Data report: δ^{34} S and δ^{18} O measurements of dissolved sulfate from interstitial water samples, IODP Expedition 311¹

Ulrich G. Wortmann²

Chapter contents

| Abstract | | | | | • | | • | • • | | | • | • | • | | • | • | • | | • | | 1 |
|-----------------|----|-------|---|---|---|---|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|---|
| Introduction | | | • | • | • | • | • | • • | • | • | | • | • | | • | • | • | | • | . ' | I |
| Methods | | | • | • | • | • | • | • • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | . 2 | 2 |
| Results | | | | | • | | • | • • | | | | • | • | | | | | | • | . 2 | 2 |
| Acknowledgments | 5. | | • | • | • | • | • | • • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | • | . 2 | 2 |
| References | | | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | . 2 | 2 |
| Figures | | | • | • | • | • | • | • • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | . 4 | 4 |
| Tables | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 1(|) |

Abstract

 δ^{34} S and δ^{18} O measurements of dissolved sulfate were made from interstitial water samples collected during Integrated Ocean Drilling Program Expedition 311. δ^{34} S and δ^{18} O ratios of dissolved sulfate are modified by microbial processes involving sulfur redox processes; therefore, they can be used to better constrain microbial sulfur cycling. All Expedition 311 sites show clear evidence of organotrophic and methanotrophic sulfate reduction. A full interpretation of these results, however, requires careful reaction-transport modeling, which will be given elsewhere.

Introduction

Microbial sulfate reduction is the major pathway of organic matter oxidation in coastal marine and continental shelf sediments (Jørgensen, 1982) and is a fundamental process linking the geochemical cycles of carbon, sulfur, and oxygen (e.g., Schidlowski et al., 1983; Berner, 1982; Garrels and Lerman, 1984; Wortmann and Chernyavsky, 2007). Sulfate-reducing microorganisms reduce SO_4^{2-} according to the following net reaction:

 $\mathrm{SO_4^{2-}+2CH_2O} \rightarrow \mathrm{H_2S}\ + 2\mathrm{HCO_3^{-}}.$

Microbially mediated sulfate reduction affects the isotopic composition of dissolved and solid sulfur species in marine sediments. Although several details of the fractionation process remain controversial, the overall process is well understood and can be described as the sum of several mass-dependent fractionations during the stepwise reduction of sulfate to sulfide and the ratio between the forward and backward reactions (Rees, 1973; Bruechert, 2004; Brunner and Bernasconi, 2005). Experiments and field data show that the $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ composition is also modified in the presence of sulfate-reducing microorganisms (Mizutani and Rafter, 1973; Böttcher et al., 1998; Brunner et al., 2005). This has been attributed either to a kinetic isotope effect during the reduction of sulfate to sulfite or cell-internal exchange reactions between enzymatically activated sulfate (adenosine phosphosulfate [APS]) and/or sulfite with cytoplasmic water (Mizutani and Rafter, 1973; Fritz et al., 1989; Wortmann et al., 2007) and/or between sulfite and adenosine monophosphate during APS formation. The isotopic fingerprint of these processes may be further modified by



¹Wortmann, U.G., 2008. Data report: $δ^{34}$ S and $δ^{18}$ O measurements of dissolved sulfate from interstitial water samples, IODP Expedition 311. *In* Riedel, M., Collett, T.S., Malone, M.J., and the Expedition 311 Scientists, *Proc. IODP*, 311: Washington, DC (Integrated Ocean Drilling Program Management International, Inc.). doi:10.2204/iodp.proc.311.209.2008 ²Department of Geology, University of Toronto, 22 Russel Street, Toronto ON M5S 3B1, Canada. **uli.wortmann@utoronto.ca**

the cell-external reoxidation of sulfide to elemental sulfur and the subsequent disproportionation to sulfide and sulfate or by the oxidation of sulfite to sulfate.

This data report offers δ^{34} S and δ^{18} O data which may help to better constrain these processes. However, a detailed interpretation is only possible using rigorous reaction-transport modeling (Wortmann, 2006; Chernyavsky and Wortmann, 2007; Wortmann et al., 2007), which will be published elsewhere.

Methods

Interstitial water samples were taken on board the *JOIDES Resolution* following procedures given in the "**Methods**" chapter. Samples were treated immediately after collection by adding 100 µL of a saturated cadmium acetate solution per 5 mL of sample to precipitate all H₂S and inhibit further activity of sulfate-reducing microorganisms. The precipitated CdS was separated using a centrifuge, and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane filter and acidified with HCl. The sulfate was precipitated as $BaSO_4$ by adding $BaCl_2$ within 1 h of acidification. Samples were centrifuged, washed with hot water, and dried overnight at 60°C.

For $\delta^{34}S$ measurements, the sulfate samples were weighed in Sn cups together with vanadium pentoxide as catalyst and measured on a Thermo Finnigan Mat 253 stable isotope mass spectrometer in continuous flow mode using the Conflo III open split interface and an Eurovector 3000 elemental analyzer. SO₂ reference gas was injected through the dual inlet system. The system was calibrated by using International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)-SO-5 (0.49%) Vienna Canyon Diablo Triolite [VCDT]) and National Bureau of Standards (NBS)-127 (21.1% VCDT) international standards. Analytical reproducibility as determined by a BaSO₄ in-house standard (8.2%) VCDT) is ±0.17‰. Analytical reproducibility as determined by IAEA-SO-5 is ±0.08‰. Data are reported in conventional delta notation with respect to VCDT.

For $\delta^{18}O_{SO4}$ measurements, ~135 µg BaSO₄ was added to Ag cups and pyrolyzed at 1350°C on a Hekatech HT-EA using He as a carrier gas. The produced CO gas was routed through an Ascarite trap, separated on a Molsieve 5A column, and subsequently measured on a Thermo Finnigan Mat 253 mass spectrometer in continuous flow mode using the Conflo III open split interface. The CO reference gas was injected via the Conflo III interface. The system was calibrated by using U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 32 (25.7‰ Vienna standard mean ocean water [VSMOW]), NBS-127 (8.6‰ VSMOW), and IAEA-SO-6 (–11.34‰ VSMOW) international standards. Analytical reproducibility of the measurements was determined by running several replicates of NBS-127. We report the 1 σ value as ±0.12‰. The data are reported in conventional delta notation with respect to VSMOW.

Results

Several δ^{18} O measurements have no matching δ^{34} S result. This is caused by the different linearity response of the elemental analyzer/mass spectrometer combination when measuring CO versus SO₂ gas. We were generally able to obtain a linear response for CO with signal sizes to 1 V, whereas linear SO₂ measurements were only obtained with signals >2 V. We therefore excluded all δ^{34} S values where the signal was <2 V.

Hole U1325B yielded results to 5.15 meters below seafloor (mbsf), whereas shipboard data indicate that sulfate was consumed at 2.15 mbsf. I suspect that this was caused by mislabeling samples from Hole U1325D, where sulfate depletion does not occur above 4–5 mbsf. However, we list the results here as recorded in the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) database. The data are reported in Tables T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5 and shown in Figures F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, and F6.

Acknowledgments

Samples and/or data were provided by the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP). I wish to thank the crew, scientific party, and technicians of the *JOIDES Resolution* during IODP Expedition 311 for their support and commitment, which facilitated the recovery of these samples under difficult conditions. I am especially grateful to M. Torres, M. Kastner, J.H. Kim, and J. Pohlman for their good company and encouragement and to H. Li for her invaluable technical assistance. Comments by an anonymous reviewer helped to improve this report. This study was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC), Canada.

References

- Berner, R.A., 1982. Burial of organic carbon and pyrite sulfur in the modern ocean: its geochemical and environmental significance. *Am. J. Sci.*, 282:451–473.
- Böttcher, M.E., Brumsack, H.-J., and de Lange, G.J., 1998.
 Sulfate reduction and related stable isotope (³⁴S, ¹⁸O) variations in interstitial waters from the Eastern Mediterranean. *In* Robertson, A.H.F., Emeis, K.-C., Richter, C., and Camerlenghi, A. (Eds.), *Proc. ODP, Sci. Results*, 160: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 365–373. doi:10.2973/odp.proc.sr.160.002.1998



Bruechert, V., 2004. Physiological and ecological aspects of sulfur isotope fractionation during bacterial sulfate reduction. *In* Amend, J.P., Edwards, K.J., and Lyons, T.W. (Eds.), *Sulfur Biogeochemistry; Past and Present*, Spec. Pap. Geol. Soc. Am., 379:1–16.

Brunner, B., and Bernasconi, S.M., 2005. A revised isotope fractionation model for dissimilatory sulfate reduction in sulfate reducing bacteria. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 69(20):4759–4771. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2005.04.015

Brunner, B., Berasconi, S., Kleikemper, J., and Schroth, M.H., 2005. A model for oxygen and sulfur isotope fractionation in sulfate during bacterial sulfate reduction process. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 69:4773–4785. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2005.04.017

Chernyavsky, B.M., and Wortmann, U.G., 2007. REMAP: A reaction transport model for isotope ratio calculations in porous media. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosys.*, 8(2):Q02009. doi:10.1029/2006GC001442

Fritz, P., Basharmal, G.M., Drimmie, R.J., Ibsen, J., and Qureshi, R.M., 1989. Oxygen isotope exchange between sulphate and water during bacterial reduction of sulphate. *Chem. Geol.*, 79:99–105.

Garrels, R.M., and Lerman, A., 1984. Coupling of the sedimentary sulfur and carbon cycles; an improved model. *Am. J. Sci.*, 284(9):989–1007.

Jørgensen, B.B., 1982. Mineralization of organic matter in the seabed—the role of sulphate reduction. *Nature (London, U. K.)*, 296:643–645. doi:10.1038/296643a0

Mizutani, Y., and Rafter, T.A., 1973. Isotopic behaviour of sulphate oxygen in the bacterial reduction of sulphate. *Geochem. J.*, 6:183–191.

Rees, C.E., 1973. A steady-state model for sulphur isotope fractionation in bacterial reduction processes. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 37(5):1141–1162. doi:10.1016/0016-7037(73)90052-5

Schidlowski, M., Hayes, J.M., and Kaplan, I.R., 1983. Isotopic inferences of ancient biochemistries: carbon, sulfur, hydrogen, and nitrogen. *In* Schopf, J.W. (Ed.), *Earth's Earliest Biosphere: Its Origin and Evolution:* Princeton (Princeton Univ. Press), 149–186.

Wortmann, U.G., 2006. A 300 m long depth profile of metabolic activity of sulfate-reducing bacteria in the continental margin sediments of South Australia (ODP Site 1130) derived from inverse reaction-transport modeling. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosys.*, 7(5):Q05012. doi:10.1029/2005GC001143

Wortmann, U.G., and Chernyavsky, B.M., 2007. Effect of evaporite deposition on Early Cretaceous carbon and sulphur cycling. *Nature*, 446(7136):654–656. doi:10.1038/nature05693

Wortmann, U.G., Chernyavsky, B., Bernasconi, S.M., Brunner, B., Böttcher, M.E., and Swart, P.K., 2007. Oxygen isotope biogeochemistry of pore water sulfate in the deep biosphere: dominance of isotope exchange reactions with ambient water during microbial sulfate reduction (ODP Site 1130). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 71(17):4221–4232. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2007.06.033

Intial receipt: 26 June 2008 Acceptance: 5 September 2008 Publication: 5 November 2008 MS 311-209



Figure F1. Dissolved sulfate and isotope values, Site U1325. VCDT = Vienna Canyon Diablo Triolite, VSMOW = Vienna standard mean ocean water.





Figure F2. Dissolved sulfate and isotope values, Site U1326. VCDT = Vienna Canyon Diablo Triolite, VSMOW = Vienna standard mean ocean water.





Figure F3. Dissolved sulfate and isotope values, Site U1327. VCDT = Vienna Canyon Diablo Triolite, VSMOW = Vienna standard mean ocean water.



♦ Hole U1327C O Hole U1327D * Hole U1327E



Figure F4. Dissolved sulfate and isotope values, Site U1328. VCDT = Vienna Canyon Diablo Triolite, VSMOW = Vienna standard mean ocean water.





Figure F5. Dissolved sulfate and isotope values, Site U1329. VCDT = Vienna Canyon Diablo Triolite, VSMOW = Vienna standard mean ocean water.





Figure F6. Cross-plot of oxygen and sulfur isotopes. VCDT = Vienna Canyon Diablo Triolite, VSMOW = Vienna standard mean ocean water.





Table T1. Sulfate and isotope data, Site U1325. (See table notes.)

| Depth (mbsf) | SO ₄ ^{2–} (mM) | δ ³⁴ S _{SO4} ^{2–} (‰ VCDT) | δ ¹⁸ O _{SO4} ²⁻ (‰ VSMOW) |
|-----------------|---|---|---|
| | | | |
| 0.65 | 14.9 | 30.8 | 18.9 |
| 0.65 | 14.9 | 30.4 | 18.9 |
| 0.65 | 14.9 | 30.8 | 18.0 |
| 0.65 | 14.9 | 30.4 | 18.0 |
| 1.35 | 1.1 | — | 18.6 |
| 2.15 | 0.1 | — | 17.8 |
| 4.35 | 0.1 | — | 21.3 |
| 4.35 | 0.0 | — | 21.3 |
| 5.15 | 0.0 | _ | 27.0 |
| | | | |
| 1.4 | 19.6 | 29.0 | 19.7 |
| 2.9 | 10.9 | 37.5 | 23.5 |
| 2.9 | 10.9 | 38.3 | 23.5 |
| 4.4 | 2.0 | 68.4 | 24.6 |
| | Depth (mbsf) 0.65 0.65 0.65 1.35 2.15 4.35 4.35 5.15 1.4 2.9 2.9 4.4 | $\begin{array}{c c} \text{Depth} & \text{SO}_4^{2-} \\ (\text{mbsf}) & \text{(mM)} \\ \hline \\ 0.65 & 14.9 \\ 0.65 & 14.9 \\ 0.65 & 14.9 \\ 0.65 & 14.9 \\ 1.35 & 1.1 \\ 2.15 & 0.1 \\ 4.35 & 0.1 \\ 4.35 & 0.0 \\ 5.15 & 0.0 \\ \hline \\ 1.4 & 19.6 \\ 2.9 & 10.9 \\ 2.9 & 10.9 \\ 2.9 & 10.9 \\ 4.4 & 2.0 \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Depth} & {\rm SO}_4^{2-} & \delta^{34}{\rm S}_{504}^{2-} \\ \mbox{(mbsf)} & (mM) & (mbox{(mbsf)})^{2-} \\ \mbox{(mbsf)} & (mbox{(mbsf)})^{2-} $ |

Notes: VCDT = Vienna Canyon Diablo Triolite, VSMOW = Vienna standard mean ocean water. — = not applicable.

Table T2. Sulfate and isotope data, Site U1326. (See table notes.)

| Core, section, interval (cm) | Depth (mbsf) | SO ₄ ^{2–} (mM) | δ ³⁴ S _{SO4} ^{2–} (‰ VCDT) | δ ¹⁸ O _{SO4} ^{2–} (‰ VSMOW) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| 311-U1326B- | | | | |
| 1H-1, 65–80 | 0.65 | 21.4 | 23.2 | 11.1 |
| 1H-1, 65–80 | 0.65 | 21.4 | 23.2 | 11.6 |
| 1H-1, 135–150 | 1.35 | 13.1 | 29.5 | 13.8 |
| 1H-2, 65–80 | 2.15 | 3.4 | 51.4 | 20.1 |
| 1H-2, 135–150 | 2.85 | 0.0 | 23.3 | 13.1 |
| 2H-1, 60–75 | 4.5 | 7.6 | 30.9 | 14.2 |
| 2H-1, 135–150 | 5.25 | 0.3 | _ | 13.5 |
| 2H-1, 135–150 | 5.25 | 0.3 | _ | 13.5 |
| 2H-3, 60–75 | 7.5 | 0.2 | _ | 12.2 |
| 2H-3, 60–75 | 7.5 | 0.2 | — | 12.1 |

Notes: VCDT = Vienna Canyon Diablo Triolite, VSMOW = Vienna standard mean ocean water. — = not applicable.



Table T3. Sulfate and isotope data, Site U1327. (See table notes.)

| Core, section, interval (cm) | Depth (mbsf) | SO ₄ ^{2–} (mM) | δ ³⁴ S _{SO4} ^{2–} (‰ VCDT) | δ ¹⁸ O _{SO4} ²⁻ (‰ VSMOW) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| 311-U1327C- | | | | |
| 1H-1, 140–150 | 1.4 | 27.4 | 21.9 | 13.4 |
| 1H-1, 140–150 | 1.4 | 27.4 | 22.2 | 13.4 |
| 1H-3, 140–150 | 4.4 | 15.6 | 25.0 | 17.9 |
| 13X-2, 115–150 | 105.15 | 0.2 | 20.3 | 12.2 |
| 13X-6, 110–150 | 110.56 | 0.3 | 21.0 | 10.0 |
| 2H-1, 140–150 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 32.4 | 23.4 |
| 311-U1327D- | | | | |
| 1H-1, 25–40 | 0.25 | 28.9 | _ | 11.0 |
| 1H-1, 55–70 | 0.55 | 28.8 | 21.7 | 11.9 |
| 1H-1, 55–70 | 0.55 | 28.8 | 21.7 | 11.4 |
| 1H-1, 80–95 | 0.8 | 28.9 | 21.9 | 11.8 |
| 1H-1, 135–150 | 1.35 | 28.1 | 22.2 | 14.0 |
| 1H-2, 25–40 | 1.75 | 27.8 | 22.6 | 14.3 |
| 1H-2, 55–70 | 2.05 | 24.8 | 23.1 | 15.6 |
| 1H-2, 55–70 | 2.05 | 24.8 | 23.1 | 15.9 |
| 1H-2, 80–95 | 2.3 | 23.2 | 23.9 | 16.4 |
| 1H-2, 80–95 | 2.3 | 23.2 | 23.1 | 16.4 |
| 1H-2, 135–150 | 2.85 | 21.4 | 23.8 | 17.2 |
| 1H-3, 10–25 | 3.1 | 20.1 | 24.0 | 17.7 |
| 1H-3, 40–55 | 3.4 | 19.5 | 24.1 | 17.9 |
| 1H-3, 65–80 | 3.65 | 17.9 | 24.4 | 18.3 |
| 1H-4, 25–40 | 4.75 | 14.1 | 25.3 | 20.0 |
| 1H-4, 55–70 | 5.05 | 12.9 | 26.0 | 20.4 |
| 1H-4, 80–95 | 5.3 | 11.1 | 26.0 | 19.8 |
| 1H-4, 135–150 | 5.85 | 9.7 | 26.7 | 21.3 |
| 2H-1, 55–70 | 7.45 | 0.2 | 21.2 | 10.2 |
| 2H-1, 55–70 | 7.45 | 0.2 | 21.2 | 10.9 |
| 311-U1327E- | | | | |
| 1H-1, 25–40 | 3.25 | 16.0 | 24.1 | 17.8 |
| 1H-1, 25–40 | 3.25 | 16.0 | 24.1 | 17.6 |
| 1H-1, 55–70 | 3.55 | 14.9 | 24.3 | 18.7 |
| 1H-1, 55–70 | 3.55 | 14.9 | 24.3 | 18.7 |
| 1H-1, 80–95 | 3.8 | 14.2 | 25.5 | 18.9 |
| 1H-1, 135–150 | 4.35 | 11.5 | 25.1 | 19.4 |
| 1H-1, 135–150 | 4.35 | 11.5 | 25.5 | 19.4 |
| 1H-2, 25–40 | 4.75 | 10.0 | 26.4 | 20.0 |
| 1H-2, 55–70 | 5.05 | 9.6 | 26.7 | 20.2 |
| 1H-2, 80–95 | 5.3 | 8.9 | 26.9 | 19.3 |
| 1H-2, 135–150 | 5.85 | 6.5 | 27.5 | 21.4 |
| 1H-3, 25–40 | 6.25 | 7.4 | 26.6 | 20.2 |
| 1H-3, 55–70 | 6.55 | 5.0 | 28.1 | 21.7 |
| 1H-3, 55–70 | 6.55 | 5.0 | 28.1 | 22.5 |
| 1H-3, 80–95 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 28.5 | 21.6 |
| 1H-3, 135–150 | /.35 | 4.2 | 27.8 | 18.6 |
| 1H-4, 25–40 | /./5 | 5.3 | 29.0 | 16./ |
| 1H-4, 55-70 | 8.05 | 2.1 | 22.1 | 12.9 |
| 1H-4, 80–95 | 8.3 | 3.2 | 22.3 | 13.2 |

Notes: VCDT = Vienna Canyon Diablo Triolite, VSMOW = Vienna standard mean ocean water. — = not applicable.



Table T4. Sulfate and isotope data, Site U1328. (See table note.)

| Core, section, interval (cm) | Depth (mbsf) | SO ₄ ^{2–} (mM) | δ ³⁴ S _{SO4} ^{2–} (‰ VCDT) | δ ¹⁸ O _{SO4} ²⁻ (‰ VSMOW) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| 311-U1328B- | | | | |
| 1H-1, 40–50 | 0.4 | 29.3 | 21.2 | 10.6 |
| 1H-1, 40–50 | 0.4 | 29.3 | 21.0 | 10.6 |
| 1H-1, 40–50 | 0.4 | 29.3 | 21.2 | 10.4 |
| 1H-1, 40–50 | 0.4 | 29.3 | 21.0 | 10.4 |
| 1H-1, 140–150 | 1.4 | 27.2 | 21.6 | 10.4 |
| 311-U1328C- 26X-4, 0–30 | 288.2 | 0.8 | 21.4 | 24.7 |
| 311-U1328D- | | | | |
| 1X-5, 135–150 | 7.35 | 4.8 | 22.3 | 11.4 |
| 1X-5, 135–150 | 7.35 | 4.8 | 22.3 | 11.9 |

Note: VCDT = Vienna Canyon Diablo Triolite, VSMOW = Vienna standard mean ocean water.

Table T5. Sulfate and isotope data, Site U1329. (See table note.)

| Core, section, interval (cm) | Depth (mbsf) | SO ₄ ^{2–} (mM) | δ ³⁴ S _{SO4} ^{2–} (‰ VCDT) | δ ¹⁸ O _{SO4} ²⁻ (‰ VSMOW) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| 311-U1329C- | | | | |
| 1H-1, 140–150 | 1.4 | 16.9 | 23.9 | 17.4 |
| 1H-3, 140–150 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 28.3 | 22.6 |
| 1H-5, 140–150 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 36.7 | 26.4 |
| 311-U1329E- | | | | |
| 1H-1, 140–150 | 1.4 | 22.0 | 24.3 | 18.7 |
| 1H-1, 140–150 | 1.4 | 22.0 | 24.1 | 18.7 |
| 1H-2, 140–150 | 2.9 | 21.9 | 25.5 | 20.4 |
| 1H-3, 140–150 | 4.4 | 18.4 | 27.9 | 22.6 |
| 1H-3, 140–150 | 4.4 | 18.4 | 27.9 | 22.6 |
| 2H-1, 135–150 | 6.35 | 10.9 | 34.2 | 24.8 |
| 2H-2, 135–150 | 7.85 | 6.9 | 40.9 | 27.1 |
| | | | | |

Note: VCDT = Vienna Canyon Diablo Triolite, VSMOW = Vienna standard mean ocean water.

