ir.174A.101.1998
- Christie-Blick, N., and Driscoll, N.W., 1995. Sequence stratigraphy. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 23(1):451–478. doi:10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.002315
- Christie-Blick, N., Mountain, G.S., and Miller, K.G., 1990. Seismic stratigraphic record of sea-level change. In National Research Council (Ed.), *Sea-level Change*: Washington, DC (National Academy Press), 116–140.

- Cloetingh, S., McQueen, H., and Lambeck, K., 1985. On a tectonic mechanism for regional sealevel variations. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 75(2–3):157–166. doi:10.1016/0012-821X(85)90098-6
- Coombs, D.C.R., Kawach, Y., Landis, C.A., McDonough, W.F., and Reay, A., 1986. Cenozoic volcanism in north, east, and central Otago. In Smith, I.E.M. (Ed.), *Late Cenozoic Volcanism in New Zealand*. Bull.—R. Soc. N. Z., 23:278–312.
- COSOD II, 1987. *Report of the 2nd Conference on Scientific Ocean Drilling: Washington/Strasbourg* (JOIDES/European Sci. Found.).
- Dewey, J.F., and Pitman, W.C., 1998. Sea level changes: mechanisms, magnitudes and rates. In Pindell, J.L., and Drake, C.L. (Eds.), *Paleogeographic Evolution and Nonglacial Eustasy, Northern South America*. Spec. Publ.—SEPM (Soc. Sediment. Geol.), 58:1–16.
- Eberli, G., Swart, P., and Malone, M., 1996. *ODP Prelim. Rept.*, 166. doi:10.2973/odp.pr.166.1996
- Expedition 313 Scientists, 2010. New Jersey Shallow Shelf: shallow-water drilling of the New Jersey continental shelf: global sea level and architecture of passive margin sediments. *IODP Prel. Rept.*, 313. doi:10.2204/iodp.pr.313.2010
- Field, B.D., and Browne, G.H., 1989. *Cretaceous and Cenozoic Sedimentary Basins and Geological Evolution of Canterbury Region, South Island, New Zealand*. N. Z. Geol. Surv., Basin Stud., 2.
- Fleming, C.A., 1962. New Zealand biogeography: a paleontologist's approach. *Tuatara*, 10:53–108.
- Fulthorpe, C.S., Miller, K.G., Droxler, A.W., Hesselbo, S.P., Camoin, G.F., and Kominz, M.A., 2008. Drilling to decipher long-term sea-level changes and effects—a joint consortium for Ocean Leadership, ICDP, IODP, DOSECC, and Chevron workshop. *Sci. Drill.*, 6:19–28. doi:10.2204/iodp.sd.6.02.2008
- Fulthorpe, C.S., and Carter, R.M., 1989. Test of seismic sequence methodology on a Southern Hemisphere passive margin: the Canterbury Basin, New Zealand. *Mar. Pet. Geol.*, 6(4):348–359. doi:10.1016/0264-8172(89)90031-7
- Fulthorpe, C.S., and Carter, R.M., 1991. Continental-shelf progradation by sediment-drift accretion. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 103(2):300–309. doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1991)103<0300:CSPBSD>2.3.CO;2
- Fulthorpe, C.S., Carter, R.M., Miller, K.G., and Wilson, J., 1996. Marshall paraconformity: a mid-Oligocene record of inception of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current and coeval glacio-eustatic lowstand? *Mar. Pet. Geol.*, 13(1):61–77. doi:10.1016/0264-8172(95)00033-X
- Haq, B.U., Hardenbol, J., and Vail, P.R., 1987. Chronology of fluctuating sea levels since the Triassic. *Science*, 235(4793):1156–1167. doi:10.1126/science.235.4793.1156
- Hawkes, P.W., and Mound, D.G., 1984. Clipper-1 geological completion report. *N. Z. Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 1036.
- Hoernle, K., White, J.D.L., van den Bogaard, P., Hauff, F., Coombs, D.S., Werner, R., Timm, C., Garbe-Schönberg, D., Reay, A., and Cooper, A.F., 2006. Cenozoic intraplate volcanism on New Zealand: upwelling induced by lithospheric removal. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 248(1–2):350–367. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.06.001
- Kamp, P.J.J., 1987. Age and origin of the New Zealand orocline in relation to alpine fault movement. *J. Geol. Soc. (London, U. K.)*, 144(4):641–652. doi:10.1144/gsjgs.144.4.0641
- Karner, G.D., 1986. Effects of lithospheric in-plane stress on sedimentary basin stratigraphy. *Tectonics*, 5(4):573–588. doi:10.1029/TC005i004p00573

- Karner, G.D., Driscoll, N.W., and Weissel, J.K., 1993. Response of the lithosphere to in-plane force variations. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 114(4):397–416. doi:10.1016/0012-821X(93)90072-H
- Kennett, J.P., and von der Borch, C.C., 1986. Southwest Pacific Cenozoic paleoceanography. In Kennett, J.P., von der Borch, C.C., et al., *Init. Repts. DSDP*, 90: Washington, DC (U.S. Govt. Printing Office), 1493–1517. doi:10.2973/dsdp.proc.90.148.1986
- King, P.R., 2000. Tectonic reconstructions of New Zealand: 40 Ma to the present. *N. Z. J. Geol. Geophys.*, 43:611–638.
- Kominz, M.A., Miller, K.G., and Browning, J.V., 1998. Long-term and short-term global Cenozoic sea-level estimates. *Geology*, 26(4):311–314. doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1998)026<0311:LTASTG>2.3.CO;2
- Kominz, M.A., and Pekar, S.F., 2001. Oligocene eustasy from two-dimensional sequence stratigraphic backstripping. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 113(3):291–304. doi:10.1130/0016-7606(2001)113<0291:OEFTDS>2.0.CO;2
- Lavier, L.L., Steckler, M.S., and Brigaud, F., 2000. An improved method for reconstructing the stratigraphy and bathymetry of continental margins: application to the Cenozoic tectonic and sedimentary history of Congo margin. *AAPG Bull.*, 78:923–939.
- Lavier, L.L., Steckler, M.S., and Brigaud, F., 2001. Climatic and tectonic control on the Cenozoic evolution of the West African margin. *Mar. Geol.*, 178(1–4):63–80. doi:10.1016/S0025-3227(01)00175-X
- Lewis, D.W., 1992. Anatomy of an unconformity on mid-Oligocene Amuri limestone, Canterbury, New Zealand. *N. Z. J. Geol. Geophys.*, 35(4):463–475.
- Loutit, T.S. (Ed.), 1992. *JOIDES Sea Level Working Group (SLWG) Report*: Woods Hole, MA (Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute).
- Lu, H., and Fulthorpe, C.S., 2004. Controls on sequence stratigraphy of a middle Miocene–Holocene, current-swept, passive margin: offshore Canterbury Basin, New Zealand. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 116(11):1345–1366. doi:10.1130/B2525401.1
- Lu, H., Fulthorpe, C.S., and Mann, P., 2003. Three-dimensional architecture of shelf-building sediment drifts in the offshore Canterbury Basin, New Zealand. *Mar. Geol.*, 193(1–2):19–47. doi:10.1016/S0025-3227(02)00612-6
- Lu, H., Fulthorpe, C.S., Mann, P., and Kominz, M., 2005. Miocene–Recent tectonic and climate controls on sediment supply and sequence stratigraphy: Canterbury Basin, New Zealand. *Basin Res.*, 17(2):311–328.
- McGonigal, K., and Di Stefano, A., 2002. Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy of the Eocene–Oligocene Transition, ODP Sites 1123 and 1124. In Richter, C. (Ed.), *Proc. ODP, Sci. Results*, 181: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 1–22. doi:10.2973/odp.proc.sr.181.207.2002
- McHugh, C.M.G., and Olson, H.C., 2002. Pleistocene chronology of continental margin sedimentation: new insights into traditional models, New Jersey. *Mar. Geol.*, 186(3–4):389–411. doi:10.1016/S0025-3227(02)00198-6
- Miall, A.D., and Miall, C.E., 2001. Sequence stratigraphy as a scientific enterprise: the evolution and persistence of conflicting paradigms. *Earth Sci. Rev.*, 54(4):321–348. doi:10.1016/S0012-8252(00)00041-6
- Miller, K.G., et al., 1994. *Proc. ODP, Init. Repts.*, 150X: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program). doi:10.2973/odp.proc.ir.150X.1994

- Miller, K.G., Kominz, M.A., Browning, J.V., Wright, J.D., Mountain, G.S., Katz, M.E., Sugarman, P.J., Cramer, B.S., Christie-Blick, N., and Pekar, S.F., 2005. The Phanerozoic record of global sea-level change. *Science*, 310(5752):1293–1298. doi:10.1126/science.1116412
- Miller, K.G., and Mountain, G.S., 1994. Global sea-level change and the New Jersey margin. In Mountain, G.S., Miller, K.G., Blum, P., et al., *Proc. ODP, Init. Repts.*, 150: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 11–20. doi:10.2973/odp.proc.ir.150.102.1994
- Miller, K.G., Mountain, G.S., the Leg 150 Shipboard Party, and Members of the New Jersey Coastal Plain Drilling Project, 1996. Drilling and dating New Jersey Oligocene–Miocene sequences: ice volume, global sea level, and Exxon records. *Science*, 271(5252):1092–1095. doi:10.1126/science.271.5252.1092
- Miller, K.G., Sugarman, P.J., Browning, J.V., et al., 1998. *Proc. ODP, Init. Repts.*, 174AX: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program). doi:10.2973/odp.proc.ir.174AX.1998
- Miller, K.G., Wright, J.D., and Fairbanks, R.G., 1991. Unlocking the ice house: Oligocene–Miocene oxygen isotopes, eustasy, and margin erosion. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96(B4):6829–6848. doi:10.1029/90JB02015
- Milne, A.D., Simpson, C., and Threadgold, P., 1975. Resolution-1 well completion report. *N. Z. Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 648.
- Mitchum, R.M., Vail, P.R., and Thompson, S., 1977. Seismic stratigraphy and global changes of sea level, part 2: The depositional sequence as a basic unit for stratigraphic analysis. In Payton, C.E. (Ed.), *Seismic Stratigraphy: Applications to Hydrocarbon Exploration*. AAPG Mem., 26:53–62.
- Molnar, P., Atwater, T., Mammerickx, J., and Smith, S., 1975. Magnetic anomalies, bathymetry and the tectonic evolution of the South Pacific since the Late Cretaceous. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 40:383–420.
- Morono, Y., Terada, T., Masui, N., and Inagaki, F., 2009. Discriminative detection and enumeration of microbial life in marine subsurface sediments. *ISME J.*, 3(5):503–511. doi:10.1038/ismej.2009.1
- Morris, M., Stanton, B., and Neil, H., 2001. Subantarctic oceanography around New Zealand: preliminary results from an ongoing survey. *N. Z. J. Mar. Freshwater Res.*, 35:499–519.
- Mountain, G.S., Miller, K.G., Blum, P., et al., 1994. *Proc. ODP, Init. Repts.*, 150: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program). doi:10.2973/odp.proc.ir.150.1994
- Naish, T.R., Wehland, F., Wilson, G.S., Browne, G.H., Cook, R.A., Morgans, H.E.G., Rosenberg, M., King, P.R., Smale, D., Nelson, C.S., Kamp, P.J.J., and Ricketts, B., 2005. An integrated sequence stratigraphic, palaeoenvironmental, and chronostratigraphic analysis of the Tangahoe Formation, southern Taranaki coast, with implications for mid-Pliocene (c. 3.4–3.0 Ma) glacio-eustatic sea level changes. *J. R. Soc. N. Z.*, 35(1–2):151–196. <http://www.royalsociety.org.nz/Site/publish/Journals/jrsnz/2005/006.aspx>
- Nelson, C.S., and Cooke, P.J., 2001. History of oceanic front development in the New Zealand sector of the Southern Ocean during the Cenozoic—a synthesis. *N. Z. J. Geol. Geophys.*, 44:535–553. <http://www.royalsociety.org.nz/Site/publish/Journals/nzjgg/2001/38.aspx>
- Norris, R.J., Carter, R.M., and Turnbull, I.M., 1978. Cainozoic sedimentation in basins adjacent to a major continental transform boundary in southern New Zealand. *J. Geol. Soc. (London, U. K.)*, 135(2):191–205. doi:10.1144/gsjgs.135.2.0191
- Osterberg, E.C., 2006. Late Quaternary (marine isotope stages 6–1) seismic sequence stratigraphic evolution of the Otago continental shelf, New Zealand. *Mar. Geol.*, 229(3–4):159–178. doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2006.03.005

- Posamentier, H.W., Jervey, M.T., and Vail, P.R., 1988. Eustatic controls on clastic deposition, I. Conceptual framework. *In* Wilgus, C.K., Hastings, B.S., Ross, C.A., Posamentier, H.W., Van Wagoner, J., and Kendall, C.G.St.C. (Eds.), *Sea-Level Changes: An Integrated Approach*. Spec. Publ.—Soc. Econ. Paleontol. Mineral., 42:109–124.
- Posamentier, H.W., and Vail, P.R., 1988. Eustatic controls on clastic deposition, II: Sequence and systems tract models. *In* Wilgus, C.K., Hastings, B.S., Ross, C.A., Posamentier, H.W., Van Wagoner, J., and Kendall, C.G.St.C. (Eds.), *Sea-Level Changes: An Integrated Approach*. Spec. Publ.—Soc. Econ. Paleontol. Mineral., 42:125–154.
- Reyes, A.G., 2007. Abandoned oil and gas wells: a reconnaissance study of an unconventional geothermal resource. *GNS Sci. Rep.*, 2007/23.
- Reynolds, D.J., Steckler, M.S., and Coakley, B.J., 1991. The role of the sediment load in sequence stratigraphy: the influence of flexural isostasy and compaction. *J. Geophys. Res., [Solid Earth]*, 96(B4):6931–6949. doi:10.1029/90JB01914
- Saul, G., Naish, T.R., Abbott, S.T., and Carter, R.M., 1999. Sedimentary cyclicity in the marine Pliocene–Pleistocene of the Wanganui Basin (New Zealand): sequence stratigraphic motifs characteristic of the past 2.5 m.y. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 111(4):524–537. doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1999)111<0524:SCITMP>2.3.CO;2
- Shipboard Scientific Party, 1999a. Leg 181 summary: southwest Pacific paleoceanography. *In* Carter, R.M., McCave, I.N., Richter, C., Carter, L., et al., *Proc. ODP, Init. Repts.*, 181: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 1–80. doi:10.2973/odp.proc.ir.181.101.2000
- Shipboard Scientific Party, 1999b. Site 1119: drift accretion on Canterbury Slope. *In* Carter, R.M., McCave, I.N., Richter, C., Carter, L., et al., *Proc. ODP, Init. Repts.*, 181: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 1–112. doi:10.2973/odp.proc.ir.181.103.2000
- Steckler, M.S., Mountain, G.S., Miller, K.G., and Christie-Blick, N., 1999. Reconstruction of Tertiary progradation and clinoform development on the New Jersey passive margin by 2-D backstripping. *Mar. Geol.*, 154(1–4):399–420. doi:10.1016/S0025-3227(98)00126-1
- Tippett, J.M., and Kamp, P.J.J., 1993a. Fission track analysis of the late Cenozoic vertical kinematics of continental Pacific crust, South Island, New Zealand. *J. Geophys. Res., [Solid Earth Planets]*, 98(9):16119–16148.
- Tippett, J.M., and Kamp, P.J.J., 1993b. The role of faulting in rock uplift in the Southern Alps, New Zealand. *N. Z. J. Geol. Geophys.*, 36(4):497–504.
- Vail, P.R., Audemard, F., Bowman, S.A., Eisner, P.N., and Perez-Cruz, G., 1991. The stratigraphic signatures of tectonics, eustasy, and sedimentology—an overview. *In* Einsele, G., Ricken, W., and Seilacher, A. (Eds.), *Cycles and Events in Stratigraphy*: Berlin (Springer), 617–659.
- Van Wagoner, J.C., Posamentier, H.W., Mitchum, R.M., Jr., Vail, P.R., Sarg, J.F., Loutit, T.S., and Hardenbol, J., 1988. An overview of the fundamentals of sequence stratigraphy and key definitions. *In* Wilgus, C.K., Hastings, B.S., Ross, C.A., Posamentier, H.W., Van Wagoner, J., and Kendall, C.G.St.C. (Eds.), *Sea-Level Changes: An Integrated Approach*. Spec. Publ.—Soc. Econ. Paleontol. Mineral., 42:39–45.
- Walcott, R.I., 1998. Modes of oblique compression: late Cenozoic tectonics of the South Island of New Zealand. *Rev. Geophys.*, 36(1):1–26. doi:10.1029/97RG03084
- Ward, D.M., and Lewis, D.W., 1975. Paleoenvironmental implications of storm-scoured ichnofossiliferous mid-Tertiary limestones, Waihao District, South Canterbury, New Zealand. *N. Z. J. Geol. Geophys.*, 18:881–908.
- Watkins, J.S., and Mountain, G.S. (Eds.), 1990. *Role of ODP Drilling in the Investigation of Global Changes in Sea Level* [JOI/USSAC Workshop, El Paso, TX, 24–26 October 1988].

- Watters, W.A., 1978. Tertiary volcanism–Miocene. *In* Suggate, P.R., and Stevens, G.R. (Eds.), *The Geology of New Zealand*: Wellington (New Zealand Govt. Printer), 2:637–644.
- Wellman, H.W., 1971. Age of the Alpine Fault, New Zealand. *Proc Int. Geol. Congr*, 22nd, 4:148–162.
- Wilding, A., and Sweetman, I.A.D., 1971. Endeavour-1 petroleum report. *N. Z. Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 303.
- Wilson, I.R., 1985. Galleon-1 geological completion report. *N. Z. Geol. Surv. Open-File Rep.*, 1146.

Expedition 317 Preliminary Report

Table T1. Coring summary, Expedition 317. (See table note.)

Hole	Latitude	Longitude	Water depth (m)	Cores (N)	Interval cored (m)	Core recovered (m)	Recovery (%)	Drilled interval (m)	Total penetration (m)	Time on hole (h)	Time on site (days)
U1351A	44°53.0307'S	171°50.4037'E	122.3	6	28.0	27.3	98	0.0	28.0	14.0	
U1351B	44°53.0422'S	171°50.4065'E	121.7	116	1030.6	304.5	30	0.0	1030.6	162.5	
U1351C	44°53.0572'S	171°50.4057'E	121.7	NA	0.0	NA	NA	967.3	967.3	104.0	
Site U1351 totals:				122	1058.6	331.8	64	967.3	2025.9	280.5	11.7
U1352A	44°56.2440'S	172°1.3615'E	343.8	5	42.2	43.9	104	0.0	42.2	3.8	
U1352B	44°56.2558'S	172°1.3630'E	343.6	94	830.9	613.9	74	0.0	830.9	120.8	
U1352C	44°56.2662'S	172°1.3630'E	343.5	148	1296.4	655.0	51	631.1	1927.5	361.8	
U1352D	44°56.2326'S	172°1.3611'E	344.2	14	127.0	130.8	103	0.0	127.0	23.0	
Site U1352 totals:				261	2296.5	1443.6	83	631.1	2927.6	509.3	21.2
U1353A	44°46.1079'S	171°40.4368'E	84.2	8	56.0	56.4	101	0.0	56.0	12.0	
U1353B	44°46.1203'S	171°40.4407'E	84.7	98	614.3	211.5	34	0.0	614.3	105.5	
U1353C	44°46.0982'S	171°40.4380'E	84.7	NA	0.0	NA	NA	529.0	529.0	48.3	
Site U1353 totals:				106	670.3	267.9	68	529.0	1199.3	165.8	6.9
U1354A	44°50.8281'S	171°47.2096'E	109.8	19	85.4	84.4	101	0.0	85.4	23.3	
U1354B	44°50.8367'S	171°47.2069'E	113.4	15	77.2	77.5	100	0.0	77.2	13.8	
U1354C	44°50.8487'S	171°47.2080'E	113.4	36	319.2	133.4	42	65.0	384.2	53.8	
Site U1354 totals:				70	481.8	295.3	81	65.0	546.8	90.8	3.8
Expedition 317 totals:				559	6003.5	2338.6	74	696.1	6699.6	1046.3	43.6

Note: NA = not applicable.

Table T2. Sequences drilled during Expedition 317. (See table notes.) (Continued on next page.)

Sequence boundary	Predicted age (Ma)	Site U1353 (CB-01A)			Site U1354 (CB-02A)		
		Predicted depth (mbsf)	Depth CSF-A (m)	Preliminary age (Ma)	Predicted depth (mbsf)	Depth CSF-A (m)	Preliminary age (Ma)
U19	0.1	12		<0.29, possibly <0.1 (N)	11		<0.29, possibly <0.1 (N)
U18	0.3	26		<0.29, possibly <0.1 (N)	22		<0.29, possibly <0.1 (N)
U17	0.7	59		0.29–0.44 (N)	51		0.29–0.44 (N)
U16	1.1	72		0.44–1.01, probably ~0.5 (N)	64		0.44–0.91 (N)
U15	1.4	Absent			79	65–69	>0.78 (B/M boundary) 1.26–1.34 (best age for U15)
U14	1.7	91		1.34–1.56 (N)	93		1.34–1.69 (N)
U13	2.4	112		1.69–1.73 (N)	121		1.69–1.81 (N) Above .73–1.81 (N)
			121.16–135.71 135.71	Pleistocene/Pliocene boundary >2.78 (N)		122.20–133.37	Pleistocene/Pliocene boundary Below >2.78 (N)
U12	2.6	151		3.7 (N)–4.3 (P)	156		>2.78 (N) possibly >3.7
U11	3.6	171		3.7 (N)–4.3 (P)	177		>2.78 (N) possibly >3.7
U10	3.65	192		3.7 (N)–4.3 (P)	211		3.7 (N)–4.3 (P)
U9	3.7	241		3.7 (N)–4.3 (P)	279		3.7 (N)–4.3 (P)
U8	5	290		>4.3 (P)	344		3.7 (N)–4.3 (P)
U7	9	400		>4.3 (P)	467		Not penetrated
U6	10.4	491		>4.3 (P)	713		Not penetrated
		New u/c	518.66	Below u/c >12.03 (N)			
U5	11.3	597		Middle Miocene assemblage			
U4	12.4	679		Not penetrated			
:	:						
:	:						
:	:						
DLS	28						
MP = Green	?						

Notes: (N) = ages derived from nannofossils, (P) = ages derived from planktonic foraminifers, u/c = unconformity, TD = total depth, MP = Marshall Paraconformity (“Green” horizon of industry interpreters), DLS = seismic downlap surface originally thought to be MP, B/M = Brunhes/Matuyama.

Table T2 (continued).

Sequence boundary	Predicted age (Ma)	Site U1351 (CB-03B)			Site U1352 (CB-04B)		
		Predicted depth (mbsf)	Depth CSF-A (m)	Preliminary age (Ma)	Predicted depth (mbsf)	Depth CSF-A (m)	Preliminary age (Ma)
U19	0.1	17		<0.29, possibly <0.1 (N)	68		<0.29, possibly <0.1 (N)
U18	0.3	31		<0.29, possibly <0.1 (N)	142		0.29–0.44 (N)
U17	0.7	51		0.29–0.44 (N), >0.6 (B)	195		0.44–0.91 (N)
U16	1.1	64		0.44–1.01 (N), >0.6 (B)	249		0.44–0.91 (N)
U15	1.4	86		1.34–1.69 (N)	428	266.92	0.91 (N) 1.56–1.69 (N)
U14	1.7	103		1.34–1.69 (N)	448		1.56–1.69 (N)
U13	2.4	135		Above: 1.69–1.81 (N)	500		1.69 (1.73?)–1.81
			134.48–147.55	Pleistocene/Pliocene boundary Below: ?>2.7 (P)		504.14–507.09	Pleistocene/Pliocene boundary Below >2.7 (P)
U12	2.6	166		?>2.7 (P)	Absent	542.58	>2.78 (N)
U11	3.6	195		?>2.7 (P)	769		>2.78 (N)
U10	3.65	237		3.7 (N)–4.3 (P)	Absent	889.64	3.7 (N)–4.3 (P)
U9	3.7	312		3.7 (N)–4.3 (P)	970		3.7 (N)–4.3 (P)
U8	5	394		4.3–5.3 (P)	1136		3.7 (N)–4.3 (P)
U7	9	614		4.3–5.3 (P)	1251	1151.22	4.3 (P) 4.49–5.3 (P)
			819.12–822.69	Pliocene/Miocene boundary (5.3 Ma)		1266.38–1283.95	Pliocene/Miocene boundary (5.3 Ma)
U6	10.4	895		5.6–7.07 (P)	New u/c 1428	1394.62–1409.66	10.58 below 10.58–10.91 (P)
			New u/c 998.34–1005.34 1024 (TD)	Below u/c: 10.50–10.91 (P) 10.50–10.91 (P)	New u/c or U5?	1486.8–1496.5	11.64–12.98 (P)
U5	11.3	1073			Absent?		
U4	12.4	1153		Not penetrated	Absent?		
:	:						
:	:						
:	:						
DLS	28			Not penetrated	1647		13.53–15.80 (N)
						1848.49	~19 above MP
MP = Green	?			Not penetrated	1824	~1850	
						1852.7	30.1–32.0 below MP
					TD	1924.26	35.2 (N)–36.0 (P)

Figure F1. Map of the Canterbury Basin on the eastern margin of South Island, New Zealand. Inset map shows site locations with primary and alternate proposed sites marked in red and yellow, respectively (also see Fig. F3).




Figure F2. The Canterbury Basin underlies the present-day onshore Canterbury Plains and offshore continental shelf. It is bounded by the Miocene volcanic centers of the Banks Peninsula (BP) (5.8–12 Ma) (Watters, 1978) to the northeast and the Otago Peninsula (OP) (9.6–16.0 Ma) (Coombs et al., 1986; Hoernle et al., 2006) to the southwest and faces the Bounty Trough to the southeast. The Alpine Fault is the boundary between the Australasian and Pacific plates. Locations of the modern Southland Front and Subantarctic Front, together with local gyre within the Bounty Trough, are shown (Chiswell, 1996; Shipboard Scientific Party, 1999a; Morris et al., 2001). Also shown are the four exploration wells in the offshore basin (Resolution [R], Clipper [C], Endeavour [E], and Galleon [G]) as well as ODP Site 1119. A fifth well, Cutter, was drilled in 2006; information concerning Cutter-1, however, is sparse. Bathymetric contours are in meters.




Figure F3. Drilled and alternate sites, together with EW00-01 high-resolution (frequencies as high as 300 Hz) multichannel seismic (MCS) grid (thick straight lines), low-resolution CB-82 commercial MCS grid (thin straight lines), exploration wells Clipper and Resolution, and ODP Site 1119. The EW00-01 survey was designed to provide improved vertical resolution (~5 m in the upper 1 s) to enhance our definition of high-frequency sedimentary sequences. Also shown is the distribution of seismically resolvable sediment drifts D1–D11 (blue curved lines = drift mound crests). Drifts D1–D6 and D10–D11 are simple elongate drifts, and D7–D9 are complex drifts. Drift D8 has three subcrests (D8-1, D8-2, and D8-3) and is a multicrested complex drift. Drift D9 is a multistage drift with superimposed subdrifts D9-1, D9-2, and D9-3. Dashed blue lines = drifts identified on CB-82 profiles. Thick black lines = locations of dip profiles shown in Figures F7, F8, and F9 (66, 12, and 60, respectively). Other dip profiles containing alternate sites are also labeled (12, 14, and 70). Bathymetric contours are in meters.




Figure F4. Schematic stratigraphy of the Canterbury Basin at three different scales. [Modified from Fulthorpe et al., 1996.] **A.** Large-scale postrift stratigraphy (see profile location in Fig. F2). Onekākara, Kekenodon, and Otakou groups were deposited during regional transgressive, highstand, and regressive phases, respectively. **B.** Seismic-scale stratigraphy. The sediment drifts occur within the Otakou Group. The limestones are shown as distal facies of the uppermost transgressive Onekākara Group and lowermost regressive Otakou Group. **C.** Outcrop-scale stratigraphy across the Marshall Paraconformity.




Figure F5. Total and tectonic subsidence curves for the Clipper exploration well (Fig. F2), with and without paleowater depth estimates. The curve with paleowater depths shows that most tectonic subsidence occurred during the early Late Cretaceous, with mild uplift during the latest Cretaceous. Little tectonic subsidence has occurred during the last 30 m.y. The increase in the rate of tectonic subsidence beginning at ~8 Ma may be a response to increasing convergence at the Alpine Fault (curves provided by G. Browne, R. Wood, and R. Funnell, New Zealand Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences).




Figure F6. Revised subsidence analysis for the Clipper exploration well with eustatic estimates. **A.** Water depth (WD) (blue), tectonic subsidence (red), and theoretical thermal curves (minimum and maximum) for the Clipper exploration well (Figs. F2, F3). As Figure F5 also shows, most tectonic subsidence occurred during the early Late Cretaceous, with mild uplift during the latest Cretaceous. This analysis also shows the low rates of tectonic subsidence between 30 and ~8 Ma and the increase in total subsidence beginning at ~8 Ma, which may be associated with increasing convergence at the Alpine Fault. **B.** R2, an estimate of eustasy, has large uncertainties due to the poorly constrained paleowater depths of much of the sediment preserved in the Clipper well (M. Kominz, unpubl. data). NJ = New Jersey.





Figure F7. A. Uninterpreted multichannel seismic (MCS) dip Profile EW00-01-66 from the southern part of the survey grid showing the locations of Sites U1351, U1353, and U1354 (proposed Sites CB-03B, CB-01A, and CB-02A). Red = actual penetrations, yellow = proposed penetrations. Proposed alternate Sites CB-01B, CB-02B, and CB-03A (yellow) are also shown (see Fig. F3 for location). **B.** Interpretation showing sequence boundaries and selected locations of onlap, truncation, and downlap (arrows). Circles = clinoform rollovers, or breaks, representing paleoshelf edges. Paleoshelf edges from sequence boundaries U4–U8 prograde steadily. The amount of progradation decreases from U8–U12, increasing again from U13–U19. Sequence boundaries U4–U8 are overlapped and truncate underlying reflections; internal reflection geometries from U4–U9 are mainly sigmoid. In contrast, U10–U19 are overlapped on paleoshelves but also truncate underlying reflections, and internal reflection geometries from U9 to the seafloor are oblique. Site U1351 (proposed Site CB-03B) sampled the paleoslopes of U6–U7 and sampled U8–U19 landward of their paleoshelf edges. Sites U1353 and U1354 sampled U5–U18 landward of their paleoshelf edges in a more proximal setting. Sediment drift development in this area largely ceased by U4. Only drift D5 is present; it is capped by a post-drift slope platform at U4. Prograding clinoforms dominate later sequences and the slope steepens (to 3–5?). Drifts migrate northwestward (landward) on dip profiles. Erosional unconformities at the landward edges of drift moats are diachronous and intersected by multiple sequence boundaries. (Figure shown on next page.)

Figure F7 (continued). (Caption shown on previous page.)



105

Figure F8. A. Uninterpreted dip Profile EW00-01-12 across the slope in the northern part of the survey grid (see Fig. F3 for location). B. Interpretation showing sequence boundaries and selected locations of reflection truncation (arrows). Undrilled contingency Site CB-05C was designed to sample drift D11, the last of the large elongate drifts of the Canterbury Basin. The underlying Marshall Paraconformity (MP) is also shown (see Fig. F4).




Figure F9. A. Uninterpreted dip Profile EW00-01-60 across the slope in the southern part of the survey grid (see Fig. F3 for location). B. Interpretation showing sequence boundaries and selected locations of reflection truncation (arrows). Site U1352 (proposed Site CB-04B) samples slope facies of sequences, particularly important for age control (see Fig. F11 caption), as well as the underlying Marshall Paraconformity (MP). Red = actual penetration, yellow = proposed penetration. Alternate Site CB-04A is also shown.




Figure F10. Comparison of (A) the colocated commercial multichannel seismic (MCS) profile and (B) the high-resolution MCS profile across a large sediment drift in the offshore Canterbury Basin, showing the improved imaging of the high-resolution profile. The commercial profile has a vertical resolution of ~20 m, whereas that of the high-resolution profile is ~5 m in the upper second.




Figure F11. Predrilling age estimates of seismic sequence boundaries and tentative correlation with the oxygen isotopic record, sedimentation rates, and Alpine Fault convergence rates. Drilling results show that predrilling age estimates tend to be too old. Nevertheless, the tentative correlations remain valid. Two isotopic records are shown, both from Billups and Schrag (2002): (1) unmodified benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record from ODP Site 747; (2) the same record corrected using Mg/Ca thermometry to remove the temperature effect and yield the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of seawater ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sw}}$), predominantly a response to ice volume and therefore an enhanced proxy for global sea level. The $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sw}}$ record correlates better both with individual seismic sequences and longer term progradational and aggradational trends, as well as with the Haq et al. (1987) eustatic curve (also shown, recalibrated to the timescale of Berggren et al., 1995). This suggests eustatic control of sequence timing. Cooling Events Mi2, Mi3a, Mi3b, Mi4, and Mi5, derived from the oxygen isotope records from DSDP Site 608 and ODP Sites 703 and 704 (Miller et al., 1996) are shown. Average sedimentation rates are calculated from estimated sequence volumes. The perpendicular component of motion at the Alpine Fault plate boundary was calculated using the method of Cande and Stock (2004). Finite rotations for Australia–Pacific motion were determined for 4 chrons (2A, 2.58 Ma; 3A, 6.04 Ma; 5, 11.53 Ma; 6, 20.13 Ma; ages are those of Cande and Kent [1995]). The increase in both sedimentation and convergence rates over the last 5–8 m.y. correlates well. The early phase of high sedimentation rates (S2–S4) may reflect global processes. See text for further discussion. A summary of South Island tectonic and volcanic events is also shown. (Figure shown on next page.)

Figure F11 (continued). (Caption shown on previous page.)




Figure F12. Correlation of sequence boundaries U1–U14 (ages are predrilling estimates and tend to be too old) with periods of activity of sediment drifts and the oxygen isotopic record from DSDP Site 588 in the southwest Pacific (Kennett and von der Borch, 1986). The relative size of the ellipse representing each drift is an approximate measure of drift volume. Drifts D1–D4 are of short duration (~3 m.y.), whereas D10–D11 are larger and longer lived (~7 m.y.). Dark and light shading represent cool and warm periods, respectively, as defined by Kennett and von der Borch. (1986).




Figure F13. Correlation of lithologic units defined at shelf Sites U1353, U1354, and U1351 to interpreted dip-oriented seismic Profile EW00-01-66. **A.** Uninterpreted profile showing site locations (see also Fig. F7). **B.** Interpretation. MP = Marshall Paraconformity.




Figure F14. Correlation of lithologic units defined at slope Site U1352 to interpreted dip-oriented seismic Profile EW00-01-60. **A.** Uninterpreted profile showing site location (see also Fig. F7). **B.** Interpretation. MP = Marshall Paraconformity.




Figure F15. Summary of lithology and unit boundaries for each site. Seismic sequence boundaries U19–U5 are shown schematically, intersecting each site at their predicted depths. Ages were determined from biostratigraphy. MP = Marshall Paraconformity.




Figure F16. Carbonate component percent estimates from smear slide data (blue circles), plotted with carbonate percent from coulometry analyses (red diamonds), and carbonate estimates from thin sections (purple crosses). Seismic sequence boundaries U19–U5 are shown schematically, intersecting each site at their predicted depths. Ages were determined from biostratigraphy. MP = Marshall Paraconformity.




Figure F17. Summary of core recovery across the Expedition 317 transect. Recovery was particularly low in lithologic Unit II at shelf Sites U1353, U1354, and U1351. MP = Marshall Paraconformity.




Figure F18. The Expedition 317 drilling transect across the shelf and upper slope of the Canterbury Basin permits correlation of lithology to seismic sequence boundaries. Lithologic contacts and their overlying associated facies were documented, and preliminary correlations were made to the predicted depths of sequence boundaries. At Sites U1352 and U1354, at which recovery was high, there is good correlation between the sedimentary and seismic records, particularly above seismic sequence boundary U10. The figure illustrates the depths of lithologic contacts at each site (e.g., inner shelf, IS-E1 [99 m]). Seismic sequence boundaries U19–U5 are shown schematically, intersecting each site at their predicted depths. Future shore-based studies will expand on these initial results. Black lines = seismic sequence boundaries, red lines = lithologic boundaries. MP = Marshall Paraconformity.




Figure F19. Enlargement of the shelf portion of the Expedition 317 transect showing lithologic contacts and correlative seismic sequence boundaries. Note that contacts shown in blue at Site U1353 are particularly tentative because of poor recovery in that interval.




Figure F20. Chronostratigraphic framework and biostratigraphic ages of predicted seismic sequence boundary units across the Expedition 317 drilling transect. Pleistocene ages are derived from calcareous nannofossils. Pliocene–Eocene ages are derived from calcareous nannofossils and planktonic foraminifers (early Pliocene–late Miocene ages are primarily from planktonic foraminifers). Major hiatuses indicated by microfossil biostratigraphy are displayed in the epoch (lefthand) column for each site. Righthand columns provide ages (in Ma) at the predicted depths of seismic sequence boundaries. Boundaries U19–U5 are shown schematically, intersecting each site at their predicted depths. The Marshall Paraconformity (MP) is noted at Site U1352 as the unconformable boundary between the early Miocene and early Oligocene.




Figure F21. Magnetic susceptibility (MS) (lefthand column) was measured on whole-round samples at 2.5 cm intervals (instrument units [IU]) using a Bartington Instruments MS Loop sensor. Natural gamma radiation (NGR) (righthand column) was measured on whole-round samples at 10 cm intervals (counts per second [cps]) using an NGR logger designed and built at Texas A&M University by IODP-USIO. To help illustrate key trends the signal was cleaned using a Gaussian low-pass filter (30 passes). The data correlate well between the two methods and between sites: both data sets show similar cyclic patterns in the uppermost ~250 m at all sites. Some unconformities are well represented by abrupt changes. The Marshall Paraconformity (MP) is characterized at Site U1352 by a switch to negative MS and a decrease in NGR to nearly zero. (**Figure shown on next page.**)

Figure F21 (continued). (Caption shown on previous page.)




Figure F22. Lithologic columns, color, and magnetic susceptibility (MS) at shelf Sites U1353, U1354, and U1351. Intervals from 0 to 150 m at each site are compared. Red lines = marine isotope stage (MIS) boundaries tentatively correlated with lithologic cycles. Nannofossil ages are shown to the left of each column.




Figure F23. Summary of natural gamma ray and electrical resistivity data from wireline logging of Sites U1353, U1354, U1351, and U1352. Sequence boundaries U10–U12, in particular, are distinct features in the logging data characterized by low gamma radiation and high resistivity and can be well correlated across the three shelf sites. GR = gamma radiation; IDPH = deep induction phasor-processed resistivity log.




Figure F24. Downhole and across-transect variations in oceanicity. Planktonic foraminiferal abundance (%) provides a measure of oceanicity and is a relative measure of distance from land.




Figure F25. Benthic foraminifer-derived paleowater depth changes across transect Sites U1353, U1354, U1351, and U1352. Seismic sequence boundaries U19–U5 are shown schematically, intersecting each site at their predicted depths.




Figure F26. Age-depth plot for Hole U1353B. Sedimentation rates are tentative and based on visual correlation of the data. The presence of hiatuses is inferred from rapid shifts in faunal ages and “soft” biostratigraphic data such as assemblage ages and rapid shifts in assemblage types. NZ = New Zealand.




Figure F27. Age-depth plot for Holes U1354A–U1354C. Sedimentation rates are tentative and based on visual data correlation. The presence of hiatuses is inferred from rapid shifts in faunal ages and “soft” biostratigraphic data such as assemblage ages and rapid shifts in assemblage types. NZ = New Zealand.




Figure F28. Age-depth plot for Hole U1351B. Sedimentation rates are tentative and based on visual data correlation. The presence of hiatuses is inferred from rapid shifts in faunal ages and “soft” biostratigraphic data such as assemblage ages and rapid shifts in assemblage types (especially in reworked nannofossil assemblages). Hiatus positions are sometimes located at distinct boundaries found in recovered cores, but some hiatuses exist in intervals of low recovery. Dashed wavy lines = the most poorly constrained hiatuses. NZ = New Zealand.




Figure F29. Age-depth plot for Holes U1352B and U1352C. Sedimentation rates are tentative and based on visual data correlation. The presence of hiatuses is inferred from rapid shifts in faunal ages and “soft” biostratigraphic data such as assemblage ages and rapid shifts in assemblage types. NZ = New Zealand.




Figure F30. Porosity versus depth curves from sites across the Expedition 317 drilling transect. Seismic sequence boundaries U19–U5 are shown schematically, intersecting each site at their predicted depths. MP = Marshall Paraconformity.




Figure F31. Variation of (A) methane, (B) alkalinity, (C) sulfate, and (D) phosphate concentrations over the uppermost 250 m in the four Expedition 317 sites.




Figure F32. Downhole plots of calcium, magnesium, sodium, and potassium in the four Expedition 317 Sites. MP = Marshall Paraconformity.




Figure F33. Downhole plots of (A) carbonate, (B) total organic carbon (measured by difference between total carbon from the elemental analyzer and the coulometer-derived inorganic carbon), (C) ratio of organic carbon to total nitrogen content, (D) T_{\max} , (E) hydrogen index (HI), and (F) production index (PI) for the four Expedition 317 sites.

