Abstract

This data report extends the X-ray diffraction (XRD) work reported in the Expedition Reports section of this volume from ~200 samples to 1570 investigated bulk powder samples. The unique central Arctic Ocean samples of the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program Arctic Coring Expedition (Expedition 302), the first mission-specific platform expedition, were collected and prepared by different German groups and provided to the Central Laboratory for Crystallography and Applied Material Sciences (ZEKAM), Geosciences, University of Bremen. The data set reported here is special because all samples were measured on the same diffractometer using the same measurement settings, and the diffractograms were investigated by the same person. Therefore, the data set gives maximum continuity with the onshore party investigation. The Neogene sediments of the Arctic Ocean are dominated by detrital nonbiogenic material. The composition of fine-fraction silicates (clay minerals), the different SiO$_2$ mineral phases, which dominate much of the Paleogene sections, and the diagenetic overprinting in the whole cores are best deciphered by XRD. Important paleoceanographic and diagenic information were deduced.

Introduction

The detrital mineral content of Arctic Ocean sediments is one of the major sources of paleoceanographic interpretations because of the scarcity of biogenic tracers, in particular in the Neogene sediments of central Arctic Ocean cores (e.g., Moran et al., 2006; Backman and Moran, 2008; Darby, 2008; Krylov et al., 2008, and references therein). The Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) Arctic Coring Expedition (ACEX; Expedition 302) cores are situated below the confluence of the two major surface currents of the Arctic Ocean, the Beaufort Gyre and the Transpolar Drift. Intermediate and deep waters of the Amerasian and Eurasian Basin are separated by the Lomonosov Ridge, which rises >3000 m above the seafloor (Fig. F1) (Rudels et al., 2004; Jakobsson et al., 2008). Previous work has delineated the major source rocks and tracer mineral assemblages that are related to the diverse mineralogy of the circum-Arctic source regions. Figure F1 (Vogt, 1997, with later additions) summarizes early investigations (Belov and Lapina, 1961; Berry and Johns, 1966; Silverberg, 1972; Naugler et...
Methods and materials

Sediment samples were frozen, freeze-dried to remove water, and ground by hand with an agate mortar and pestle (see the “Sites M0001–M0004” chapter) or agate mills (new ZEKAM, AWI, and ICBM Oldenburg sample sets) (see Stein et al., 2006; Sluijs et al., 2008). A few onshore party samples were replicated in the new sample sets. No significant differences in X-ray diffraction (XRD) data from differently ground samples were found. The high number of 1570 investigated samples was only possible through cooperation with R. Stein (Alfred Wegener Institute Bremerhaven, AWI) and H.-J. Brumsack (Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Sea, University of Oldenburg, ICBM), who provided their Paleogene samples of the ACEX cores (basically between 180 and 430 meters composite depth [mcd]). The upper 60 mcd of the ACEX cores was sampled by the author.

X-ray diffraction

Instrument parameters

All 1570 XRD measurements were performed at the Crystallography Department of Geosciences, University of Bremen, on a Philips X’Pert Pro MD X-ray diffractometer equipped with a Cu tube (Kα, λ 1.541), a fixed divergence slit (¼°2θ), a 15-sample changer, a secondary monochromator, and the X’Celerator detector system. Samples were prepared with the standardized Philips/Panalytical backloading system, which provides nearly random distribution of the particles. Measurements were made from 3° to 85°2θ with a calculated step size of 0.016°2θ. The calculated time per step was 100 s. The 1570 samples needed ~1700 h measuring time on our XRD instrument. This time would increase to at least ~5100 h (~212 days/24 h running) on a standard XRD instrument with scintillation detector, and thus consume very much of the mean lifetime of a standard X-ray tube.

Peak identification was done graphically through the Apple Macintosh program MacDiff (version 4.5) (servermac.geologie.uni-frankfurt.de/Staff/Home pages/Petschick/RainerE.html) (Petschick et al., 1996). To minimize subjective influences, the baseline has been determined automatically with MacDiff defaults. The program also provides a mechanism to automatically estimate the integrated peak area intensities with given defaults (for example, by deconvolution of nearby peaks), as many other XRD data programs do. I do not recommend these “black boxes” for any kind of single peak-based investigations, as natural mixtures of mixed elemental silicates like clay minerals, feldspars, and so on, are too variable to be accurately identified by these automatic routines. In particular, the peak position and ranges of a certain peak or group of peaks are too variable in different samples even from the same region or core (for example, between the Neogene and the Paleogene section of the ACEX cores). This means that the recognition of peaks and the calculation of integrated peak areas is a matter of a certain amount of subjectivity, though that can be...
minimized, and that is why I emphasize here that all 1570 samples have been investigated by one person.

**Mineral identification and semiquantification**

Integrated peak area intensities for the 38 investigated mineral peaks were calculated by MacDiff. Based on these areas, ratios were calculated versus each other and versus the sum of all peak area intensities. To provide an easy comparison to published data on surface samples of the potential source regions (Andersen et al., 1996; Vogt, 1997; Vogt et al., 2001), the fixed divergence was changed to automatic divergence using an algorithm integrated in MacDiff. This point is important to note, as a fixed divergence slit leads to a stronger radiation of the MacDiff. This point is important to note, as a fixed divergence slit leads to a stronger radiation of the lowest angles (Fischer, 1996). If single peaks are investigated at these low angles, the higher radiation leads to higher peaks and to higher content percentages (see Krylov et al., 2008, for a comparison of fixed divergence and automatic divergence slit measurements and the content of the smectite group of the clay fraction). Whereas mineral content trends stay the same over the core, absolute values differ, and a comparison of these absolute numbers between an XRD data set measured with fixed and another measured with automatic divergence slit would lead to difficulties in comparing results.

I also did not multiply the peak area data by any kind of factor (e.g., Schultz, 1964; Griffin, 1971; Ramm, 1991), as (1) the chemistry and mineralogy of Arctic Ocean sediments are different from the rocks investigated by these authors and the factors might be completely wrong, (2) a comparative work similar to Schultz (1964) for Arctic Ocean source region materials is pending (see Forsberg et al., 1999), and (3) the use of different factors in various publications leads to content percentages that cannot be compared to each other at all. In general, single peak data produce the highest quantification error, as can be seen by interlaboratory comparisons (cf. Omotoso et al., 2006, and references therein).

The latest point is illustrated by Figure F3. Vogt (1997) used the calculation factors of the four different popular ways of calculating the clay mineral assemblage composition in Arctic Ocean sediments. Massive differences appear, particularly in the content of kaolinite and chlorite, because the peak intensities selected for chlorite depend directly on the Fe content in the chlorites (illustrated by the peak intensity ratio 4.72/3.54 Å in Fig. F3) (see Brown and Brindley, 1980). At this point even the trends in clay mineral group contents differ. Comparison of such different initial data could lead to a misinterpreta-

**Results**

On all 1570 samples, peaks for 38 minerals were identified and quantified on XRD traces. The sum of all peak areas was calculated as a “total peak area” (TPA) (Fig. F2). Data are presented in the same manner as in the “Sites M0001–M0004” chapter. Presenting the ratio of a given mineral peak versus TPA also excludes the dilution of the noncrystalline materials, which produces a diffuse bulb in the background of the diffractogram (compare Fig. F4 and Fig. F5). In the Paleogene sequence, particularly in lithologic Units 2 and 3 of the ACEX cores (see the “Sites M0001–M0004” chapter), this is represented by noncrystalline opal-A and partially crystalline opal-CT. The reduction in TPA is here directly related toopal contents up to 60%–70% (Ogawa et al., 2008, submitted). In the Neogene section of the ACEX cores, small amounts of amorphous Fe- and Mn-oxides can be assumed, as outlined by the inorganic geochemistry data (see the “Methods” chapter).

The Expedition 302 scientists (see the “Sites M0001–M0004” chapter) already chose some very indicative intensity peak ratios, such as the ratios of plagioclase...
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*Data report: semiquantitative determination of detrital input*
and K-feldspar and of kaolinites and chlorites for tracing wet or dry, warm or cold chemical (wet and warm = more kaolinite and less feldspars, less K-feldspar), and strong or weak physical weathering (Griffin et al., 1968; Tucker, 1988). Both ratios have a clear maximum during the warmer phases of the Paleogene sequence (Fig. F2).

Primary or nondiagenetic carbonate minerals (especially calcite) occur predominantly in the upper 200 m of the combined ACEX core sequence and are always a minor component in the Lomonosov Ridge sediment sequence. Nevertheless, in the uppermost 60 m (~4 Ma according to O'Regan et al., 2008b) carbonate minerals continuously increase, with high-frequency changes occurring in the dolomite content (Fig. F6). It is possible that the intensification of the Northern Hemisphere glaciation is reflected by these data. St. John (2008) proposes such intensification based on an increase in ice-rafted debris and coarse fraction content. However, this is only a hypothesis because a full quantification of the mineral content and its integration with grain size, ice-rafted debris, and physical property data is pending (see O'Regan et al., 2008a, for a first glance at such an effort).

This data report can only give a brief insight into the plentifulness of significant changes of the bulk mineral assemblage. Data are stored in the Pangaea WDC Mare Database (doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.705057) in the same manner data were tabulated in the site chapter (Table T42 in the “Sites M0001–M0004” chapter). Normalizing the single peak data to the TPA allows for a good interlaboratory comparability and reliable semiquantification of XRD data as long as the configuration of the instruments is similar (i.e., same radiation, same divergence slits, and similar detector). We will complete full quantification of mineral contents for geochemical and paleoceanographic purposes using the QUAX full-pattern analysis software (cf. Vogt et al., 2002).
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Figure F1. Provenance of minerals and mineral groups based on ~2000 surface samples quantified with the same XRD measurements and subsequent QUAX determination after Vogt (1997) and Vogt et al. (2002). Qz = dominant, s = subdominant. Star = approximate ACEX site position. See the “Sites M0001–M0004” chapter for detailed core positions, bathymetry and oceanography. White arrows = downslope sediment transport mainly through troughs. Alm = almandin, C(Fe) = Fe-rich chlorite, D = dolomite, Hbl = hornblende/amphibole, I = illite/mica, blue K = calcite, red K = kaolinite, Kfs = K-feldspar, Mg = Mg-rich calcite, OLEM = mixed-layer clay, Plg = Plagioclase, Pyx = pyroxene, Qz = quartz, S = smectite, Sid = siderite. BG = Beaufort Gyre, EGC = East Greenland Current, Lomo = Lomonosov Ridge, TD = Transpolar Drift, TDSib = Siberian branch, WSC = Westspitzbergen Current.
Figure F2. Peak area ratios of quartz (Qz), K-feldspar (Kfs), plagioclase (Plg), kaolinite (K), chlorite (C), and pyrite (4.26, 3.24, 3.21, 3.58, 3.54, and 2.71 Å, respectively). Fsp = sum of feldspar. Pyrite peak area is plotted vs. bulk peak area intensity of 38 investigated XRD peaks (cf. the Expedition Reports section of this volume). Pyrite is predominantly of diagenetic origin. Data available from the Pangaea database (doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.705057). Revised depth scale (rmcd) of the combined ACEX cores from O’Reagan et al. (2008a). For a detailed description of the lithologic units 1/1 to 1/6, 2, 3, and 4, see the “Sites M0001–M0004” chapter. A 26 Ma hiatus exists at ~198 rmcd (cf. Sangiorgi et al., 2008).
Figure F3. Comparison of four frequently used semiquantitative determinations for the clay mineral assemblages based on single peak intensities (PS2206-4 Gakkel-Ridge, water depth = 2993 m). Calculation by Vogt (1997) is based on Biscaye’s factors and XRD pattern analysis techniques (1964, 1965). Systematic decrease in illite mineral group content calculated after Pearson and Small (1988) is due to the determination of a fifth mineral group, the mixed-layered clays, which is basically deducted from the illite group. MIS = marine isotope stage.
Figure F4. Typical Neogene X-ray pattern (Sample 302-M0002A-5X-2, 5–7 cm). Plots, mineral identification, and semiquantitative estimation of mineral content done with Philips/Panalytical HighScore software.
Figure F5. Typical Paleogene X-ray pattern (Sample 302-M0004A-11X-2, 14 cm) with high amounts (~40%) of X-ray amorphous opal (see bulb below green background line between 20° and 30°2θ). Plots, mineral identification, and semiquantitative estimation of mineral content done with the Philips/Panalytical HighScore software.
Figure F6. Peak intensity ratios of calcite, dolomite/ankerite, and siderite/magnesite. TPA = total peak area.