

Webster, J.M., Ravelo, A.C., Grant, H.L.J., and the Expedition 389 Scientists Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program Volume 389 publications.iodp.org



Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 8 Lithostratigraphy
- 16 Physical properties
- 33 Geochemistry
- 44 Paleomagnetism
- 46 Geochronology
- 51 Microbiology
- 52 References

Keywords

International Ocean Discovery Program, IODP, Expedition 389, *MMA Valour*, Hawaiian Drowned Reefs, Earth climate system, Earth system feedbacks, Earth history tipping points, Site M0096, Site M0097, Site M0098, Site M0099, Site M0100, Site M0101, Site M0102, Site M0103, Site M0104, Site M0105, Site M0106, Site M0107, Site M0108, Site M0109, Site M0110, coral reef, volcanics, sea level, paleoclimate, central Pacific, reef health, Hawaiian geology, basalt, lava, carbonates, Kawaihae, Mahukona, Kohala, Hilo, Ka Lae

Core descriptions

Supplementary material

References (RIS)

MS 389-102 Published 26 February 2025

Funded by ECORD, JAMSTEC, and NSF OCE1326927

Expedition 389 methods¹

J.M. Webster, A.C. Ravelo, H.L.J. Grant, M. Rydzy, M. Stewart, N. Allison, R. Asami, B. Boston, J.C. Braga, L. Brenner, X. Chen, P. Chutcharavan, A. Dutton, T. Felis, N. Fukuyo, E. Gischler, S. Greve, A. Hagen, Y. Hamon, E. Hathorne, M. Humblet, S. Jorry, P. Khanna, E. Le Ber, H. McGregor, R. Mortlock, T. Nohl, D. Potts, A. Prohaska, N. Prouty, W. Renema, K.H. Rubin, H. Westphal, and Y. Yokoyama²

¹Webster, J.M., Ravelo, A.C., Grant, H.L.J., Rydzy, M., Stewart, M., Allison, N., Asami, R., Boston, B., Braga, J.C., Brenner, L., Chen, X., Chutcharavan, P., Dutton, A., Felis, T., Fukuyo, N., Gischler, E., Greve, S., Hagen, A., Hamon, Y., Hathorne, E., Humblet, M., Jorry, S., Khanna, P., Le Ber, E., McGregor, H., Mortlock, R., Nohl, T., Potts, D., Prohaska, A., Prouty, N., Renema, W., Rubin, K.H., Westphal, H., and Yokoyama, Y., 2025. Expedition 389 methods. In Webster, J.M., Ravelo, A.C., Grant, H.L.J., and the Expedition 389 Scientists, Hawaiian Drowned Reefs. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program, 389: College Station, TX (International Ocean Discovery Program). https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.389.102.2025

²Expedition 389 Scientists' affiliations.

1. Introduction

This chapter documents the primary shipboard procedures and methods employed by various operational and scientific groups during the offshore and onshore phases of International Ocean Discovery Program (IODP) Expedition 389. Methods for postexpedition research conducted on Expedition 389 samples and data will be described in individual scientific contributions to be published after the Onshore Science Party (OSP). Detailed drilling and engineering operations are described in Operations in each site chapter.

1.1. Operations equipment

1.1.1. Drilling platform

The drilling platform used for Expedition 389 was the dynamically positioned multipurpose vessel *MMA Valour*, operated by MMA Offshore. The *MMA Valour* is 84 m long with a gross tonnage of 4258 tons and an average economical speed of 10 kt. As with all European Consortium for Ocean Research Drilling (ECORD) mission-specific platform (MSP) expeditions, a suite of containerized laboratories and offices was installed on the *MMA Valour* aft deck area. The layout was designed to enable the most efficient core flow and core processing from core recovered through core curation, multisensor core logging, sampling and description, geochemical analyses, and refrigerated storage (Figure **F1**).

1.1.2. Coring operations

Coring operations were performed using Benthic's fifth-generation Portable Remotely Operated Drill (PROD) (Figure F1). PROD5 is rated to a water depth of 4000 m, is unaffected by surface heave conditions, and consequently has good control on bit weight, increasing the likelihood of higher quality cores in shallow waters and challenging lithologies compared to ship-mounted systems that rely on heave compensation. Wave heights over approximately 3.5 m may be a limiting factor in operations. PROD5 is self-contained with its own launch and recovery system (LARS), occupying a basic deck footprint of 17.0 m \times 8.0 m. The system additionally includes seven 20 ft containers for the drill control, mechanical and electrical workshops, and stores.

PROD5 is deployed using a power/hoist umbilical that also carries control communications and a video feed. The drill pipe, core barrels, and other tools are manipulated to and from a magazine using robotics while an onboard top drive provides the rotation for coring and an onboard mast feeds the drill pipe into the seafloor.

1.1.2.1. Coring methodology

PROD5 is a twin-magazine system, with one magazine for sampling tools and one for casing and rods. The 2.75 m sampling barrels have the same outside diameter, but the piston corers collect 75 mm diameter samples in soft sediment and the rotary corers collect 72 mm diameter samples in harder material. To give some flexibility during operations, two different rotary drill bits (diamond surface set and impregnated) were available for coring. Additionally, two core liner types were available: aluminum and polycarbonate. Finally, a small number of wash bores were usually loaded to allow for advancement without coring or to clean the borehole of material that may have fallen to the bottom during core runs or while setting casing. An onboard mud supply system on PROD5 can be switched on and off as required during the coring process.

A sample tool load is preselected before each deployment to take into consideration the expected formations. This load can be any mixture of sediment and rotary barrels, liner, and bit types. Each load is easily identifiable on the control screen for the operator to select. PROD5 is deployed from and recovered to the vessel using the LARS, which is controlled by a wireless belly box that allows the operator to be mobile during the different stages of launch and recovery.

The PROD5 computerized control system allows the corer to safely position on the seafloor by moving above the seafloor using its onboard thruster and subsea cameras to ensure there are no obstacles and/or significant macro benthos on the selected landing site. Each of its three legs can be independently adjusted to provide a level platform from which to deploy the core barrels.

During coring operations, the control system monitors a range of drilling parameters, including bit weight, rotation speed, and torque. Other important parameters are also displayed: penetration rate, water pressure, water flow rate, and hole depth. These and other feeds allow the operator to make precise and delicate adjustments while drilling to improve penetration, core recovery, and tool selection. All data are recorded in real-time and are available for download. During the offshore phase, these drilling parameters were compared directly to the recovered lithologies (i.e., lithologic type and level of disturbance) to optimize coring on subsequent deployments.



Figure F1. *MMA Valour* deck plan, Expedition 389. ESO containers (red) at the aft of the vessel included mobile containers for curation, multisensor core logging, geochemistry, database, and network management; two refrigerated core reefers; the ESO office; and the Science office. Here, the reefer of core from the first leg has been lifted off. For the starboard core curation area, coverings were required to mitigate the impact of direct sunlight on the core and provide adequate protection from weather.

1.1.2.2. Site locations and water depth determination

At all Expedition 389 sites, GPS coordinates were used to position the *MMA Valour* on site (Figure **F1**). Once the vessel was positioned at a site, a dynamic positioning (DP) model was established. For each hole, a Sound Velocity Profiler (SVP) Valeport Midas SVX2, placed at the top of PROD5, was used to determine the water depth and to generate a sound velocity profile. During each PROD5 deployment to the seafloor, the probe collected depth from a pressure sensor, sound velocity, and temperature on the way down at a frequency of 1 Hz. After PROD5 landed, the water depth was given by the pressure sensor, to which was added its vertical distance from the baseplate of PROD5 and the distance between the baseplate and the seafloor, the latter changing for each landing due to the adjustment of the legs to the seafloor topography. The resulting depth was corrected to mean sea level to an accuracy of ± 0.66 m and reported to the Lowest Astronomical Tide. The error takes into account pressure sensor accuracy, sensor offset measurement, and tide correction accuracy. Tide predictions used for the depth correction were from the two nearest tide stations.

After landing, the sound velocity profile was integrated to the ultra-short baseline method as part of the process to determine the latitude and longitude of the hole. A HiPAP 501 transducer was deployed 5 m below the hull of the ship to reduce noise pollution. The transducer communicated with two cNODE MiniS transponders located on the port and starboard sides at the top of PROD5. The two transponders were used to calculate the coordinates of the center of gravity of PROD5 (i.e., above the center of the hole). Using the ship's coordinates as a reference, the hole coordinates were calculated by measuring (1) the time between a signal emitted from the transducer and pulses received back to the transponders and (2) the angle of the pulses emitted by the transponders. The ultra-short baseline method takes into account sound velocity error, offset measurement, and pitch and roll corrections (motion reference unit located next to the HiPAP 501). Hole coordinates are provided with an error of up to 1% of the water depth (Table **T1**).

1.2. Shipboard scientific procedures

1.2.1. Numbering and measurements of sites, holes, cores, and samples

Expedition numbers for IODP are sequential, starting with 301. Drilling sites are numbered consecutively, and for an ECORD Science Operator (ESO) operated platform, numbering starts with Site M0001. The "M" indicates the ESO-operated MSP. With the introduction of the new Mobile Drilling Information System (mDIS) database for Expedition 389, it was decided that site notation would no longer include additional zeros in the database system. For reporting and publishing results, the IODP standard naming convention including the leading zeros to fill the site number to four digits should be retained whenever a site is called out.

For Expedition 389, the first site was Site M0096, and multiple holes may be drilled at one site. The first hole drilled is assigned the site number with the character suffix "A," the second hole takes the site number and the suffix "B," and so forth. For operational and scientific reasons during the off-shore phase of Expedition 389, new sites and revisions of original site locations were proposed and approved by the ECORD Facility Board and the IODP Environmental Protection and Safety Panel (EPSP). These sites and the original sites detailed in the Expedition 389 Scientific Prospectus (Webster et al., 2023) were represented as 150 m radius circles. All holes within that radius were given the corresponding site number and consecutive character suffixes in order of drill hole order, following the IODP naming convention (e.g., Holes M0096A and M0096B).

Meters below seafloor (mbsf) is the standard depth scale used during Expedition 389, conforming to drilling depth below seafloor (DSF) in the 2011 IODP Depth Scales Terminology guidelines (https://www.iodp.org/policies-and-guidelines/142-iodp-depth-scales-terminology-april-2011/file). Because the PROD5 corer is operating on the seafloor, there are no errors caused by length of pipe with a catenary in the water column, no stretching of drill pipe, and no errors induced by incorrect water depth measurements. The cored interval is calculated in meters below seafloor as measured by the PROD5 software, which measures the precise height of the elevator from the driller's datum on the corer at millimeter accuracy. The distance between the driller's datum and the seafloor is measured at the beginning of every borehole to the same accuracy, and the sum of these two measurements is the depth below seafloor. The mbsf depth of a sample is

calculated by adding the depth of the sample below the section top and the lengths of all higher sections in the core to the core top datum measured with the drill rods.

Recovered core from 2.75 m core barrels is split into sections with a maximum length of 1.5 m and numbered sequentially from the top, starting at 1. By IODP convention, material recovered from the core catcher is treated as a separate section labeled "CC" and is placed below the last section recovered in the liner. When a recovered core is shorter than the cored interval, by convention it is assigned to the top of the cored interval (i.e., the mbsf depth at the top of the core barrel from which it was recovered) to achieve consistency in reporting depth in core. The core catcher is assigned to the top of the core barrel if no other material is recovered.

A coring gap typically occurs between cores, meaning some cored interval was lost during recovery or was never cut. Thus, a discrepancy can exist between the drilling meters below seafloor and the curatorial meters below seafloor. Conversely, a core can measure greater than the length cut if, for example, a rubble section occupies more space than a solid length of core or a solid section of core cut during the previous run is left behind or even dropped. Loose and disturbed sample material may be subsequently picked up or collected by the next core tube. These instances may mean the curatorial depth of the base of a core can be deeper than the top of the subsequent core.

Some intervals were drilled without coring, such as when washing down to a previously cored depth in an adjacent hole (Sites M0096, M0097, M0099, M0101, M0102, and M0108), or washing through intervals where hard to drill lithologies prevented effective progress (Sites M0096–M0098, M0101, and M0108) (Table T1).

Any sample removed from a core is designated by the distance measured in centimeters from the top of the section to the top and bottom of the sample removed. A full identification number for a sample consists of the following information: expedition, site, hole, core number, core type, section number, piece number (for hard rock), and interval in centimeters measured from the top of the section. For example, a sample identification of "389-M0097A-19R-2, 35–40 cm," represents a sample removed from the interval 35–40 cm below the top of Section 2 of Core 19R ("R" designates that this core was taken using a rotary core barrel) from Hole M0097A during Expedition 389 (Figure F2).

All IODP core identifiers indicate core type. For Expedition 389, the following abbreviations are used:

- W = wash down mode.
- P = push coring mode.
- R = rotary coring mode.

1.2.2. Core handling during the offshore phase

Because of the nature of core collection from PROD5, all core material from each deployment of PROD5 arrived on deck at the same time. It was therefore necessary to modify the core flow to accommodate this process. Starting with the deepest core barrel, core barrels were removed from the tool rack on the PROD5 core magazine one by one, put onto a core elevator on the LARS gantry in groups of four, and lowered to the deck. The core barrel run number was written on each core barrel by a Benthic Geo-technician or Geo-engineer, and then up to eight core barrels were placed onto a core barrel trolley at the base of the core elevator, transported to the on-deck curation area, and stacked for core processing starting from the uppermost core barrel (Figure F3).

The liners from each core barrel were extruded one at a time primarily by hand but where necessary using a hydraulic pusher. The extracted 2.75 m liners were cut into one or two sections with a maximum length of 1.5 m. The aluminum and polycarbonate liners used during Expedition 389 required different treatment at this initial curation stage. Aluminum liners were split along one axis using a liner saw with a special guide, and the cut edges of the liner were duct taped to ensure no material was lost when the liner was rotated 180°, rotated, and cut longitudinally again. The liner was rotated once more through 90°, and the lid was opened to expose the recovered core. Two nominated science party members per shift undertook a short visual core description on the exposed core and took high-resolution photographic pans along the entire core to acquire separate high-resolution close-up images for most holes. This provided an additional record of core quality and context prior to further core handling (i.e., transfer to polycarbonate liners and preliminary core curation). Where requested, and if appropriate, sample locations were identified by the science party, geochronology (¹⁴C and U-Th series) and ancient DNA (aDNA) samples were taken from the exposed core (or the bottom of polycarbonate liners) by the ESO curator, and samples were bagged, labeled, and delivered to the curation container for input into the mDIS (Figure **F3**). Close-up images of the locations of each dating and aDNA sample were also taken. The core then underwent preliminary curation by the ESO curator and, where required, was transferred into a polycarbonate liner of appropriate length according to the following method:

- 1. Take an empty polycarbonate liner cut to the length of the curated section with a precut split on one side and insert a spacer to increase the diameter.
- 2. Transfer the core, including one half of the aluminum liner, into the polycarbonate liner (the aluminum liner covers the split in the polycarbonate liner), turn the core upside down, and carefully pull out the aluminum half, with the split at the top.
- 3. Seal the split polycarbonate liner with tape and put on end caps (not taped at this stage).

Potential locations for interstitial water (IW) sampling were identified at this stage and marked on the liner. Each section was capped at the top and bottom by a color-coded plastic cap: blue for the top of a core section (in line with IODP convention) and red for the bottom. Because only red caps were available for bottom caps, to keep with IODP convention of white or clear caps on section bottoms, white tape was used to seal the bottom cap. Cores were stored in a core rack either on

Table T1. Hole summary, Expedition 389. R = rotary, W = wash, P = push. During Expedition 389, only one instance of push
coring was attempted (389-M0101A-001-P) with a total recovery of 6 cm. Download table in CSV format.

					Tatal						
Hole	Latitude (WGS84)	Longitude (WGS84)	Coring method	Water depth (m)	drilled depth (mbsf)	Recovered length (m)	Recovery	Total cores (N)	Date started (2023)	Date finished (2023)	Lea
	((,		(,	(()	()-)	()	()	()	9
389-											
M0096A	20.036388°	-156.065720°	R	740.8	1.78	1.62	91	2	5 Sep	5 Sep	1
M0096B	20.036439°	-156.065665°	W	739.1	0.99	0.00	0	1	6 Sep	6 Sep	1
M0096C	20.036423°	-156.065688°	R, W	739.9	1.74	0.45	52	1	6 Sep	6 Sep	1
M0096D	20.036843°	-156.065610°	R	736.8	7.40	2.24	30	4	7 Sep	7 Sep	1
M0096E	20.036980°	-156.065609°	W	738.2	6.70	0.00	0	0	19 Sep	19 Sep	1
M0096F	20.036960°	-156.065596°	W, R	738.2	12.24	4.76	86	5	20 Sep	20 Sep	1
M0097A	19.942137°	-156.062853°	R	414.2	35.05	26.42	75	25	7 Sep	9 Sep	1
M0097B	19.942109°	-156.062877°	R, W	414.6	59.35	23.17	93	12	10 Sep	12 Sep	1
M0097C	19.942525°	-156.063655°	R	417.6	36.16	28.04	79	32	15 Sep	18 Sep	1
M0097D	19.942699°	-156.063477°	R	424.0	23.69	19.22	81	28	30 Oct	31 Oct	2
M0098A	20.055425°	–156.189735°	R, W	1100.1	19.10	8.42	45	23	13 Sep	15 Sep	1
M0099A	19.834423°	-156.091288°	R	131.9	6.44	4.29	67	6	21 Sep	21 Sep	1
M0099B	19.834342°	-156.091199°	R	131.9	6.40	4.35	68	5	21 Sep	22 Sep	1
M0099C	19.834348°	-156.091261°	R	131.9	38.31	25.50	67	27	22 Sep	23 Sep	1
M0099D	19.834411°	-156.091324°	W	131.7	27.71	0.00	0	0	24 Sep	24 Sep	1
M0099E	19.835240°	-156.092441°	R	144.6	31.62	18.00	57	25	26 Sep	27 Sep	1
M0099F	19.835338°	-156.092304°	R	145.6	13.45	6.75	50	9	26 Sep	27 Sep	1
M0099G	19.835295°	-156.092467°	W, R	146.3	68.62	18.93	49	31	19 Oct	23 Oct	2
M0100A	20.137606°	-156.079107°	R	998.0	12.43	9.73	78	12	30 Sep	1 Oct	2
M0101A	20.273677°	-155.489903°	P, R	931.9	18.09	12.34	68	29	2 Oct	3 Oct	2
M0101B	20.273832°	–155.489799°	R	932.0	45.15	26.44	93	27	5 Oct	7 Oct	2
M0102A	20.289982°	-155.650868°	R	412.8	25.14	11.08	44	14	4 Oct	4 Oct	2
M0102B	20.289949°	-155.650948°	W	415.4	4.70	0.00	0	0	8 Oct	8 Oct	2
M0102C	20.289871°	-155.651009°	W, R	415.9	73.44	42.32	79	33	8 Oct	11 Oct	2
M0103A	19.877010°	-154.939609°	R	404.5	45.61	14.31	31	33	11 Oct	14 Oct	2
M0104A	19.870311°	-154.954000°	R	347.0	46.39	42.99	93	22	14 Oct	16 Oct	2
M0105A	19.867494°	-154.972719°	R	339.5	26.08	7.63	29	14	16 Oct	17 Oct	2
M0106A	18.856679°	-155.688330°	R	148.6	7.43	1.47	20	4	17 Oct	18 Oct	2
M0106B	18.856772°	-155.688265°	R	147.9	16.14	2.98	32	10	18 Oct	19 Oct	2
M0107A	19.940185°	–156.058178°	R	403.8	13.44	12.72	95	8	23 Oct	24 Oct	2
M0108A	20.048364°	–156.192745°	R	1178.4	1.97	1.95	99	6	24 Oct	24 Oct	2
M0108B	20.048346°	–156.192127°	W, R	1177.2	30.70	16.35	63	33	24 Oct	26 Oct	2
M0109A	20.065169°	–156.266938°	R	1241.8	4.62	4.21	91	12	27 Oct	27 Oct	2
M0110A	19.793231°	–156.105784°	R	156.9	18.70	14.18	76	18	28 Oct	29 Oct	2
M0110B	19.792508°	-156.104756°	R	144.8	17.22	12.90	75	10	29 Oct	30 Oct	2



Figure F2. IODP depth and naming conventions, Expedition 389.



Figure F3. Offshore core flow, Expedition 389.

deck or in the ESO curation container until the on-deck core processing of core barrels was completed (Figure F1). No core splitting took place during the offshore phase of Expedition 389. Daytime sunlight and ambient temperatures were very warm at times, so a shade was rigged up above the on-deck core rack and a tarp was placed directly over the cores to keep them out of direct sunlight. A contingency plan of directly cooling unprocessed core barrels by draping them with cold and wet cloths was ultimately not required.

Full core curation was undertaken from the uppermost to lowermost sections in the ESO curation container, and the core length of each section was entered into the mDIS. Core sections and end caps were taped up, and liners were permanently labeled with an engraving tool as per IODP core section naming convention. If available, a core catcher paleontology (PAL) sample was entered into the mDIS and given to the shipboard sedimentologists and coral specialist for initial visual or smear slide description as required (Figure **F3**).

After final curation, the cores were taken to the multisensor core logger (MSCL) container (Figure **F1**) and placed in drawers in section order (Figure **F3**). The cores were labeled with the time of arrival to inform of the end of the 6 h temperature equilibration period required prior to MSCL logging (see **Physical properties**). The geochemistry team could access the cores within the equilibration period as required to sample potential sites identified for IW sampling with Rhizon syringes (see **Geochemistry**).

After MSCL logging, the shipboard sedimentologists and/or coral specialists carried out a lithologic description for each core section through the clear polycarbonate liners using a visual core description (VCD) sheet specifically constructed for Expedition 389 (Figure F6). The high-resolution images of exposed core taken before primary curation also assisted in these descriptions, and once complete, the main facies (Table T2; Figure F10) were input into the mDIS. Core sections were returned to the MSCL container until all sections were logged or were directly transferred into the core reefer container for storage at $4^{\circ}C$ (Figure F3). With the exception of samples taken for IW, aDNA, and geochronology, no additional sampling of the cores or core catchers was undertaken during the offshore phase of Expedition 389.

1.3. Data handling, database structure, and network

Data management during the offshore and onshore phases had two overlapping stages. The first stage was the capture of data and metadata during the expedition, both off shore and on shore. The second stage was the longer term postexpedition archiving of Expedition 389 data sets, core material, and samples after the moratorium period. This function was performed by the World Data Center (PANGAEA; http://www.pangaea.de) and at the IODP Bremen Core Repository (BCR; MARUM—Center for Marine Environmental Sciences, University of Bremen, Germany).

1.3.1. Mobile Drilling Information System

To capture metadata during the first stage of data management, the mDIS was used. The mDIS is a flexible and scalable database system originally developed for the International Continental Scientific Drilling Project (ICDP) and adapted for ESO so that it is compatible with the databases of the other IODP implementing organizations and ICDP. During Expedition 389, the Expedition mDIS instance was used to store coring information, core curation information, core images, sample information, lithology descriptions, smear slide descriptions, slabbed section linescan images, smear slide images, and split core close-up images during the offshore and onshore phases (Figure **F4**). All other data were captured in files and stored on the shared file server.

All cores, sections, and samples entered into the mDIS automatically receive an individual International Generic Sample Number (IGSN). The IGSN is a unique persistent identifier for physical samples. After the end of the moratorium, all data are transferred from the Expedition mDIS instance to the Curation mDIS instance (BCR core and sample management system); contemporaneously, the IGSNs are registered and can be accessed at http://www.igsn.org (to navigate to a particular IGSN, add the IGSN to the end of this link [e.g., http://www.igsn.org/ IBCR0381EXI3001]).

1.3.2. Data portals

Simultaneously, the metadata captured in the Expedition 389 mDIS instance and the shipboard expedition data stored in the shared file server were transferred to PANGAEA (http://iodp.pan-gaea.de) for long-term archiving and public accessibility (second phase). PANGAEA is a member of the International Council of Scientific Unions World Data Center system and is used for processing, long-term storage, and publication of georeferenced data related to earth sciences. Until the end of the moratorium period, data access was restricted to the expedition scientists. Following the moratorium, all shipboard expedition data were published online in PANGAEA, and PANGAEA will continue to acquire, archive, and publish new results derived from Expedition 389 samples and data sets.

The central portal for all IODP data, including Expedition 389 data, is the Scientific Earth Drilling Information Service (SEDIS; http://sedis.iodp.org). IODP MSP data are also downloadable from the MSP Data Portal at PANGAEA.

2. Lithostratigraphy

This section summarizes the methods used to describe and document the lithology of cores recovered during Expedition 389. It outlines the offshore and onshore visual core description methods, together with details of sediment classification and descriptive terms used. For additional methods used to characterize the physical properties and sediment composition, see **Physical properties** and **Geochemistry**.

2.1. Visual core descriptions

Offshore sedimentologists, volcanologists, and coral specialists conducted preliminary visual inspections and prepared written descriptions of the whole cores and core catcher material recovered during the expedition. These observations were made in two steps.

On deck, after cutting the aluminum core liner and before curation, naked/uncovered cores were rapidly inspected for visual core description, and their main characteristics were summarized on



Figure F4. mDIS database hierarchy, Expedition 389. This hierarchy will be used for all MSP expeditions from 2023 onward.

VCD initial notes sheets (Figure F5). On-deck photographs and overlapping high-resolution close-up photos (see IMAGES in **Supplementary material**) were taken before transfer from the aluminum liners to plastic liners (see **Introduction**).

After curation and physical properties measurements, cores were examined visually, both through the polycarbonate core liners by eye and with hand lenses. The close-up photographs were used to complete the description. The observations were recorded on offshore VCDs (Figures **F5**, **F6**). For further analysis, binocular microscopes were used on paleontology (PAL) samples selected from the core catchers or the lowermost part of core sections on a regular spacing of 4–5 m, depending on the availability of material. Where appropriate, photomicrographs were taken and labeled according to IODP convention and saved on the network.

During the OSP, sedimentologists conducted visual observations of the archive halves of the cores by eye and with hand lenses to describe their main characteristics. The Corelyzer 2.2 software (Ito et al., 2023) was used to visualize high-resolution linescan images (see IMAGES in **Supplementary material**), as well as X-ray computed tomography (CT)-scan images, to further facilitate visual core description. In addition, a binocular microscope was used to analyze and acquire photomicrographs of the core material. These observations were recorded on paper next to the printed image of archive halves on onshore VCDs (Figure **F7**; see ONSHORE_VCD in **Supplementary material**).

During both the offshore and onshore phases of the expedition, information recorded on VCDs was entered into the mDIS with two different section split labels: WR (whole round, off shore) and A (archive, on shore). Based on this information, lithostratigraphic logs, plots compiling MSCL data (Figure F8), and drilling information (Figure F9) were generated using the Strater 5 software (by Golden Software) for each hole, including composite logs and transects, with a legend.

Each core can be composed of several core sections, where a single core is as long as 2.75 m and represents a single core run. If a core run recovered more than 1.5 m of material, it was split into two sections. In some cases, material from the core catcher was also retrieved (see **Introduction**). Each core section was described based on general facies, including lithology and the proportion of major components and/or changes in the dominant coral type (identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible), or volcanological nomenclature. The following features were described:



Figure F5. Example offshore VCD initial notes sheet for whole-round cores. From left to right: 389-M0104A-6R-2, 7R-1, and 7R-2.

Exp 389	Site M0007	Hole	Core		WR	Section		HAMON / WEESTER
(cm)	Clay Clay Pro Sand Pro Sand Clay Sand Clay Sand Clay Clay Clay Clay Clay Clay Clay Clay	- mil			Isturbance		ation	MARC
sketc	Nuclear Nuclear Nuclear Produce Produce Rudaton	- Beendas	Facies Major Litholog	Color	Core D	Corais/ Fossils	Bioturb	Description
(A)						UMINAR PORTES?		corolgol. Thicon
	3							gaves and singe
S S	100					BRANCHING PONITES ISX SOTTE CLASTS HEAV	ricy	60160
0		þ				- GwBARSINE-POINTES		ripodigitat the
K	3					- ENTOBIA BOLINGS		
	SA La		L			BRANCHING TO COLUMNAR PORITES		
	and .		29			SUBRASSIVE PORITES		
	a lo	A	19					
	Dare	04	1 z l			BURNASSING POLITES		
M(M)			Ц. С			1		Thick chist of red algae
			Real			HEAVILY HOLED POLITES GA	river	(ISX)
	(MA)		ula			COWNER PORTES ?		Big cheman a
6	SF		9					
N	222				-	Anariching Popites?		
-31	NITE	1						

Figure F6. Example whole-round offshore VCD (389-M0097B-8R-1).



Figure F7. Example onshore VCD for the archive half (389-M0097A-22R-1).



Figure F8. Example offshore Strater plot, including lithostratigraphy and MSCL data, Hole M0099E. A = aluminum, P = polycarbonate.



Figure F9. Example offshore Strater plot, including lithostratigraphy and drilling parameters, Hole M0099E. A = aluminum, P = polycarbonate.

- Core disturbance and intervals of downhole fall-in sediment contamination in the upper parts of some cores;
- Lithologies (sediment and basalt); for sediment: texture, grain size, major and minor components (fossils, detrital grains, and matrix), and degree of consolidation;
- Morphology and taxonomy of individual corals;
- Boundaries between lithologies, including sedimentary boundaries (e.g., lithologic changes, depositional discontinuities, unconformities, erosional surfaces, etc.); and
- Taphonomic information (bioturbation, bioerosion, encrustation, and fragmentation).

2.1.1. Core disturbance

Disturbed intervals due to fracturing and/or grinding during drilling operations were marked on the VCDs (both on shore and off shore). Disturbance was also entered as a specific field in the mDIS using four levels of disturbance: none, slight, moderate, and high.

In the upper part of some cores, sedimentary breccias were clearly identifiable as downhole contamination. These intervals were flagged as "Facies F0 – fall-in material" based on the following criteria:

- Core recovery exceeds 100%.
- Reworked, brecciated material occurs at the top of the core, possibly with recoring or grinding marks on some debris.
- Brecciated material is different from a well-preserved interval of the facies defined below and in the core above.

2.1.2. Overall lithology

Overall lithology/texture was defined for carbonate sedimentary rocks following Embry and Klovan's (1972) revision of Dunham's (1962) classification. The following carbonate lithologies were distinguished:

- Boundstone: original components organically bound during deposition.
- Rudstone: granule/pebble-sized grains >2 mm; grain-supported textures with no matrix between the grains.
- Floatstone: granule/pebble-sized grains >2 mm; matrix supported.
- Grainstone: consolidated biodetrital material; sand-sized grains <2 mm; grain-supported textures with no mud between the sand grains.
- Packstone: consolidated biodetrital material; sand-sized grains <2 mm; grain-supported textures with mud between the sand grains.
- Wackestone: consolidated biodetrital material; mud-supported textures with sparse sand-sized grains floating in the mud.
- Mudstone: consolidated biodetrital material; mud-supported textures with sparse rare (<10%) sand-sized grains.

Note that "boundstone" is used as a generic term. Any material organically bound during deposition can form a boundstone, be it corals, algae, and/or microbialite.

Unlithified sediments were defined based on grain composition and size (Wentworth, 1922):

- Unconsolidated biodetrital sediments,
- Volcaniclastic sediments,
- Mixed carbonate-volcaniclastic sediments, and
- Hemipelagic mud.

Finally, because volcanic deposits were restricted primarily to extrusive solidified lava (i.e., lava rock with no significant pyroclastic or intrusive deposits observed), volcanic igneous rocks were described using four criteria relating to lava flow texture and alteration:

- Groundmass texture: aphanitic, phaneritic, and glassy.
- Phenocryst crystallinity: porphyritic and not porphyritic (including crystal phases when observed, primarily olivine and clinopyroxene).
- Vesicularity: percentage and roundness.

• Alteration: discoloration, vesicle filling, and infilled veins and cracks; lava with the absence of these features is referred to as "visually fresh."

Additionally, textures indicative of lava flow morphotypes and/or eruption conditions were noted if evident (e.g., Pāhoehoe-texture, 'a'a-texture, hyaloclastite-texture, and pillow lava-texture).

Several volcanic lithology naming conventions were used:

- "Lava" or "lava rock" refers to lithology. Although the term "lava" can refer to both solidified and molten rock, in this report it always refers to the solidified material unless specifically noted.
- "Lava flow" refers specifically to instances where the textural and morphological features of an identifiable solidified lava unit are present (i.e., top, bottom, and/or internal features). When these features are absent, "flow" is not included in the description. It is generally not possible in these cores to visually distinguish between multiple simple lava flows (which represent discrete eruptions) and multiple lobes of a single extended duration compound lava flow (using the terminology of Walker, 1971). When multiple individual lava flow boundaries were observed they were not interpreted as being discrete flows or compound flow lobes unless there is clear evidence of a change in texture, crystallinity, or alteration that requires a flow boundary representing a significant time gap.
- "Basalt" and "basaltic" refer to composition of the magma and/or the crystal phases therein.
- "Vesicle" is used to refer to solidified void spaces originally formed from gas bubbles in the magma.
- "Phaneritic" and "aphanitic" refer to the groundmass texture, and "porphyritic" refers to the presence of phenocrysts. Although "phyric" is commonly used to refer to lava that is both apanitic and nonporphyritic in the literature, that terminology is not adopted here.

2.1.3. Major and minor components of a core

The major components of a core are defined as those that contribute to the highest percentage by volume of the sediment and/or rock. Framework facies can include corals, microbialite, or coral-line algae as major components or may contain a mixture of these.

Components in the cores include the following:

- Basalt clasts and volcaniclastic sand grains;
- Bivalves;
- Bryozoans;
- Corals (for details on the determination of corals, see **Coral descriptions**), as well as derived clasts and rubbles;
- Coralline algae, crustose coralline algae (CCA) or fruticose coralline algae (FCA), and derived clasts and rubbles;
- Echinoderms;
- Foraminifers (large benthic foraminifers [LBFs] and encrusting foraminifers);
- Gastropods (including vermetid gastropods and Cypraeidae);
- Halimeda;
- Microbialite (meso-scale morphology: columnar, dendritic, and crust; internal morphology: structureless, laminated, and thrombolitic [clotted]; types not differentiated off shore);
- Rhodoliths;
- Serpulids, sabellariid tubes, and vermetids;
- Unknown lithoclast; and
- Unknown bioclasts.

Selected corals, CCA, FCA, and foraminifers were sampled during the OSP to conduct further analysis as part of the post-OSP phase to achieve a more precise taxonomic identification.

2.1.4. Sedimentary boundaries

Lithologic changes represented by discontinuities, unconformities, bioeroded surfaces, and other features (e.g., staining, cementation, or dissolution) associated with such boundaries were marked on the VCDs (both on shore and off shore).

2.1.5. Facies

The resulting combination of the overall lithology, major and minor components, and sedimentary boundaries enables association of each section unit with one facies ("lithological unit code" in the mDIS). Thirteen different facies were defined (Table **T2**; Figure **F10**).

2.1.6. Coral descriptions

Coral specialists mapped the preliminary stratigraphic positions of all visible corals on the VCDs, both off shore and during the OSP. Coral taxonomy and growth forms were also reported on the VCDs (both off shore and on shore) and entered into the mDIS (see **Introduction**).

2.1.6.1. Coral taxonomy

Coral identifications generally follow the usage of Veron (2000) with later modifications by Wallace et al. (2007), Budd et al. (2012), and Huang et al. (2014, 2016). Offshore identifications were usually only to family or genus, because species-level taxonomic characters were either not preserved or not able to be identified through the liner or because there was insufficient time for careful examination. Uncertain identifications pending further study are marked with an "?" on

Table T2. Sedimentary facies nomenclature used for lithostratigraphic descriptions, Expedition 389. Download table in CSV format.

Facies code	mDIS abbreviation	Full name	Description
FO	FALL	Fall-in material	Reworked, brecciated material, possibly with recoring marks on some debris, at the top of the core, different from the facies below.
F1	FRW-CorAlgBound	Coralgal boundstone facies	Coral framework with coralline algae encrustations. Locally vermetids and <i>Homotrema</i> within coralline algal crusts.
F2	FRW-CorAlgMicrobBound	Coralgal-microbialite boundstone facies	Coral and coralline algae framework either capped by microbialites or with large cavities filled by microbialites. Locally gastropods, echinoid spines, serpulid tubes, bivalves, vermetids, and <i>Homotrema</i> .
F3	FRW-MicrobBound	Microbialite boundstone facies	Microbialite framework with locally coral and coralline algae crusts. Rare coral clasts.
F3.5	FRW-MicrobAlgBound	Microbialite-algal boundstone facies	Intermediate facies between Microbialite Bst (F3) and algal Bst (F4).
F4	FRW-AlgBound	Algal boundstone facies	Massive algal crust framework with locally thin corals. The algal crusts often have <i>Homotrema</i> and vermetids.
F5	DET-Consolidated	Consolidated biodetrital facies	Biodetrital grainstone or packstone with coral clasts, algal clasts, and various accessory bioclasts (bivalves, gastropods, echinoid spines, LBF).
F6	DET-Unconsolidated	Unconsolidated biodetrital facies	Biodetrital carbonate sand with coral clasts, algal clasts, LBF, gastropods, Halimeda clasts, echinoid spines.
F7	Hem/Pel	Hemipelagic/pelagic facies	Mst/Wst dominated by planktonic foraminifers, mollusks, small benthic forams, abundant micrite, and minor volcaniclastic grains.
F8	VOL-Clast	Volcaniclastic facies	Mostly volcaniclastic sediments, with locally coral clasts as well as LBF. Olivine crystals are also identified.
F9	VOL-Basalt	Basaltic volcanic rock	Basaltic volcanic rock—massive, vesicular, picritic, brecciated.
F10	Mixed-carb/vol	Mixed carbonate-volcaniclastic facies	Mixed carbonate-volcaniclastic sediments, consolidated or not. Carbonate clasts are either corals, algal clasts, <i>Halimeda</i> intraclasts, echinoid spines, gastropods, LBF.
F11	RDST/FLST-Rhodoliths	Rhodoliths rudstone (RDST) or floatstone (FLST)	Rudstone or floatstone whose main components are rhodoliths (consolidated or not).
-			



Figure F10. Representative examples of lithostratigraphic facies. F0: fall-in facies (389-M0104A-11R-1, 0–35 cm). F1: coralgal boundstone (389-M0099E-16R-1, 0–35 cm). F2: coralgal-microbialite boundstone (389-M0097-B-1R-1, 57–92 cm). F3: microbialite boundstone (389-M0099C-14R-2, 24–59 cm). F3.5: microbialite-algal boundstone (389-M0099C-10R-1, 39– 74 cm). F4: algal boundstone (389-M0104-A-17R-1, 65–100 cm). F5: consolidated biodetrital facies (389-M0104A-18R-1, 40– 75 cm). F6: unconsolidated biodetrital facies (389-M0097A-10R-1, 45–80 cm). F8: volcaniclastic facies (389-M0099G-16R-1, 115–150 cm). F9: extrusive volcanic rock/lava (389-M0096F-3R-1, 20–55 cm). F10: mixed carbonate-volcaniclastic facies (389-M0096A-1R-1, 10–45 cm). F11: rhodolith accumulation devoid of matrix (389-M0102C-1R-1, 5–40 cm). No F7 (hemipelagic/ pelagic mud) was recovered.

the VCDs (both off shore and on shore). Onshore description of the coral fauna was based on observations of the archive halves of the cores, and identifications were usually done to the genus level and up to the species level when well-preserved corallite sections were observed.

2.1.6.2. Coral growth forms

Coral growth forms were described as follows (Figure **F11**):

- Encrusting: base attached to substrate; <5 cm thick.
- Submassive: base attached to substrate; 5–10 cm.
- Massive: base attached to substrate; >10 cm.
- Platy: base unattached to substrate; >5 mm thick.
- Foliaceous: base unattached to substrate; <5 mm thick.
- Laminar: if it is not possible to distinguish encrusting from platy.
- Branching fine (BF): if branch diameter is <1 cm on average.
- Branching medium (BM): if branch diameter is 1–1.5 cm on average.
- Branching robust (BR): if branch diameter is >1.5 cm on average.
- Columnar: if the colony displays mostly nonbifurcating upright columns.



Figure F11. Most common coral morphologies and taxa. 1. Massive *Porites* (389-M0102C-23R-2, 61–87 cm). 2. Columnar *Porites* (389-M0097B-5R-1, 61–96 cm). 3. Branching *Porites* (389-M0104A-7R-2, 86–112 cm). 4. Platy *Porites* (389-M0104A-10R-2, 17–45 cm). 5. Foliaceous *Leptoseris* (389-M0102A-6R-1, 27–60 cm). 6. Encrusting, knobby *Cyphastrea* embedded in thick coralline algal crust (389-M0104A-11R-1, 46–54 cm). 7. Branching *Pocillopora* (white arrows) (389-M0099C-14R-1, 48–56 cm). 8. Cup corals (white arrows) (389-M0099C-16R-2, 6–15 cm).

Abbreviation Meaning IS In situ Not in situ ISX ISN Context unknown Br Branching BF Branching fine RM Branching medium BR Branching robust COL Columnar ΕN Encrusting EN/PL+B Encrusting/platy base with branches SM Submassive Μ Massive FOL Foliaceous ΡL Platy LM Laminar FSG Fan-shape segments SOL Solitary

 Table T3.
 Abbreviations used in the VCDs (both offshore and onshore) to describe coral characteristics, Expedition 389.

 Download table in CSV format.

Depositional context was described as follows (Table **T3**):

- In situ (IS),
- Not in situ (ISX), and
- Context unknown (ISN).

2.1.7. Diagenesis and other features

Where applicable, occurrences of such features as open cavities, geopetal infills, color staining, cementation, and diagenetic alteration of components were identified and marked on the VCDs (both on shore and off shore). Some of the cavities were filled locally by internal bioclastic (marine) sediment, whereas other cavities were filled by microbialite.

3. Physical properties

3.1. Offshore petrophysical measurements

Core recovered during Expedition 389 was logged with a Geotek MSCL (Figure F1). The MSCL has five primary measurement sensors mounted on an automated track that sequentially measure gamma density, *P*-wave velocity, noncontact resistivity (NCR), magnetic susceptibility, and natural gamma radiation (NGR) (Table T4). Measurements were taken on most core sections after they were allowed to equilibrate to ambient temperature for 6 h. Core catcher pieces, cores shorter than 15 cm, and cores composed of loose rubble were generally not included in the logging process. During Expedition 389 offshore operations, gamma density, NCR, magnetic susceptibility, and *P*-wave velocity measurements were recorded at 2 cm intervals and NGR was measured at 10 cm intervals. High-resolution data were acquired at 0.5 cm intervals for a small subset of core sections.

The quality of the MSCL data is a function of both sensor precision and core quality. Gamma density, magnetic susceptibility, and NGR are affected by measurement time, whereas NCR and *P*-wave velocity are impacted by temperature. *P*-wave velocity measurements also require good contact between the acoustic transducers, liner, and core. For best MSCL results, the core liners should be fully filled with core material and the core completely fluid saturated. Partial fluid saturation and drilling-induced core damage negatively impacted the data quality. During Expedition 389 offshore operations, the recovered core material was transferred from aluminum to plastic liners, thereby draining and to some extent further disturbing the recovered core sections in the process. Natural vugs and fractures, which are common in carbonates and lava rock, may mimic drilling-induced core damage in the sensor response.

A full calibration of the MSCL sensors was required (Table **T4**), from which the relationships between sensor output (e.g., gamma counts per second or millivolts) and petrophysical properties (e.g., density in grams per cubic centimeter and resistivity in ohm-meters) are derived. The initial calibration was conducted at the beginning of the expedition. Calibration checks were performed on gamma density, *P*-wave, NCR, and magnetic susceptibility sensors approximately once every 6 h (excluding wait times during drilling). These checks involved testing three calibration reference pieces: the magnetic susceptibility calibration-check piece, a standard core liner saturated with distilled water, and a standard core liner saturated with 8.75 g/L salinity-water solution. The results were compared to the values derived during the full calibration. The NGR sensor does not require calibration checks.

Table T4. Sensor summary for the MSCL, Expedition 389. NA = not applicable. Download table in CSV format.

Sensor	Full calibration pieces used	Calibration check pieces used	Acceptable departure from full calibration values	Sampling interval (cm)	Field of influence (cm)
Gamma density	Stepped Al/H ₂ O (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 0 cm Al)	Distilled water	±100 cps	2	1
P-wave velocity	Distilled water	Distilled water	±1 μs	2	2
Noncontact resistivity	Saline fluids (35, 17.5, 8.75, 3.5, 1.75, and 0.35 g/L)	8.75 g/L saline fluid	±10 mV	2	4
Magnetic susceptibility	Impregnated resin calibration piece	Impregnated resin calibration piece	±5 SI	2	14
Natural gamma radiation	None	None	NA	10	8

3.1.1. MSCL measurement principles

This section provides a brief overview of the measurement principle of each of the five MSCL sensors, as well as specifics about the calibration process and measurement procedure. More detailed information about the MSCL sensors can be found in the Geotek MSCL Manual (http://geotek.co.uk/downloads).

3.1.1.1. Gamma density

The core bulk density was determined through gamma ray attenuation densitometry. On the MSCL, the core is run in between a lead-shielded small (370 MBq) ¹³⁷Cs gamma source and a lead-shielded NaI(Ti) scintillating detector. Through a 5 mm collimator, the source emits gamma rays with primary photon energies of roughly 0.662 MeV that attenuate (due to Compton scattering) as they pass through the lined core to the detector. The degree of attenuation depends on the electron density of the core material. This correlates well with bulk density because most rock-forming minerals have similar low atomic numbers. During Expedition 389, gamma density logs were recorded with a sampling interval of 2 cm and a count time of 10 s.

3.1.1.1.1. Gamma density calibration

A calibration is necessary to convert the recorded gamma intensity readings (in counts per seconds) to bulk density values (in grams per centimeter cubed). For the full initial calibration, a stepped aluminum standard (provided by Geotek) was placed inside a 30 cm long sample of the polycarbonate liner (inner diameter = 7.28 cm; wall thickness = 0.16 cm) used for the rotary cores during Expedition 389. The standard was run through the MSCL (1) filled with water (for saturated core) and (2) filled with air (for dry core). For the gamma counts per second, 30 s averages were recorded (Tables **T5**, **T6**) at each of the five thicknesses (6, 5, 4, 3, and 2 cm) of the stepped aluminum standard, as well as through the liner and air or the liner and distilled water. During Expedition 389, calibrations were performed at the beginning and the end of the expedition as well as two thirds of the way through the expedition. The calibrations were checked with a water-filled liner tube during the regular calibration checks, which were conducted before each new hole was drilled and every 6 h afterward.

3.1.1.1.2. Density calculations

MSCL rock density (ρ) is determined based on the following equation:

$$\ln \ln(I) = A(\rho D)2 + B\rho D + C,$$

 Table T5.
 Average intensities measured for water-filled calibration piece used to calibrate gamma density, Expedition 389.

 All times are local ship times.
 Download table in CSV format.

Calibration piece (cm)	4 Sep 2023 (2300 h) (counts/s)	18 Oct 2023 (1700 h) (counts/s)	31 Oct 2023 (1230 h) (counts/s)	31 Oct 2023 (1600 h) (counts/s)
0.000	12,104 ± 29	11,681 ± 14	11,262 ± 16	11,284 ± 10
1.985	10,405 ± 32	9,992 ± 18	9,696 ± 12	9,699 ± 22
2.995	9,158 ± 36	8,853 ± 19	8,650 ± 35	8,650 ± 24
4.000	8,142 ± 43	7,924 ± 6	7,765 ± 17	7,763 ± 10
4.999	7,317 ± 27	7,128 ± 19	6,977 ± 11	6,992 ± 11
6.005	6,576 ± 15	$6,420 \pm 34$	6,290 ± 19	6,314 ± 34

Table T6. Average intensities measured for dry calibration piece used to calibrate gamma density, Expedition 389. All times are local ship times. NM = not measured. **Download table in CSV format.**

Calibration piece (cm)	4 Sep 2023 (2300 h) (counts/s)	18 Oct 2023 (1700 h) (counts/s)	31 Oct 2023 (1230 h) (counts/s)	31 Oct 2023 (1600 h) (counts/s)
0.000	19,871 ± 31	17,869 ± 30	NM	16,462 ± 63
2.001	15,082 ± 29	14,375 ± 39	NM	13,656 ± 21
3.000	12,515 ± 52	12,097 ± 36	NM	11,662 ± 22
4.008	$10,402 \pm 47$	10,118 + 22	NM	9,868 ± 18
4.999	8,728 ± 65	8,515 ± 34	NM	8,327 ± 20
5.997	7,279 ± 33	7,094 ± 35	NM	6,974 ± 45

where

- I = measured gamma intensity (counts per second),
- D = core thickness (cm), and
- A, B, and C = calibration parameters obtained from the gamma density calibration measurements above (Table T7).

Solving the above equation for ρ yields the following relationship:

$$\rho = \frac{-BD \pm \sqrt{(BD)^2 - 4AD^2(C - \ln \ln(I))}}{2AD^2}$$

If A < 0, the negative square root is taken. If A > 0, the positive square root is taken.

3.1.1.1.3. Dry versus wet density calibration

The core sections recovered during Expedition 389 were transferred from aluminum to polycarbonate liners and were partially drained in the process (see Operations in each site chapter). Figure **F12** shows the relationship between densities calculated using correlations from the dry and wet calibration. The difference in calibration becomes apparent (exceeding ± 0.1 g/cm³) at densities lower than 1.5 g/cm³ (more void space).

MSCL density values for all cores were initially calculated using the previous equation and the wet calibration parameters. Inspection of the MSCL resistivity data (Figure **F13**) confirmed that competent core sections with fewer vugs retained water.

3.1.1.1.4. Sensor drift density correction

MSCL core measurements were conducted between 4 September and 1 November 2023 (Table **T8**). The calibration checks with a water-filled liner performed over that time period revealed a

Table T7. Gamma density calibration parameters for the rotary core liner, Expedition 389. See Physical properties for equation and definitions of A, B, and C. All times are local ship times. Download table in CSV format.

Calibration date (2023)	Calibration time (h)	Run	A	В	с
04 Sep	2300	Water-saturated	-0.00114413	-0.03256402	9.70614441
18 Oct	1700	Water-saturated	-0.00098312	-0.03509998	9.67888980
31 Oct	1230	Water-saturated	-0.00114957	-0.02932485	9.60856600
31 Oct	1600	Water-saturated	-0.00103208	-0.03207714	9.62443776
04 Sep	2300	Dry	-0.00066682	-0.05180385	9.90341719
18 Oct	1700	Dry	-0.00114817	-0.03921203	9.79827141
31 Oct	1600	Dry	-0.00134188	-0.03203010	9.71563694



Figure F12. MSCL dry vs. wet density, Expedition 389.

drift in the measured gamma intensity toward lower values, namely from 12,100 counts/s on 4 September to 11,500 counts/s on 31 October with a minimum of 11,000 counts/s recorded on 30 October (Figure F14). Because of the drift, the calculated density values with time increasingly overestimated the actual density of the core. Consequently, a correction was applied to the initially calculated densities to reduce them to their true value, as described below.

In addition to the initial sensor calibration performed on 4 September, two more calibrations were performed: one on 18 October and one on 31 October. Comparison of density logs calculated for one core section using the three different sets of calibration parameters shows that the difference between values calculated with parameters from different calibrations ($\Delta\rho$) depend not only on the day of the calibration but also on the density itself (Figure **F15**). Deviations are more pronounced at lower densities. The density dependence of $\Delta\rho$ can be expressed as the following relationships:

$$\Delta \rho_{18} = \rho_4 - (0.0096 \cdot \rho_4^2 + 1.0094 \cdot \rho_4 - 0.1229) \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta \rho_{31} = \rho_4 - (-0.0368 \cdot \rho_4^2 + 1.2360 \cdot \rho_4 - 0.4358),$$

where



Figure F13. Vugs, Hole M0100B. Top: Section 3R-1 (shallower than 0.5 m) contains a higher density of vugs (indicated by lower density) compared to the deeper section. Lower MSCL resistivity in the deeper section indicates the presence of a higher amount of retained water compared to vuggy sections from which water could drain easily. Bottom: in Section 7R-1, vuggy, drained, and nonvuggy water-bearing rock occur with intermittent resistivity.

MSCL run	Date (2023)	Local time (h)	Measurement	Time (d)
C20230904_2300	4 Sep	2300	Calibration	0
389-				
M0096A	6 Sep	0442	Core	1
M0096D	8 Sep	0423	Core	3
M0097A	10 Sep	2023	Core	5.7
M0097B	13 Sep	1155	Core	8.3
M0098A	16 Sep	0632	Core	11.1
M0097C	18 Sep	1940	Core	13.6
M0096F	21 Sep	0640	Core	16.1
M0099A	22 Sep	0300	Core	16.9
M0099B	22 Sep	1300	Core	17.3
M0099C	24 Sep	1100	Core	19.3
M0099E	27 Sep	0040	Core	21.8
M0099F	27 Sep	2200	Core	22.7
M0100A	1 Oct	2155	Core	26.7
M0101A	4 Oct	1020	Core	29.2
M0102A	5 Oct	1030	Core	30.2
M0101B	8 Oct	0435	Core	33
M0102C	12 Oct	0820	Core	37.2
M0103A	14 Oct	1515	Core	39.4
M0104A	16 Oct	2100	Core	41.7
M0105A	18 Oct	0022	Core	42.8
C20231018_1700	18 Oct	1700	Calibration	43.5
389-				
M0106A	19 Oct	0940	Core	44.2
M0106B	19 Oct	1930	Core	44.6
M0099G	24 Oct	0830	Core	49.2
M0107A	24 Oct	1900	Core	49.6
M0108B	27 Oct	1505	Core	52.4
M0109A	28 Oct	0715	Core	53.1
M0110A	30 Oct	0210	Core	54.9
M0110B	30 Oct	1945	Core	55.9
C20231031_1600	31 Oct	1600	Calibration	56.5
389-				
M0097D	1 Nov	1350	Core	57.4





Figure F14. Calibration-check results, Expedition 389. Error bars = measurement repeatability of ± 100 counts/s, blue dots = calibration check results, yellow dots = calibration results, red lines = window of ± 100 counts/s (the acceptable range of deviation observed during calibration checks).

- $\Delta \rho_{18}$ = difference between densities calculated with 18 October and 4 September calibration parameters,
- $\Delta \rho_{31}$ = difference between densities calculated with 31 October and 4 September calibration parameters, and
- ρ = density calculated using 4 September calibration parameters.

For simplicity, we assume a linear time dependency between calibration points. This divides the total measurement period into two intervals (4 September–18 October and 18 October–1 November). The density correction subtrahend for given times are given by the following two equations:

$$\Delta \rho_{\rm x} (\text{Interval 1}) = \frac{\Delta \rho_{18}}{t_{18}} \cdot t_{\rm x} \text{ and}$$
$$\Delta \rho_{\rm x} (\text{Interval 2}) = \Delta \rho_{18} + \frac{\Delta \rho_{31} - \Delta \rho_{18}}{t_{13} - t_{18}} \cdot (t_{\rm x} - t_{18})$$

where

 $\Delta \rho_x$ = density correction,

 $t_x = day of MSCL measurement (in days; 4 September = Day 0),$

 t_{18} = day of 18 October calibration (= 43.5 days), and

 t_{31} = day of 31 October calibration (= 56.5 days).

Repeat measurements of the water-filled liner tube showed a variability in measured density values of ± 100 counts/s. The uncertainty introduced through the density correction is based on the spread in the calibration check results (Figure **F12**). Data points measured for the water-filled liner between 4 September and 18 October roughly fall within ± 200 counts/s, whereas those measured after 18 October roughly fall within ± 300 counts/s. The ranges translate to uncertainties of $\pm 0.04-0.07$ g/cm³ ($\pm 1\%-2\%$) and $\pm 0.06-0.10$ g/cm³ ($\pm 3\%-4\%$), respectively.

3.1.1.2. P-wave velocity

Whole-core *P*-wave velocity (V_p ; in meters per second) was measured using two rolling ultrasonic *P*-wave transducers aligned perpendicular to the core axis. In this configuration (whole-core setup), 230 kHz compressional waves are transmitted horizontally across the core with a spatial resolution of 2 cm. In the recorded waveforms, the Geotek MSCL software automatically picks the time of first arrival (t_0 ; in microseconds). *P*-wave traveltime through the liner and additional system-related delays (t_d ; in microseconds) are subtracted from t_0 to obtain the *P*-wave traveltime through the core (t_p ; in microseconds). The *P*-wave velocity is then calculated from t_p and the inner diameter of the liner (core diameter [D]; in centimeters) using the following equation:

$$V_{\rm p} = 10,000 \times t_{\rm p}/{\rm D}$$
,

where $t_p = t_0 - t_d$.



Figure F15. Relationship between densities calculated based on three calibrations, Expedition 389.

Knowing the velocity of water (Leroy, 1969), the traveltime offset, t_p , is determined during the full initial calibration from *P*-wave velocity measurements on a 15 cm long polycarbonate liner of known inner and outer diameter that is filled with distilled water. The same calibration piece is also used in regular calibration checks (repeatability = ±1 µs). Core measurements were conducted at 2 cm intervals.

P-wave transmission depends on core quality, fluid saturation, and acoustic coupling between transducer, liner, and core material. A large number of the core sections that were recovered during Expedition 389 were minimally fluid saturated and often exhibited drilling-induced disturbances. Acoustic coupling between liner and core was generally too poor for wave propagation. As a result, minimal robust *P*-wave velocities could be successfully measured using the MSCL off shore.

3.1.1.3. Noncontact electrical resistivity

Electrical resistivity was measured using the NCR sensor. A transmitter coil generates a magnetic field that induces an electric current in the core. This current, in turn, generates a small magnetic field that is then measured with the receiver coil. An identical pair of transmitter-receiver coils at the bottom of the sensor measure the response in air. Comparison of the two measurements allows for the small magnetic fields induced in the core to be recorded (in millivolts). The NCR sensor has a spatial resolution of approximately 4 cm, and its output is given in millivolts. Calibration is required to convert the measured voltage into resistivity. For the full initial calibration, $6 \times$ 30 cm long polycarbonate liner tubes were filled with distilled water containing different concentrations of sodium chloride (0.35, 1.75, 3.5, 8.75, 17.5, and 35 g/L). Each calibration piece was measured with the NCR, and its known resistivity (Schlumberger Gen-9 Chart) was correlated to the sensor output. The calibration piece containing the 8.75 g/L saline solution was used during the regular calibration checks. Important to note is that electrical resistivity is typically measured in fluid-saturated cores because it is a function of porosity, pore connectivity, saturation, and pore water salinity, clay content, and temperature. The cores recovered during Expedition 389 were mostly drained, similar to previous reef drilling during Integrated Ocean Drilling Program Expeditions 310 and 325. Consequently, the measured resistivity logs were primarily an indicator of areas with narrow pore structures, which retained some of the water, or areas in which water pooled at the bottom of the liner and were indicated by a drop in NCR values.

3.1.1.4. Magnetic susceptibility

Magnetic susceptibility is a measure of the degree of magnetization a material experiences when exposed to a magnetic field. The magnetic susceptibility of cores depends on their mineralogical composition or, more specifically, the concentration of magnetic minerals. It is dimensionless 10^{-5} SI (referring to the Système international d'unités (SI)/International System of Units). The MSCL magnetic susceptibility system comprises a Bartington MS2 loop sensor coupled with a Bartington MS3 meter. The loop has an inner diameter of 120 mm and operates on a frequency of 565 Hz. It has a field of influence of approximately 7 cm on either side. The sensitivity of the device is related to the sample integration time, which ranges 1–10 s. The main lithologies expected during Expedition 389, namely carbonate sediments, including coral framework, and lava rock, represent endmembers in terms of expected range of magnetic susceptibility (Table **T9**). For this reason, the mean sample integration time of 5 s was chosen. Data points were collected every 2 cm. The sensor automatically zeros and takes a free-air reading at the start and end of each run to account for instrument drift. The drift correction is performed through subtraction of a linear interpolation between readings. The accuracy of the magnetic susceptibility sensor loop was checked using the corresponding 455×10^{-5} SI calibration standard (Bartington) made of impregnated resin during

Table T9. Expected ranges for magnetic susceptibility (MS) of calcite and basalt, Expedition 389. Download table in CSV format.

Material	MS minimum (10 ⁻⁶ SI)	MS maximum (10 ⁻⁶ SI)	Source
Calcite	-0.75	-3.9	Hunt et al., 1995
Basalt	100	1000	Kontny et al., 2003

the full initial calibration and the regular calibration checks. Deviations from the expected value were less than 1.5%.

3.1.1.5. Natural gamma radiation

The NGR sensor detects natural radioactivity that is emitted from the core in the form of gamma rays in the energy range of 0-3 MeV. This radiation is primarily the result of the decay of radioactive isotopes of potassium, thorium, and uranium. The three lead-shielded NaI(Ti) scintillating detectors that make up the NGR sensor measure the total amount the gamma ray energy emitted in counts per second. The use of multiple detectors is necessary due to the low level of NGR in rocks. The sensor has a spatial resolution 10 cm, and readings are averaged over a period of 30 s. NGR readings were taken every 10 cm. An energy calibration was performed at the beginning of the expedition using a potassium (⁴⁰K) calibration standard from the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). The uncertainty range associated with NGR measurements is ±5%.

3.1.2. High-resolution MSCL logs

A small number of core sections (<10) were logged at a higher sampling rate of every 0.5 cm. Because of the relatively large field of influence of the NGR and magnetic susceptibility sensor, the actual resolution could not be increased. A comparison with standard data (Figure **F16**) confirmed that the sampling rate of 2 cm for magnetic susceptibility and density was appropriate to capture most if not all variations.

3.1.3. Offshore QA/QC

QA/QC during the offshore phase involved regular calibration checks and thorough core quality assessments. Because of the nature of seafloor drilling operations, MSCL core measurements were run in batches. Calibration checks were conducted before each batch of core was logged and roughly every 6 h during a logging period. No calibration checks were performed during wait times. After a core section was logged, it was meticulously inspected. Any rubble, gaps, cracks, or other drilling-induced damage were recorded on a centimeter by centimeter basis. Data points



Figure F16. Comparison of high (blue)- and low (black)-resolution MSCL data sets (389-M0096A-1R-1). High-resolution acquisition was repeated with the core turned 90° (green). MS = magnetic susceptibility.

collected over damaged core segments were removed from the final data set (Table **T10**). The percentage of data points retained for each section after QA/QC are listed in the table. The raw data sets, including all data points, were archived. In addition, data points recorded when a sensor's field of influence reached beyond the end of a core section (magnetic susceptibility and NGR) were not included. Measurements over large-scale geological voids such as carbonate vugs (which have a similar sensor response to drilling-induced gaps) were retained.

3.2. Onshore petrophysical measurements

Most onshore measurements were taken at the BCR during the OSP. The one exception is X-ray CT scanning, which was performed on whole cores at the British Geological Survey (BGS) Core Scanning Facility (Keyworth, UK) in advance of the OSP. The X-ray CT images were utilized to determine the best orientation for splitting cores (see **X-ray computed tomography**). After the cores were split during the OSP, linescanning and color reflectance measurements were conducted on split core sections because it was necessary to do these measurements as soon after splitting as possible to preserve the integrity of the images and data. Because of the potential for nonsymmetrical structures in the cores, linescanning was performed on both the archive half and working half. Hyperspectral imaging of the split archive halves occurred after core description and was followed by thermal conductivity measurements. Lastly, *P*-wave velocity and moisture and density (MAD) measurements were conducted on discrete samples taken from the working halves.

3.2.1. Digital imaging

Digital linescan images of the split cores were obtained during the OSP using an Avaatech Superslit X-ray fluorescence (XRF) core scanner in operation at the MARUM. The XRF scanner was used as a linescan camera and linear light source; however, XRF measurements were not taken on the cores. The linescanner produces high-resolution color images. The Linescan Program uses the Stemmer Common Vision Blox platform to acquire and process color images.

Hole	Cores recovered (N)	Total core (m)	Cores logged (m)	Cores logged (<i>N</i>)	Recorded data points (N)	Deleted data points (N)	Retained data (%)
389-							
M0096A	2	1.52	1.52	2	65	61	6
M0096D	4	2.24	2.24	4	95	84	12
M0096F	5	4.76	4.48	4	206	115	44
M0097A	24	26.17	26.17	24	1208	376	69
M0097B	12	23.16	23.16	12	1069	102	90
M0097C	32	28.04	23.76	19	1095	332	70
M0097D	28	19.22	18.11	23	809	345	57
M0098A	23	8.43	2.00	2	93	60	35
M0099A	6	4.29	3.99	5	180	87	52
M0099B	5	4.35	4.05	4	186	103	45
M0099C	27	25.45	24.06	23	1107	471	57
M0099E	24	18.00	16.05	20	716	425	41
M0099F	9	6.75	6.31	7	284	110	61
M0099G	28	18.88	16.27	19	746	347	53
M0100A	12	9.73	6.85	8	407	218	46
M0101A	29	12.34	9.18	17	404	267	34
M0101B	27	26.68	23.60	18	1084	269	75
M0102A	14	11.01	11.01	14	501	127	75
M0102C	33	42.32	42.13	32	1952	493	75
M0103A	32	14.31	11.21	21	476	334	30
M0104A	22	42.77	42.77	22	2013	321	84
M0105A	11	7.63	7.49	10	338	125	63
M0106A	4	1.47	0.77	2	32	22	31
M0106B	9	2.98	1.78	3	136	56	59
M0107A	8	12.72	12.35	6	583	96	84
M0108A	6	1.95	0.00	0	0	0	0
M0108B	32	17.50	12.78	20	568	393	31
M0109A	12	4.21	2.69	6	115	98	15
M0110A	18	14.15	12.57	13	582	226	61
M0110B	10	12.90	12.90	10	553	146	74

Table T10. Cores logged and data retained, Expedition 389. Download table in CSV format.

The camera system contains a three charge-coupled device camera with beam-splitter and a manual controlled Pentax 50 mm lens. The image resolution is ~150 pixel/cm in the crosscore and downcore directions. With an exposure time of 5 ms, a scan speed of 125 mm/s was achieved. The image coverage is ~13.5 cm in the crosscore direction and a maximum of 153 cm in the downcore direction.

Every split core was imaged with a color and grayscale chart beside it. Four output files were generated for each core section: a high-resolution bitmap file (.bmp), a compressed image file (.jpg), a tagged image file format (.tif), and a numeric text file (.txt). Numeric data are in red-green-blue (RGB) units. The linescan system was calibrated every 24 h with black and white calibration with an aperture of f/11+. All split cores were measured using aperture setting f/11+ (a fixed value between 11 and 16). When cores were darker (e.g., in lava), the aperture was increased to up to f/5.6, and for lighter rocks (e.g., some corals) the aperture was decreased to f/13. Consistency of equipment settings was chosen over custom settings to ensure uniformity of the data set. Software features necessitated the length of linescan images to be a couple of centimeters longer than the curated core length. Because of this, the compressed image files were cropped to match the length of the cores after the image was taken. The numeric data files were corrected to the same length as the modified .jpg picture files. Both original and corrected files were uploaded to the database. All images were checked by the operator to ensure that the full core section had been captured and for general data quality of the scan.

3.2.2. Diffuse color reflectance spectrophotometry

Split halves were typically measured at 2 cm intervals using a handheld Konica Minolta spectrophotometer (Model CM-2600d). Interval spacing was adjusted appropriately depending on the nature of the core (i.e., the presence of rubble, vugs, and fractures) and commented on in the data report. Zero and white calibrations of the spectrophotometer were carried out when starting up the machine. Prior to measurement, the core surface was covered with clear plastic wrap to maintain a clean spectrometer window and prevent contact with the split core surface.

Spectrophotometric analysis produces three types of data:

- L*, a*, and b* values, where L* is a total reflectance index ranging 0%–100%, a* is the green (–) to red (+) chromaticity, and b* is the blue (–) to yellow (+) chromaticity;
- Munsell color values; and
- Intensity values for 31 contiguous 10 nm wide bands across the 360–740 nm interval of the light spectrum with a reflectance range of 0%–175% and a resolution of 0.01% (Minolta Spectrophotometer CM-2600d manual).

Measurements were taken with the instrument horizontal against the split core surface. The location of the measurement as depth in the section was recorded. Measurements were taken in the most visibly homogeneous areas at each depth downcore to obtain as pure a color reflectance signal as possible. When utilizing the spectrophotometric measurements, it is recommended that detailed examination of core photos/images and disturbance descriptions/tables is undertaken to cull unnecessary or spurious data. However, this screening process was minimized during the OSP measurements by targeting appropriate locations along the core for measurements with the handheld sensor.

3.2.3. Thermal conductivity

Thermal conductivity was measured with the TeKa TK04 system using the HLQ probe H11047 with a half-space line source for measurement of individual rock samples in half-space configuration (Von Herzen and Maxwell, 1959). The line source contains a heater wire and calibrated thermistor. It is assumed to be a perfect conductor because it is significantly more conductive than the samples it measures. The heating wire was covered in Wacker P12 thermal conductivity paste and probe surrounded by cling film to protect the core. Test measurements revealed the difference in results from measurements with and without paste and film to be negligible. The probe is heated for 80 s, and the temperature is recorded. Five measuring cycles are generally recorded with a 10 min pause between measurements. Archive split cores were measured at room temperature (20° - 22° C) in the core description room during the OSP.

Generally, the coefficient of thermal conductivity (k, $[W/(m \cdot K)]$) is calculated from the following equation:

$$\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{t}) = (\mathbf{q}/4\pi) \times ([\ln(\mathbf{t}_2) - \ln(\mathbf{t}_1)]/[\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{t}_2) - \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{t}_1)]),$$

where

```
T = temperature (K),
```

q = rate of heat flow through the material (W/m), and

 t_1 and t_2 = time interval (80 s duration) along the heating curve(s).

The correct choice of t_1 and t_2 is complex. Commonly, thermal conductivity is calculated from the maximum interval (t_1 and t_2) along the heating curve where k(t) is constant. In the early stages of heating, the source temperature is affected by the contact resistance between the source and the full space, and in later stages, it is affected by the finite length of the heating source (assumed infinite in theory). The special approximation method employed by the TK04 software is used to develop a best fit to the heating curve for all time intervals where $20 \le t_1 \le 40$, $45 \le t_2 \le 80$, and $t_2 - t_1 > 25$.

A good measurement results in a match of several hundred time intervals along the heating curve. The best solution (the output thermal conductivity) is that which most closely corresponds to the theoretical curve. Numerous measuring cycles were automatically performed at each sampling location, and, when obtained, the best five were used to calculate an average thermal conductivity.

Thermal conductivity measurements were generally taken every 10 m, and cores were sampled at locations with even surfaces to increase rock contact with the HQL probe. Most of the Expedition 389 cores were reef limestone and vesicular lava. Their uneven surface caused by void spaces in the rock prevented successful measurements of thermal conductivity in some sections. Sections on which thermal conductivity was measured successfully are listed in Table T11.

Hole, core, section	Interval in section (cm)	Depth (mbsf)	Hole, core, section	Interval in section (cm)	Depth (mbsf)	Hole, core, section	Interval in section (cm)	Depth (mbsf)
389-M009	96D-		389-M00	96-		19R-1	65	39.42
3R-2	23	5.31	12R-1	66	41.61	389-M01	05A-	
389-M009	96F-		16R-1	46	48.65	9R-1	53	18.65
3R-1	24	8.24	16R-2	111	50.80	9R-1	53	18.65
200 14000			17R-1	75	51.74	11R-1	53	22.69
389-IVIUUS	4/A-	24 52	389-M010	004-		389-M01	074-	
19R-2 19R-2	40	24.33	5R-1	57	4 55	6R-1	129	7.84
22R-2	85	32.81				6R-1	98	7.53
22112	05	52.01	389-M010	D1B-		8R-2	9	12.34
389-M009	97B-		19R-2	42	30.02			
1R-2	34	36.20	24R-2	18	36.94	389-M01	10A-	
389-M000	976-		25R-1	56	38.17	10R-1	36	9.46
192-2	20	11.05	25R-1	124	38.85	10R-1	38	9.48
10R-2	20	12.05	26R-1	84	41.22	6R-1	18	5.34
210.2	100	12.70	380-M010			14R-1	74	13.20
21R-2	100	18.21	C DCC	65	49.02	14R-2	57	14.54
389-M009	99C-		25R-2	14	40.95	16R-1	77	16.16
3R-1	54	2.73	25K-1	14	51.06	200 1404		
11R-1	40	10.57	389-M010)4A-		389-M01	10B-	
4R-1	70	15.00	6R-2	65	14.90	8R-2	98	13.05
		15100	9R-1	46	21.52	10R-1	79	16.88
389-M009	99E-		17R-1	90	35.92			
7R-1	54	6.40	19R-1	101	39.78			
389-M009	99F-							
7R-1	74	8.15						
9R-1	131	12.15						

Table T11. Core sections with successful thermal conductivity measurements, Expedition 389. Download table in CSV format.

3.2.4. *P*-wave velocity from discrete samples

P-wave velocity on discrete samples was measured using a GEOTEK velocimeter. The equipment consists of two ultrasonic transducers, one transmitter, and one receiver, between which the sample is placed. A mechanical vise holds the transducers in place and applies nominal downward pressure. A laser distance meter measures the space between transducers (i.e., the length of the sample). The transmitter emits a 230 kHz acoustic pulse that travels through the sample to the receiver. The *P*-wave arrival time is picked automatically on a virtual oscilloscope that displays the digitized waveform with a sampling frequency of 12.5 MHz. From the *P*-wave traveltime and the measured sample length (l), the *P*-wave velocity can be calculated: $V_p = 1/t_p$. Traveltime offsets due to electronic delays, *P*-wave travel through the transducer endcaps, and picking methods are accounted for through zero-distance calibration.

Acoustic measurements were performed on cylindrical core plugs drilled roughly every 1.5 m perpendicular to the split surface of the working halves. *P*-wave velocity was measured first wet straight after sampling (initial), again after the samples had been dried in a convection oven at $105^{\circ} \pm 5^{\circ}$ C for 24 h (dry), and then once more after being resaturated. Resaturation of the core plugs and the additional measurements took place post-OSP at the University of Leicester (UK). A desiccator-vacuum pump setup was used to flood the dry plugs with tap water. The plugs were fully submerged in water inside the desiccator, which was then placed under a vacuum for at least 24 h. The vacuum helped to extract any air trapped in the pore space and replace it with water. Full saturation may not have occurred in all samples due to difference in permeability among the sampled lithologies.

3.2.5. Moisture and density

MAD properties (bulk density, dry density, grain density, water content, porosity, and void ratio) were determined from measurements of the wet and dry masses of the sampled core plugs as well as their dry volumes.

Discrete samples were taken for both MAD and *P*-wave velocity measurements from the workinghalf sections (the same sample was used for both measurements). Where core quality allowed, samples were taken at an interval of 1 per core section. It was not possible to document or ensure that all core plugs were completely uncontaminated by fluid inundation during the core splitting and sampling process. Immediately after the initial *P*-wave measurements, the samples were transferred into previously weighed glass beakers. However, in areas of unconsolidated sediment, 3–15 cm³ samples were collected for only MAD measurements.

The wet samples and beakers were weighed to a precision of 0.001 g using an electronic balance (M_{wet}) . Afterward, samples were dried in a convection oven at $105^{\circ} \pm 5^{\circ}$ C for 24 h, followed by cooling to room temperature in a desiccator. Dry sediments were successively weighed (M_{dry}) , and their volume (V_{dry}) was measured using a Quantachrome pentapycnometer (helium-displacement pycnometer). This equipment allowed the simultaneous analysis of four different samples and one standard (calibration spheres). Volume measurements were repeated a maximum of five times or until three consecutive measurements exhibited <0.01% standard deviation with a purge time of 1 min. Volume measurements were averaged per sample (V_{dry}) . Calibration spheres were cycled from cell to cell of the pycnometer during each run to check for accuracy, instrument drift, and systematic error. Under optimal conditions, this technique has a precision of <0.02 cm³. However, in-run checks of the calibration spheres showed that they required frequent calibration, with individual runs varying by 0.04 cm³ or more from the known volume of the calibration spheres. Because of this, a precision of ± 0.05 cm³ was conservatively applied to the pycnometer measurements for Expedition 389 samples.

The mass of the evaporated pore water $(M_{\mbox{\tiny pw}})$ is given by

$$M_{pw} = M_{wet} - M_{dry}$$
.

The volume of pore water (V_{pw}) is given by

$$V_{pw} = M_{pw}/d_{pw}$$
,

where d_{pw} = pore water density at standard laboratory conditions (1.024 g/cm³ and 3.5% salinity).

Salt precipitated in sample pores during the drying process is included in the M_{dry} and V_{dry} values, resulting in the following approximations:

- The mass of solids including salt (M_{solid}) is given by the dried mass of the sample ($M_{dry} = M_{solid}$).
- The volume of solids including salt (V_{solid}) is given by the measured dry volume from the pycnometer ($V_{dry} = V_{solid}$).
 - The wet volume (V_{wet}) is given by $V_{wet} = V_{solid} + V_{pw}$.

For all sediment samples, water content (w) is expressed as the ratio of the mass of pore water to the dry sediment (total) mass:

$$w = M_{pw}/M_{drv}$$

Wet bulk density (w), dry bulk density (d), sediment grain density (g), and porosity (ϕ) are calculated with the equations below using the values calculated with the previous equations:

$$w = M_{wet}/V_{wet},$$
$$d = M_{solid}/V_{wet},$$
$$g = M_{solid}/V_{solid}, \text{ and}$$
$$\phi = V_{pw}/V_{wet}.$$

Porosity values derived from MAD measurements may be underestimated, particularly in coraldominated lithologies and volcanic lithologies. This is a consequence of the high permeability of these lithologies and the transfer process from liners. Both cause fluid to drain from the core material during the weighing process. Finer grained sediment samples are less susceptible to such draining, and as such, the porosity estimates are more accurate. Grain density is associated with the highest level of confidence because it is independent of water content.

3.2.6. Hyperspectral imaging

Hyperspectral imaging was introduced into the core flow of the OSP to provide near-real time carbonate mineralogical maps (see example of Section 389-M0099G-14R-1A in Figure F17).



Figure F17. Example carbonate maps produced using hyperspectral scanning, Hole M0099G. A. VNIR image. B. False color composite (FCC) plot. C. Main wavelength of carbonate feature in reflectance spectrum, with color scale indicating relative amount of Mg and Ca. D. Relative abundance of calcite. E. Relative abundance of aragonite. F. Relative abundance of dolomite (none in this sample). G. Relative abundance of water (higher water content diffuses the reflectance spectrum).

These maps were intended to facilitate targeted sampling of pristine aragonitic material from coral skeletons (reflecting well-preserved corals) and to identify intervals affected by various levels of diagenesis (e.g., calcite cements, recrystallization, bioerosion, and encrustation by microbialite, calcareous red algae, and other organisms).

Hyperspectral data were acquired on all archive halves using a SisuROCK drill-core scanner (Spectral Imaging Ltd., Oulu, Finland). The SisuROCK is a fully automatic hyperspectral imaging workstation that employs a moving table that moves the archive halves under the illumination source and field of view of the hyperspectral cameras. It is equipped with an AisaFENIX hyperspectral sensor. The AisaFENIX camera implements two sensors to cover the visible and near-infrared (VNIR) and short-wave infrared (SWIR) regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. Sensor specifications and acquisition settings are presented in Table T12.

QA/QC routines developed and established by TheiaX (Lorenz et al., 2019) were run prior to sample analysis to calibrate the spectra and sensor response. Prior to each scan, the sensor's dark-current and a precalibrated PTFE Spectralon panel were measured. These are used for the conversion from digital numbers to radiance and from radiance to reflectance.

So-called white and gray reflectance panels were used as diffuse reflectors for better signal quality. The white reflectance panel generally has a reflectivity of >95% from 250 to 2500 nm. It was used for cores from Sites M0096 and M0098, as well as for Holes M0097A–M0097C, M0099A–M0099C, and M0099E. Despite extensive efforts to dry the core surface prior to scanning, residual water remained and negatively impacted signal acquisition. The gray reflectance panel with a reflectivity of >50% from 250 to 2500 nm helped to mitigate this issue and improve signal quality. The acquisition settings were adjusted for each panel (Table T12). The paleoclimate coral slabs were scanned with the white reflectance panel because they had been dried in the oven at 40° C for 24 h.

Geometric corrections to correct for sensor-specific optical distortions such as fish-eye effect, slit-bending effects, or line distortions were also applied. This yielded clear spectral absorption features, which occurred due to specific charge-transfer or molecular vibration processes (e.g., AlOH, FeOH, MgOH, CO_3 , and OH). Accurate discrimination of different mineral species was identified from these spectral signatures (Clark, 1999), which in the case of carbonate minerals was done by mapping the presence (or absence) and the exact wavelength of specific absorption features at ~2330 nm.

The hyperspectral imaging data for this expedition were analyzed using an adapted approach of a well-established method for spectral feature analysis (Bakker et al., 2011). Initially, the position and depth of diagnostic absorption features were mapped by applying a multiple minimum wavelength mapping technique (Thiele et al., 2021). This information was then used to interpret the presence or absence and relative abundance of carbonate minerals, clays, and FeOH–silicates.

Measurement	Specification	White panel	Gray panel
VNIR wavelength range (nm)	380-1000	_	_
SWIR wavelength range (nm)	970-2500	_	—
VNIR spectral sampling (nm)	1.7	_	—
SWIR spectral sampling (nm)	5.7	_	_
Number of bands	450	_	_
VNIR spatial resolution (mm/pixel)	1.5	_	_
SWIR spatial resolution (mm/pixel)	1.5	_	_
VNIR integration time (ms)	_	15	27.2
SWIR integration time (ms)	_	4	9.6
VNIR frame rate (Hz)	_	30	15.06
SWIR frame rate (Hz)	_	30	15.06
Field of view (°)	_	32.3	32.3
VNIR spectral binning	_	4	4
SWIR spectral binning	_	1	1
Scanning speed (mm/s)	_	42.44	21.22

Table T12. AisaFENIX sensor specifications and setup for the hyperspectral data acquisition. VNIR = very near infrared, SWIR = short-wave infrared. — = not applicable. **Download table in CSV format.**

Because the core surface was too wet for standard hyperspectral characterization, a water index was derived following the same approach to quantify and monitor the degree of water present on the core surface. Compositional changes of carbonate minerals, going from Mg- to Ca-rich carbonates, were also mapped by evaluating the wavelength position from shorter to longer wavelengths. This approach allows for the identification and mapping of the spatial distribution of dolomite, aragonite, and calcite at a resolution of 1.5 mm/pixel. Finally, for an easier comparison of the calcite and aragonite mapping, a false color composite of the two was generated.

3.3. X-ray computed tomography

X-ray CT imaging helps to identify key structural and sedimentological features (e.g., diagenetic alteration, bioerosion, and sediment infilling) and, importantly during Expedition 389, enable determination of the optimal orientation for core splitting and coral slabbing. This ensured that the massive and columnar coral samples were prepared in a way that maximized the quality and quantity of data obtained from them. Coral paleoclimate scientists reviewed each X-ray CT image of every high-priority core section to identify the most promising massive and columnar *Porites* coral samples (see **Identification of massive and columnar corals by CT scans**). This selection process focused on choosing samples of the highest quality that met the specific requirements for paleoclimate analysis while also preserving materials for other expedition-related sampling and scientific objectives.

3.3.1. X-ray CT scanning

X-ray CT scanning for Expedition 389 was conducted at the BGS Core Scanning Facility in Keyworth (UK). The cores were delivered in two shipments, each housed in a 20 ft refrigerated container maintained at 4°C throughout transit. The first cores arrived on 2 January 2024, followed by the second on 7 February. To minimize the time exposed to higher temperatures, the cores were stored in the refrigerated container except during scanning sessions. Each session was kept to a maximum of 5 h to minimize thermal disturbance.

The cores were scanned using a GEOTEK Rotating XCT core scanner, which featured a rotating source detector assembly tailored for fragile sediment cores that cannot withstand rotation. During this process, the core sample was placed on a tray that moves horizontally past a rotating X-ray source-detector assembly. This setup enabled the execution of multiple scans from different angles, culminating in a full 3D data set made up of individual slices (i.e., reconstructed images that are perpendicular to the axis of the core). During the initial phase of scanning (before 12 January), the scanning orientation of the cores was random. After this period, each core was aligned according to offshore alignment markers on the core liner, with blue (top) and red (bottom) liner lids indicating orientation. Scanning was conducted according to a priority order (3, 2, 1, and 0) as set out by the offshore science party (see Identification of massive and columnar corals by CT scans). Cores designated as high priority (Priority 3) were scanned at a high resolution (with a pixel size of 0.254 mm), whereas those of Priority 2 were scanned at either a high or lower resolution (with a pixel size of 0.508 mm) depending on time constraints, and lower priority cores (1 and 0) underwent faster, low-resolution scanning. The instrument's settings were adjusted based on the type of material (i.e., lava or carbonates) and the desired resolution for the scan (Table T13). Each high-resolution scan of a 150 cm core section generated approximately 16,750 axial slice images (Figure F18), with each slice being 0.089 mm thick. Additionally, a radiograph at 0° was also produced for each core section scan. Data were stored as a Tagged Image File Format (TIFF) images.

 Table T13. Operating parameters for X-ray CT scanning using Geotek RXCT, Expedition 389. Download table in CSV format.

Material	Mode	Source position (cm)	Detector position (cm)	Voltage (kV)	Current (µA)	Copper filter (mm)	Frame rate	Frames to average	Resolution (µm)	Angle increment (°)
Basalt	2×2	90	26	125	450	1.25	9	9	92.309	0.3
Carbonate (Lowes)	4×4	90	26	120	500	0.5	10	10	184.513	0.5
Carbonate (High res)	2 × 2	95.5	35.8	90	500	0.75	8	8	125.623	0.1

3.3.2. X-ray CT data processing

Raw data were processed at the BGS Core Scanning Facility. Utilizing the axial slice images and the X-ray radiograph collected through CT scanning, full 3D reconstructions of the core were produced using the GEOTEK Reconstructor software. This software is designed to manage data volumes in a queue, facilitating the stitching of multiple sequential volumes to create a complete whole-core data volume. This process also produced orthogonal views (exported as a 16-bit stacked TIFF file) (Figure **F19**) and dynamic visualizations that navigate through the core's internal structure. Further refinement of the 3D reconstruction is achieved through the use of the GEOTEK program LASARUS, which is specifically designed to reduce artifacts resulting from the joins between different scan jobs. However, it was observed that in some instances, the program's adjustments could overcorrect, inadvertently introducing more artifacts. All adjustments were reviewed, and in such cases adjustments made by LASARUS were discarded. For the visualization of the core's 3D structure, the GEOTEK CT Quick View software was employed. This tool enables the loading and manipulation of slice images, providing a detailed exploration of the core's internal features. When required, slice images were utilized to ascertain the orientation of cores that had been scanned at random orientation, provided the cut in the core liner was visible.

3.3.3. Identification of massive and columnar corals by CT scans

Corals (e.g., massive and columnar *Porites*) suitable for paleoclimatic studies were initially identified in core sections through the transparent liners or after aluminum liners were split off shore (see **Introduction**) and from descriptions contained in the offshore VCDs. Those sections containing corals with promising paleoclimate application were identified to prevent them from being split using the regular unoriented core splitting procedure and to aid in preliminary sample requests prior to the OSP. The major goal was to recover pristine, long, continuous intervals of coral skeletons from individual colonies along the major axis of growth because the regular IODP core splitting procedure does not take into account the major growth direction of coral colonies. The core axis, or holes within a colony as a result of bioerosion, can be different from the major



Figure F18. Example core X-ray CT slice view (389-M0097A-21R-1).



Figure F19. Example core X-ray CT orthogonal views (389-M0097A-22R-1).

growth direction and can interrupt an otherwise continuous interval of the coral skeleton. Therefore, with approval from the Sample Allocation Committee (SAC), some cores, identified by a core splitting subteam, required rotation with respect to the regular core splitting orientation.

Results from the X-ray CT scans were used to determine density bands and growth direction as well as possible alteration (e.g., diagenesis and intense bioerosion) in the cores that contained sections for paleoclimate studies. If the major axis of growth did not align with the standard IODP cutting orientation (i.e., along the $90^{\circ}-270^{\circ}$ plane) (Figure F20), an alternative orientation was identified in the X-ray CT scans using the Geotek Quick View software. The orthogonal views were exported as TIFF files (see IMAGES in Supplementary material) and printed as screenshots, and the preferred orientation was noted (Table T14). These core sections were marked with a red tape X prior to splitting, and the core splitting team directly consulted with nominated paleoclimate scientists prior to splitting these cores. Assessments based on the X-ray CT imagery were confirmed by visual inspection. When possible, the cores were rotated with respect to the plastic liner cut to the preferred orientation. If the X-ray CT image indicated that no special rotation was necessary or that the promising coral samples were influenced by diagenesis and/or poor growth banding, the X was removed. Once the cores were split, a 0.8 to 1 cm thick slab was cut from the sections designated for paleoclimate studies, with a second slab sometimes cut for other research purposes, such as dating or geochemical analyses, upon approval from the SAC. After cutting, the coral slabs were jet-washed, air-dried for about 12 h, and then oven-dried at 40°C for 12-24 h with the samples stored in labeled, heat-sealed bags for distribution to science party members.



Figure F20. Core splitting orientations, Expedition 389. A, B. Standard IODP core splitting orientation. C. Alternative orientation employed when coral major growth axis indicated by X-ray CT (XCT) scans diverges from the core's orientation.

Table T14. Summary of rotated core splitting, Expedition 389. Cores were split at different angles when X-ray CT scans indicated that the major axis of coral growth did not align with the standard IODP random core-splitting orientation. Download table in CSV format.

Core	Rotation
389-M009	7A-
10R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
389-M009	7D-
16R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
17R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
389-M009	9E-
13R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
14R-1	Core was rotated 90° from cut in liner for upper 25 cm
389-M010	2C-
17R-2	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
18R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
19R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
22R-2	Core rotated 45° relative to core liner
23R-2	Core rotated 45° relative to core liner
25R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
25R-2	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
30R-1	Core rotated 50° relative to core liner
31R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
32R-2	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
33R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
33R-2	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
389-M010	4A-
1R-2	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
2R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
2R-2	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
5R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
5R-2	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
389-M010	8B-
22R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner
25R-1	Core rotated 90° relative to core liner

4. Geochemistry

4.1. Shipboard sampling procedures

4.1.1. Interstitial water sampling using Rhizon samplers

Where soft sediments were recovered, Rhizon samplers were employed during Expedition 389 to sample IW (Seeberg et al., 2005) (see **Introduction**). A total of 41 IW samples were collected, of which 5 did not have sufficient water volume for further analysis, leaving 36 samples that were measured for salinity, alkalinity, pH, and/or ammonium on board and/or cations and anions on shore (Tables **T15**, **T16**). The Rhizon sampler type used (CSS 5 cm; Rhizosphere Research Products, Netherlands) consists of a 5 cm microporous ceramic tube that is supported by a glass fiber strengthener and connected to a 13 cm polyethylene/polyvinylchloride (PE/PVC) tubing terminated with a female Luer connector so it can be easily attached to needles or syringes.

Before use, Rhizon samplers were prepared by immersing the microporous (white) tube end in a beaker of purified laboratory water (>18 M Ω) for a minimum of 30 min, after which the microporous tube was no longer air-permeable. The microporous tube had a pore width of 0.2 μ m, so additional filtering was not needed.

A 3.8 mm diameter hole was carefully drilled in the liner at the depth of interest in a core with unconsolidated sediment. To avoid contaminating the sediment with the tip of the drill, the tip was cleaned with ethanol between sampling points. Where needed, a channel was preformed with a plastic knitting needle. The Rhizon sampler was gently pushed into the sediment all the way to the connector between the microporous tube and PE/PVC tubing. The connector was then used to seal the 3.8 mm hole where possible.

The blue protective cap from the Rhizon sampler was disconnected, and the Rhizon sampler was then connected to a new 24 mL all-plastic disposable sterile syringe. The plunger was slowly pulled back to create a vacuum and fixed with a wooden spacer provided with the Rhizon sampler to apply negative pressure. The Rhizon sampler was left in this position for a minimum of 2 h (Figure F21). All syringes and Rhizon samplers were used only once.

Once collected, the ceramic tube was removed, air was pushed out of the syringe, and the opening on the syringe was closed with a blue Luer-lock plastic cap. Each IW sample was taken in the syringe to the geochemistry container for processing into splits for offshore and onshore analysis.

4.1.2. Seawater sampling

A total of 16 surface seawater (SSW) samples were collected, with one SSW sample from each site except Site M0099, where two samples were recovered. Each SSW sample was measured for alkalinity, pH, salinity, and ammonium on board the ship, with the SSW sample from Site M0103 measured in duplicate (Table T17). Samples during both legs of Expedition 389 were collected by hand-lowering a water sampling device overboard, filling it with ambient surface water, and recovering it to the ship. On deck, the sampling device was immediately covered with a clean plastic bag. The water sampling devices differed during Legs 1 and 2 (Figure F22). During Leg 1, samples were collected using an improvised device with approximately 1 L volume. During Leg 2, samples were collected in a 2 L Niskin bottle with manual closures, supplied by the University of Hawai'i (USA). Each device was washed multiple times with purified laboratory water (Elga Purelab Classic UV; >18 MΩ) after use and stored cleaned with a plastic bag over the inlet. Note that Site M0099 was drilled during both legs, and therefore the first sample was collected from Hole M0099G using a Niskin bottle.

A sequence of water sampling photos using the Leg 2 device shows the basic actions of sampling (Figure **F23**). The device was deployed overboard with the bottom manual control valve in the closed position and the upper manual control valve in the open position. Care was taken to avoid interaction of either sampling device with the side of the ship. The cast was retaken if the bottle touched the ship at any point during a deployment.

Care was also taken during seawater sampling to avoid contamination from the ship (i.e., avoiding times of known discharges from the vessel or when aluminum liner cutting was in-progress on

Table T15. Values of repeated measurement of the elemental composition of reference seawater and one interstitial water sample using ICP-OES, Expedition 389. BDL = below detection limit. Reference values for the conservative elements were taken for B from Lee et al. (2010), Ca and Sr from Steiner et al. (2020), K from Spiegel et al. (2021), Li from Steiner et al. (2022), Mg from Lebrato et al. (2020), and Na from Millero et al. (2008). Ba and Si values for IAPSO are unrealistically high for surface seawater and therefore should be considered as contaminated, useful only for assessing the precision of the analyses at high concentrations. **Download table in CSV format.**

Measurement	Al	B	Ba	Ca	Fe	K	Li	Mg	Mn	Na	S	Si	Sr
	(167.019)	(249.678)	(493.408)	(317.933)	(238.204)	(766.491)	(670.783)	(279.078)	(257.610)	(588.995)	(181.972)	(251.611)	(421.552)
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
IAPSO reference seawater	BDL	4.8	1.01	410	BDL	401	0.161	1,287	BDL	10,690	897	1.50	7.4
IAPSO reference seawater	BDL	4.8	1.02	416	BDL	404	0.164	1,298	BDL	10,880	903	1.47	7.5
IAPSO reference seawater IAPSO reference seawater	BDL BDL BDL	4.7 4.8	0.99	409 411 408	BDL BDL BDL	392 397 385	0.161 0.158 0.160	1,279 1,281 1,275	BDL BDL	10,470 10,510 10,330	888 890 885	1.46 1.46	7.3 7.4 7.3
Average 20 20	mg/L mg/L %	4.8 0.1 1.9	1.00 0.03 2.96	411 6 16	DDL	396 15 38	0.161 0.004 2 7	1,273 1,284 18 1 4	DDL	10,556 10,576 426 4.0	892 15	1.46 0.07 4 5	7.4 0.2 2.4
Literature value Accuracy factor (ratio:literature value)	mg/L	4.76 1.00	2.50	411 1.00		417 0.95	0.182 0.88	1,271 1.01		11,035 0.96	1.0		7.62 0.97
389-M101B-12R-1, 52	BDL	6.0	0.11	385	BDL	445	0.273	1,196	0.068	10,250	861	2.30	8.3
389-M101B-12R-1, 52	BDL	5.9	0.12	385	BDL	445	0.267	1,194	0.067	10,200	862	2.29	8.2
389-M101B-12R-1, 52	BDL	5.9	0.12	386	BDL	447	0.271	1,198	0.068	10,270	868	2.34	8.3
Average	mg/L	5.9	0.12	385		446	0.270	1,196	0.068	10,240	864	2.31	8.3
2ơ	mg/L	0.1	0.01	0.6		3	0.006	4	0.001	72	8	0.05	0.05
2ơ	%	0.9	7.2	0.2		0.6	2.3	0.3	1.7	0.7	0.9	2.3	0.7

deck) and was done during daytime for safety reasons and better visibility. Occasionally, cloudy water was observed off the port or starboard sides of the ship, and sampling was delayed or moved to the other side of the ship to avoid these plumes. Seawater samples were taken into the geochemistry container, immediately decanted into an acid-washed 1 L high-density polyethylene (HDPE) beaker, and stored in a refrigerator for subsequent filtration and splitting into subsamples. Each SSW sample was logged into the mDIS database, and the time of sample collection was noted.

4.1.3. Sample splitting

IW and SSW sample splitting followed the agreed plan that included as many as 8 (IW) or 10 (SSW) fractions, depending on collected volume, for offshore, onshore, and postcruise analyses (Table **T18**).

The sample splits dedicated to onboard analysis were analyzed shortly after recovery (Tables **T15**, **T18**; see Table **T2** in the Expedition 389 summary chapter [Webster et al., 2025]). The splits dedi-

Table T16. Results of interstitial water analyses, Expedition 389. BDL = below detection limit. (Continued on next page.) Download table in CSV format.

	Top	Bottom	Measurement technique:	Refractometer	Electrode	Titration	Gas separation	lon c	hromatog	raphy
Core, section	interval (cm)	interval (cm)	Depth (mbsf)	Salinity (psu)	pН	Alkalinity (mmol/L)	NH4+ (µmol/L)	Cl⁻ (mg/L)	Br- (mg/L)	SO ₄ ²⁻ (mg/L)
280 M000	00									
1R-1	33	33	26.20	33	7 77	2 80	26.0	19 349	663	2 745
15R-1	65.5	65.5	47.40	33	7.77	2.60	78.1	19,549	67.7	2,743
151(1	05.5	05.5	077	55	7.72	2.00	70.1	12,555	07.7	2,775
389-M010	1A-									
1P-1	2	2	0.02	33	7.81	2.87	BDL	18,779	64.4	2,664
7R-1	25	25	6.10	32	7.68	2.31	19.1			
10R-1	35	35	7.68	33	7.85	2.40	BDL	18,970	65.7	2,704
16R-1	23.7	23.7	10.70	33	7.79	2.70	9.5	19,158	65.3	2,723
19R-1	48.4	48.4	12.30	33	7.86	6.13	9.5	18,923	64.4	2,679
389-M010	1B-									
1R-1	98	98	0.98	35	7.77	2.63	BDL			
2R-1	44	44	2.02	32	7.76	3.04	BDL	18,857	65.0	2,723
3R-1	21	21	4.41	30	7.69	2.41	13.9			
4R-1	28	28	5.05	33	7.69	2.55	18.5	19,055	64.9	2,732
7R-1	27	27	17.70	32	7.67	4.01	BDL	19,026	65.5	2,680
12R-1	52	52	19.70	32	7.70	3.40	27.8	19,179	66.1	2,698
13R-1	24	24	20.10	32	7.69	2.80	BDL	19,004	65.8	2,666
15R-1	23	23	21.00	31	7.66	2.85	BDL			
16R-1	56	56	22.90	31	7.76	3.19	BDL			
389-M010	2A-									
1R-1	32	32	0.32	32	7.88	17.64	BDI			
2R-1	40	40	0.88	33	7100	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	95.4	18.892	65.0	2.656
4R-1	30	30	2.97	32	7,771	2.76	85.9	,		_,
5R-1	44	44	5.08							
6R-1	131	131	7.66	31	7.683	2.38	57.3	17.563	60.6	2,471
10R-1	96	96	16.60	33	7.624	2.11	19.1	19,272	66.3	2,711
11R-1	32	32	18.70	34			47.7			
200 14010	26									
389-M010	2C-	F7	24.20	20	7 (10	2 21	12.0			
4K-1	57 22 F	5/ 22.5	24.20	29	7.648	3.21	13.0	10.040	65.6	2 (72
8K-1	23.5	23.5	27.80	33	7.055	2.63	9.0	19,048	05.0	2,073
110 1	52.5 10	52.5 10	29.90	52	1.750	2.50	10.1	10 200	62.0	2 5 9 4
11R-1 22D 1	10	10	50.42	22	7 5 2	1 0 1	10 1	10,200	66.2	2,304
220-1	59.5	59.5	44.00 59.20	22	7.55	1.01		19,440	65.7	2,734
27 N=2 20R-2	15	15	58.20 63.40	32	7.547	1.07	BDL	19,110	05.7	2,711
2911-2	15	15	05.40	51	7.520	1.97	DDL			
389-M010	3A-									
15R-1	25	25	18.60	32	7.796	3.53	36.0			
25R-1	57	57	29.40	31	7.728	2.67	36.0			
26R-1	26	26	30.91					17,911	61.3	2,527
389-M010	5A-									
1R-1	1	1	0.01	32	7.824	2.72	BDL	19,096	65.7	2,699
1R-1	3	3	0.03	32	7.801	2.61	BDL	18,884	64.6	2,664
380-11010	٥Δ_									
1R-1	23.5	23.5	0.24	33	7.705	2.67	BDL	19,366	66.1	2,701

Table T16 (continued).

Core, section Al B Ba Ca Fe K Li Mg Mn Na Si Si Sr 389-M0099G- 1R-1 0.297 4.5 BDL 393 BDL 370 0.153 1,238 BDL 10,020 871 0.63 7.9 1SR-1 BDL 4.5 BDL 393 BDL 385 0.162 1,241 BDL 10,200 871 0.63 7.9 1SR-1 BDL 4.5 BDL 393 BDL 385 0.162 1,241 BDL 10,200 871 0.63 7.9 1SR-1 BDL 5.8 0.249 405 BDL 467 0.209 1,205 BDL 10,330 876 5.48 7.9 7R-1 10R-1 BDL 6.0 0.139 376 0.215 434 0.329 1,184 0.044 10,350 875 2.75 8.2 16R-1 BDL	
389-M0099G- 1R-1 0.297 4.5 BDL 393 BDL 370 0.153 1,238 BDL 10,020 871 0.63 7.9 15R-1 BDL 4.5 BDL 391 BDL 385 0.162 1,241 BDL 10,260 880 0.60 8.3 389-M0101A- 1P-1 BDL 5.8 0.249 405 BDL 467 0.209 1,205 BDL 10,330 876 5.48 7.9 7R-1 10R-1 BDL 6.0 0.139 376 0.215 434 0.329 1,184 0.044 10,350 875 2.75 8.2 16R-1 BDL 6.3 0.184 390 BDL 463 0.274 1,201 0.141 10,570 881 2.57 8.5 19R-1 BDL 6.3 0.192 371 BDL 449 0.262 1,184 0.144 10,190 861 2.65 8.3	
1R-1 0.297 4.5 BDL 393 BDL 370 0.153 1,238 BDL 10,020 871 0.63 7.9 15R-1 BDL 4.5 BDL 391 BDL 385 0.162 1,241 BDL 10,260 880 0.60 8.3 389-M0101A- IP-1 BDL 5.8 0.249 405 BDL 467 0.209 1,205 BDL 10,330 876 5.48 7.9 7R-1 IOR-1 BDL 6.0 0.139 376 0.215 434 0.329 1,184 0.044 10,350 875 2.75 8.2 16R-1 BDL 6.3 0.184 390 BDL 463 0.274 1,201 0.141 10,570 881 2.57 8.5 19R-1 BDL 6.3 0.192 371 BDL 449 0.262 1,184 0.144 10,190 861 2.65 8.3 389-M0101B- S9 S9 S9 S9 S9 S9 S9 S9 S9	
15R-1 BDL 4.5 BDL 391 BDL 385 0.162 1,241 BDL 10,260 880 0.60 8.3 389-M0101A- 1P-1 BDL 5.8 0.249 405 BDL 467 0.209 1,205 BDL 10,330 876 5.48 7.9 7R-1 7R-1 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
389-M0101A- 1P-1 BDL 5.8 0.249 405 BDL 467 0.209 1,205 BDL 10,330 876 5.48 7.9 7R-1 10R-1 BDL 6.0 0.139 376 0.215 434 0.329 1,184 0.044 10,350 875 2.75 8.2 16R-1 BDL 6.3 0.184 390 BDL 463 0.274 1,201 0.141 10,570 881 2.57 8.5 19R-1 BDL 6.3 0.192 371 BDL 449 0.262 1,184 0.144 10,190 861 2.65 8.3 389-M0101B- 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58	
1P-1 BDL 5.8 0.249 405 BDL 467 0.209 1,205 BDL 10,330 876 5.48 7.9 7R-1 10R-1 BDL 6.0 0.139 376 0.215 434 0.329 1,184 0.044 10,350 875 2.75 8.2 16R-1 BDL 6.3 0.184 390 BDL 463 0.274 1,201 0.141 10,570 881 2.57 8.5 19R-1 BDL 6.3 0.192 371 BDL 449 0.262 1,184 0.144 10,190 861 2.65 8.3 389-M0101B- 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	
7R-1 10R-1 BDL 6.0 0.139 376 0.215 434 0.329 1,184 0.044 10,350 875 2.75 8.2 16R-1 BDL 6.3 0.184 390 BDL 463 0.274 1,201 0.141 10,570 881 2.57 8.5 19R-1 BDL 6.3 0.192 371 BDL 449 0.262 1,184 0.144 10,190 861 2.65 8.3 389-M0101B- 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	
10R-1 BDL 6.0 0.139 376 0.215 434 0.329 1,184 0.044 10,350 875 2.75 8.2 16R-1 BDL 6.3 0.184 390 BDL 463 0.274 1,201 0.141 10,570 881 2.57 8.5 19R-1 BDL 6.3 0.192 371 BDL 449 0.262 1,184 0.144 10,190 861 2.65 8.3 389-M0101B- 5 5 5 5 5 5 6.3 5 6.3 5 6.3	
16R-1 BDL 6.3 0.184 390 BDL 463 0.274 1,201 0.141 10,570 881 2.57 8.5 19R-1 BDL 6.3 0.192 371 BDL 449 0.262 1,184 0.144 10,190 861 2.65 8.3 389-M0101B- 2.50 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
19R-1 BDL 6.3 0.192 371 BDL 449 0.262 1,184 0.144 10,190 861 2.65 8.3 389-M0101B-	
389-M0101B-	
1R-1	
2R-1 BDL 6.9 0.190 372 BDL 462 0.286 1,180 0.129 10,240 871 2.72 8.2	
3R-1	
4K-I BDL 6.4 0.093 357 0.308 443 0.246 1,204 BDL 10,430 878 2.83 8.6	
/http://bull.co.//bull/2/2011/2012/00/2012/00/2012/00/2012/00/2012/2012/00/2012/00/2012/2012/00/2012/2012/00/2012/20	
13R-1 BDL 5.9 0.110 565 4400 0.270 1,190 0.000 10,240 604 2.51 6.5	
15R-1	
16R-1	
389-MUTUZA- 1D-1	
78-1 RDI 5.2 0.062 382 0.211 414 0.222 1.182 RDI 10.210 850 5.42 8.2	
6R-1	
10R-1 BDL 5.6 0.202 348 BDL 427 0.044 1,251 BDL 10,260 870 4.69 10.3	
11R-1	
389-M0102C-	
4R-1	
8R-1 BDL 4.9 0.243 411 BDL 394 0.15 1,237 0.054 10,190 862 2.59 10.8	
10R-1	
11R-1 BDL 4.4 0.282 424 0.031 370 BDL 1,170 0.567 9,524 823 4.00 12.9 Above calibration	range
22R-1 BDL 4.1 0.296 533 0.077 382 BDL 1,213 0.659 10,220 874 3.37 19.1 Above calibration	range
27R-2 BDL 4.9 BDL 409 0.208 407 BDL 1,188 0.579 10,030 864 3.79 9.3 29R-2	
389-M0103A-	
158-1	
25R-1	
26R-1 BDL 4.7 0.081 289 BDL 365 0.199 1,176 BDL 9,198 788 7.67 10.5	
389-M0105A-	
1R-1 BDL 5.5 0.162 408 BDL 434 0.220 1,189 0.13 10,120 854 3.88 7.9	
1R-1 0.213 5.3 0.159 400 BDL 421 0.225 1,192 BDL 9,992 847 3.90 7.7	
38Q-M0109A-	
1R-1 BDL 4.7 0.291 401 BDL 375 0.161 1,230 0.125 9,867 844 3.89 8.1	

cated to further analysis on shore during the OSP or later during postcruise research were stored at 4°C (Table **T16**).

4.1.4. Pure water generation

Purified water (Elga Purelab Classic UV; >18 M Ω) was produced by ESO before the expedition and transported in acid-cleaned and thoroughly rinsed 10 L PE containers for use during offshore sampling and analyses.

4.1.5. Potential effects of drilling on sample quality

During IW sampling, precautions to avoid contamination were carefully followed. In many cases, the cores showed clear visual evidence of several drilling-related materials on the outer part that potentially could contaminate the IW samples, including white drilling mud (most evident on lava cores), aluminum shards (abundant in all cores and subsamples when aluminum core liners were used), and red grease (drill barrel connector lubrication), which was smeared on some cores and

subsamples. These materials were manually removed as best as possible during subsample preparation. Aluminum shards were manually removed with tweezers, and drilling mud and grease were removed by washing with purified water. To help identify possible contamination, the drilling contractor (Benthic) provided six types of references of greases, fluids, drill mud, and hydraulic oil used on the drill barrels and during the drilling process.

Additionally, the majority of the cores were recovered in aluminum liners, which were cut open and transferred to plastic liners before IW sampling. Where no sample preservation methods were applied (e.g., acidification for subsequent cation measurements), the maximum duration between offshore sampling of IW and IODP standard measurements at the OSP was 145 days.

4.2. Offshore interstitial water and surface seawater analysis

4.2.1. Sample temperature

In situ temperatures of the IW and SSW samples could not be determined. Temperatures in the geochemistry container where the samples were stored between collection and measuring at the OSP were mostly stable, ranging $19.6^{\circ}-21.5^{\circ}$ C.

4.2.2. pH

The pH of samples was measured with a Mettler Toledo In-Lab 423 microcombination glass electrode with a 3 mm tip connected to a Radiometer TIM840 Autotitrator. The pH (and alkalinity, see below) were determined from 0.5 mL of sample in 2 mL Eppendorf vials. A constant reading was achieved by turning the vial around the electrode with a magnetically driven vial holder rather than stirring the sample with a stir bar. The pH meter was calibrated once a day using AppliChem color-coded National Bureau of Standards (NBS) scale pH buffer solutions of pH = 4.01 and 7.00. The instrument shows the pH with a resolution of 0.001 pH units, and the measurement has an accuracy of better than ± 0.02 pH units. For pH measurement, temperature compensation was performed using the container temperature, which was measured with an external pt1000 T-sensor temperature sensor. Because measurements were performed in 1.5 or 2.0 mL Eppendorf vials, temperatures were expected to be equilibrated to ambient temperature. In certain weather situations, however, the air conditioning in the container van failed, causing the ambient temperature to rise well above 25°C. Measurements under such circumstances were avoided but, if they were unavoidable, were noted.

4.2.3. Alkalinity

Alkalinity for SSW and IW was determined by titration with 0.01 M HCl, where the equivalence point was detected by titrating known amounts of sample (usually 0.5 mL) while measuring the pH value. A Radiometer TIM840 Autotitrator with a 5 mL burette was used for titration and stopped at pH < 3.95. The algorithm used to calculate alkalinity accounts for the activity of seawater and



Figure F21. IW sampling using a Rhizon sampler connected to a 24 mL all-plastic disposable syringe, Expedition 389.

dilution by the titration solution so that the results are comparable for different end point pH values. The measurement has an accuracy of better than 0.2 mM. For the titration, a 0.3 mm internal diameter polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tube from the digital burette was placed in the liquid before the titration started. Both the PTFE tube and the pH electrode were rinsed with pure water and carefully dried with laboratory tissues before the measurement. The algorithm is a corrected version of the algorithm of Grasshoff et al. (1983, 1999):

Table T17. Results of surface seawater sample analyses, Expedition 389. * = separate water samples were collected for Site M0099: the first sample was collected from Hole M0099A using the improvised device; the second was from Hole M0099G using a Niskin bottle. BDL = below detection limit. Reference values for conservative elements in seawater with similar salinity to the Expedition 389 samples were taken as follows: for B from Lee et al. (2010), for Ca and Sr from Steiner et al. (2020), for K from Spiegel et al. (2021), for Li from Steiner et al. (2022), for Mg from Lebrato et al. (2020), and for Na from Millero et al. (2008). Blank cells = no analyses could be conducted because of sample volume limitations. **Download table in CSV format.**

Measure techr	ment nique:	Refractometer	Electrode	Titration	Gas separation	lon o	:hromatogr	aphy
Hole	Leg	Salinity (psu)	рН	Alkalinity (mmol/L)	NH₄+ (µmol/L)	Cl⁻ (mg/L)	Br⁻ (mg/L)	SO ₄ ²⁻ (mg/L)
389-								
M0096A	1	34	7.97	3.97	BDL	19,703	68.4	2,786
M0097D	2	33	8.06	2.59	BDL	19,653	71.0	2,777
M0098A	1	36	7.88	2.67	BDL	19,817	67.8	2,783
M0099A*	1	35	8.00	4.08	BDL	19,656	68.0	2,783
M0099G*	2	34	7.99	2.64	BDL	19,720	68.3	2,781
M0100A	2	34	7.97	2.56	BDL	19,698	68.7	2,776
M0101A	2	34	8.00	2.51	BDL	19,877	68.2	2,807
M0102A	2	34	8.61	2.61	BDL	19,826	69.3	2,796
M0103A	2	34	7.92	2.56	BDL	19,730	69.4	2,782
M0103A duplicate	2	34	7.94	2.57	BDL			
M0104A	2	34	7.94	2.56	BDL	19,795	68.5	2,793
M0105A	2	33	8.00	2.60	BDL	19,741	68.0	2,786
M0106A	2	34	8.00	2.65	BDL	19,742	67.4	2,782
M0107A	2	33	7.90	2.57	BDL	19,701	67.7	2,777
M0108B	2	33	7.97	2.65	BDL	19,683	67.7	2,775
M0109A	2	33	7.98	2.57	BDL	19,679	67.6	2,780
M0110A	2	33	8.06	2.55	BDL	19,667	67.5	2,795
Average		34	8	3		19,730	68	2,785
2ơ Reference values#		1	0	1		132	2	17

Measurement technique:							ICP-OES	5					
Hole	Al (mg/L)	B (mg/L)	Ba (mg/L)	Ca (mg/L)	Fe (mg/L)	K (mg/L)	Li (mg/L)	Mg (mg/L)	Mn (mg/L)	Na (mg/L)	S (mg/L)	Si (mg/L)	Sr (mg/L)
89-													
M0096A	BDL	4.6	BDL	407	BDL	393	0.153	1,276	BDL	10,490	888	BDL	7.4
M0097D	BDL	4.5	BDL	408	BDL	393	0.161	1,276	BDL	10,470	885	BDL	7.3
M0098A	BDL	4.6	BDL	410	BDL	398	0.158	1,282	BDL	10,670	889	BDL	7.4
M0099A*	BDL	4.5	BDL	406	BDL	391	0.162	1,268	BDL	10,370	879	BDL	7.3
M0099G*	BDL	4.6	BDL	410	BDL	398	0.161	1,282	BDL	10,610	891	BDL	7.4
M0100A	BDL	4.5	BDL	401	BDL	384	0.156	1,256	BDL	10,260	869	BDL	7.2
M0101A	BDL	4.5	BDL	409	BDL	392	0.155	1,277	BDL	10,530	886	BDL	7.3
M0102A	BDL	4.7	BDL	416	BDL	412	0.162	1,306	BDL	10,890	914	BDL	7.6
M0103A	BDL	4.6	BDL	410	BDL	397	0.160	1,285	BDL	10,540	895	BDL	7.4
M0103A duplicate													
M0104A	BDL	4.6	BDL	411	BDL	402	0.157	1,286	BDL	10,650	898	BDL	7.4
M0105A	BDL	4.6	BDL	409	BDL	396	0.161	1,282	BDL	10,520	892	BDL	7.4
M0106A	BDL	4.6	BDL	411	BDL	399	0.159	1,283	BDL	10,670	893	BDL	7.4
M0107A	BDL	4.5	BDL	408	BDL	395	0.158	1,277	BDL	10,490	890	BDL	7.3
M0108B	BDL	4.5	BDL	407	BDL	392	0.155	1,270	BDL	10,470	877	BDL	7.3
M0109A	BDL	4.6	BDL	409	BDL	397	0.158	1,280	BDL	10,530	890	BDL	7.4
M0110A	BDL	4.5	BDL	408	BDL	392	0.155	1,275	BDL	10,460	884	BDL	7.3
Average		4.6		409		395	0.158	1,279		10,539	889		7.4
2ơ		0.09		6		12	0.006	21		284	20		0.16
Reference values#		4.76		411		417	0.182	1,271		11,035			7.62

 $ALK [M] = (10 - pH_{initial} / f_{H+,initial}) + [(c_{HCl} \cdot V_{HCl}) / V_0] - (10 - pH_{final} / f_{H+,final}) \cdot [(V_0 + V_{HCl}) / V_0],$

where

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{pH_{initial}= original \ pore \ water \ pH,} \\ \mathrm{pH_{final}= pH \ at \ end \ point \ of \ titration \ (usually \ \mathrm{pH}=3.95),} \\ f_{\mathrm{H_{+}}= \mathrm{activity} \ coefficient \ of \ \mathrm{H^{+}} \ (for \ standard \ seawater \ this \ is \ 0.755),} \\ \mathrm{c_{HCl}= concentration \ of \ titration \ solution \ (usually \ 0.01 \ \mathrm{M}),} \\ V_{\mathrm{HCl}}= \mathrm{titration \ volume \ depending \ on \ alkalinity \ of \ sample, \ and} \\ V_{0}=\mathrm{initial \ volume \ of \ sample \ (usually \ 0.0005 \ \mathrm{L} \ [500 \ \mu\mathrm{L}]).} \end{array}$



Figure F22. SSW sampling devices used during Leg 1 (left) and Leg 2 (right), Expedition 389.



Figure F23. Seawater sampling during Leg 2, Expedition 389.

Table T18. Splits of surface seawater and interstitial water samples taken, Expedition 389. Download table in CSV format.

Split	Volume (mL)	Treatment	Analysis conducted
Salinity	0.5	None	Off shore
pH and alkalinity	0.5	None	Off shore
Ammonium (NH ₄ ⁺)	0.5	None	Off shore
Anions	1–4	None	On shore
Cations	1–4	Acidified to 1% with concentrated trace metal grade HNO ₃	On shore
δ18Ο	1.8	Glass crimp vial without headspace	On shore
δ ¹³ C/DIC	1.5	Preserved with 0.5 µL Hg(II)Cl _{sat} , no headspace in a glass screw-cap vial	Postcruise research
IW trace metals	1–3	Store in acid-prewashed HDPE vial (not acidified)	Postcruise research
SSW trace metals	20	Store in acid-prewashed HDPE vial (not acidified)	Postcruise research
U-Th	250	Store in acid-prewashed HDPE vial (not acidified)	Postcruise research

4.2.4. Ammonium

Ammonium was measured using the PTFE tape gas separator technique, as described in the curation container manual (modified after Hall and Aller, 1992). With this technique, ammonium is stripped from a 100 μ L sample by an alkaline carrier solution (0.2 M sodium citrate in 10 mM NaOH) passing a 200 mm × 5 mm PTFE membrane as ammonia (NH₃), and redissolved as NH₄⁺ in an acidic solution (1 mM HCl). The NH₄⁺ causes a conductivity signal in the acidic carrier that is detected with an Amber Science 1056 conductivity meter with a model 529 temperaturecompensated microflow-through cell. The conductivity signal was recorded with a Knauer stripchart recorder, and peak height was analyzed manually. This method is very precise and stable, practically insensitive to matrix changes, and shows a linear conductivity response for ammonium concentrations between 10 and 1000 μ M. The detection limit is 5 μ M, and accuracy is <2%. All SSW samples were below the detection limits for ammonium.

Generally, measurements were made on the original sample, which was only diluted if the sample volume was extremely low or the ammonium concentration exceeded the calibrated range (>1.6 mM). Ammonia calibration standards were freshly prepared off shore from a 55.6 mM (1000 ppm) certified standard solution using artificial seawater as a matrix.

A 200–300 μ L sample split was taken from the primary sample vial with a Hamilton 1000 μ L precision glass syringe and injected onto a 100 μ L loop with a Rheodyne high-pressure liquid chromatography valve using the extra sample amount to flush the loop. The valve was then opened to the carrier solution stream to start the analysis. The Hamilton syringe was rinsed with pure water twice, before and after analysis.

4.2.5. Salinity

Salinity was optically measured using a Krüss Optronic digital refractometer DR6200. The measurement precision for the refractometer is 0.1%, so the data can only be used to detect samples with salinities that differ significantly more than 0.1% from seawater (i.e., 4 practical salinity units [psu] on seawater with a salinity of 35 psu). The refractometer was calibrated externally by measuring International Association for the Physical Sciences of the Ocean (IAPSO) standard seawater with salinity values of 10, 30, 35, and 38 and with purified water (>18 M Ω) as standard for zero salinity.

4.2.6. Headspace gas sampling

No standard headspace sediment gas samples for methane were collected during the offshore phase of Expedition 389 because no feasible core sample material for this sampling approach could be identified during the initial curation process on deck (core retrieval from the barrel, sectioning, and section liner transfer).

4.3. Onshore analysis of interstitial and surface seawater geochemistry

The concentrations of elements were measured in the IW and SSW samples to complement shipboard analyses (Expedition 302 Scientists, 2006). Analyses were carried out with the assistance of the technical staff and analytical facilities at the University of Bremen. The anions chloride, bromide, and sulfate were measured using ion chromatography, and a suite of major and trace elements (Al, B, Ba, Ca, Fe, K, Li, Mg, Mn, Na, S, Si, and Sr; cations) was analyzed using inductively coupled plasma–optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES).

4.3.1. Cations measured using inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry

Dissolved cations were measured using a Varian Vista PrO simultaneous ICP-OES. Cation aliquots of IW and SSW samples used for these analyses were acidified directly on board following shipboard sampling with 1% (10 μ L acid/1 mL sample) of concentrated trace metal grade HNO₃.

During the OSP, IW and SSW aliquots were diluted 1:10 for measurements of Al, B, Ba, Ca, Fe, K, Li, Mg, Mn, Na, S, Si, and Sr using a 1.0% HNO₃ solution (prepared with trace metal grade nitric

acid and >18 M Ω water). Major and trace elements were analyzed using a Seaspray nebulizer with humidified Ar and a cyclonic spray chamber. Measurements of the intensity at each wavelength were performed in triplicate. The wavelengths used for the different elements are shown in Table T15.

In all cases, standardization was done against multielement solutions prepared from commercial single element standards (Table **T15**). Measurement precision was estimated by five repeated measurements of IAPSO standard seawater, salinity of 35.9, and three repeated measurements of IW sample. Precisions on the standard and repeats were between <1% and 7% (2 standard deviation [SD]) for different elements. Accuracy for major elements was established from the repeated measurements of the IAPSO standard seawater, as per the precision measurements, and comparison of those measurements to published literature values. Accuracy was better than 5% for most elements, with the exception of Li, which was 12% lower than recent literature values (Steiner et al., 2022) (accuracy factors in Table **T15**).

4.3.2. Anions measured using ion chromatography

Nonacidified aliquots of the samples were diluted 1:100 using purified water (>18 M Ω). Concentrations of Cl, Br, and S were measured using an 861 Advanced Compact ion chromatograph with Sequential Compression by Metrohm AG and an IC-Anion column Metrosep A Supp 5 (100 mm run length) in the Department of Geosciences at the University of Bremen. Measurement precision (2 SD) for Cl, Br, and S was better than 4%. Dilutions of IAPSO seawater served as a certified reference material. Calibration standards were prepared from certified commercial single-anion standards (CertiPUR by Merck).

Data for all water analyses are given in Tables **T16** and **T17** (see GEOCHEM in **Supplementary material**).

4.4. Onshore analysis of bulk sediments and rocks

Mineralogy, bulk elemental composition, and carbon content of sediment or rock were measured on samples taken approximately every 3–6 m for all holes. The lithology for each sample is recorded in the tables in Geochemistry in each site chapter and uses the lithology recorded on the VCDs. Sampling of massive or columnar corals was avoided where possible. All geochemical analyses on the sediment and rock samples were performed on shore in the Department of Geosciences at the University of Bremen.

4.4.1. Mineralogy using X-ray diffraction

Mineralogy samples were dried in an oven at 105°C, crushed in an impact mortar, and ground in an agate mortar or ball mill to ensure sample homogeneity and a grain size of $<20 \ \mu m$ so as not to introduce mineral orientation biases during X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement. XRD powder diffraction measurements were performed on a Bruker D8 Discover diffractometer equipped with a Cu-tube (K $\alpha\lambda$ 1.541; 45 kV; 40 mA), a fixed divergence slit of 0.25°, a 90 sample changer, and a monochromatization by way of energy discrimination on the highest resolution Linxeye detector system. Measurements were performed as a continuous scan from 3° to 85°20 with a step size of $0.016^{\circ}2\theta$ (calculated time per step was 50.1650 s). Mineral identification was performed using the Philips software X'Pert HighScore version 1.2 (Degen et al., 2014), which gave a semiquantitative estimate for the weight percent of each identified mineral on the basis of relative intensity ratio (RIR) values. RIR values are calculated as the ratio of the intensity of the most intense reflex of a specific mineral phase to the intensity of the most intense reflex of pure corundum (I/Ic), referring to the matrix-flushing method of Chung (1974). The quantification of mineral phases is based on Panalytical HighScore Quantification with PDF2003 Mineral Database (2024). Weight percent and percent are used interchangeably throughout the geochemistry site chapter sections when referring to the XRD results.

Three replicate measurements of each sample suggest that the analytical uncertainty, reported as a percent error, is $\pm 2\%$ -3% for aragonite, $\pm 1\%$ for calcite, $\pm 2\%$ -3% for Mg-calcite, $\pm 1\%$ for quartz, $\pm 2\%$ -5% for total feldspars, and as listed in Table **T19** for clays and other minerals. For completeness, all mineralogy weight percent estimates are included in the XRD data tables for each hole,

even if the values are below the analytical uncertainties. In some instances, this means that total percentages do not sum to 100%. This could indicate over- or underfitting of peak intensities or positions, or errors with the background subtraction, in the semiquantitative estimates of weight percent.

Quantifying the Ca:Mg ratio in Mg-calcite is hampered by the inclusion of the reference data being limited to only two examples of Mg-calcite ($[Mg_{0.10}Ca_{0.90}]CO_3$ and $[Mg_{0.14}Ca_{0.86}]CO_3$) available in the reference data set. Mg-calcite (high- or low-Mg calcite) could have a higher or lower Mg:Ca ratio than is covered by the two Mg-calcite reference examples (Schlager, 2005; Moore, 2001; Stanienda-Pilecki, 2023). Where the Mg content of sample Mg-calcite deviates from that of the reference data, additional uncertainty will be introduced into the estimation of weight percent for Mg-calcite. The d-spacing for the primary Mg-calcite peak is included in an table in each site chapter to assist with the interpretation of the Mg-calcite results. Lithologies listed in all such tables are from the VCDs.

In the XRD software report for many lava samples, and specifically the amount of orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene, which have been presented at face value but which are ambiguous, the clinopyroxene composition is presented as a forsterite-diopside ratio. This is ambiguous because forsterite is not a pyroxene, it is an olivine (MgSiO₄). Clinoenstatite (Mg₂Si₂O₆) is the corresponding Mg-rich clinopyroxene, which the XRD software may be confusing for or reporting as forsterite. This XRD report comment is likely referring to the olivine:clinopyroxene ratio or the clinoenstatite:diopside ratio.

XRD data for each sample are tabulated and reported in Geochemistry in the relevant site chapters.

4.4.2. Solid-phase elemental abundances

The elemental composition of sediment and rock samples was measured using energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (ED-XRF). Approximately 4 g (± 0.2 g) of a powdered and homogenized sample was weighed into a plastic cuvette consisting of a Mylar foil bottom covered with polypropylene film. The sample in the cuvette was then compacted twice manually, applying a force of approximately 25 kg/cm² on a plastic piston to obtain a smooth surface with limited void space, consistent density, and sufficient sample thickness. Elemental concentrations were measured using a PANalytical Epsilon 3-XLE benchtop ED-XRF spectrometer. ED-XRF calibration was based on eight certified or in-house natural and synthetic reference materials. Certified marine sediment reference material MESS-4 (NRC Canada) was measured five times during the

Table T19. Analytical uncertainties for XRD analysis, Expedition 389. Minimum relative errors are given based on Vogt et al.(2002). ND = not determined. Download table in CSV format.

Mineral phase	Error (relative %)	Mineral phase	Error (relative %)
Quartz	±1	Palygorskite, attapulgite	±5
Cristobalite	ND	Illites	±5-10
Plagioclase	±2–5	Muscovite	±5-10
K-feldspar	±2–5	Biotite	±5-10
Calcite	±1	Glauconite	±5-10
Mg-rich calcite	±2-3	Kaolinite	±2-5
Aragonite	±2-3	Chlorite	±2-5
Manganocalcite, kuhtnohorite, rhodocrosite, etc.	. ND	Serpentinite, talc, chrysotile	±2-5
Dolomite	±1	Zeolites	±2-5
Ankerite	±2-3	Pyroxenes	±2-5
Siderite/magnesite	±2-3	Amphiboles, cordierite, sillimanite, andalusite	±2-5
Other carbonate minerals	ND	Garnet, olivine, corundum, spinel	±2-5
Gypsum, anhydrite, jarosites	±2-5	Epidote	±2-5
Barite	ND	Rutile, anatas, zircon	±2-5
Apatite and other phosphates	±2-5	Magnetite	±2-5
NaCl, etc.	±1	Other Fe oxides, Fe hydroxides, manganite	±5–10
Sum montmorillonites and smectites	±5-10	Pyrites, other sulfides	±2-5
Sum mixed laver clavs	+5-10		

run, and the mean values and standard deviations are given in Table **T20**. Precision and accuracy are calculated based on the repeat measurements of MESS-4 (Table **T20**). The following elements were measured with a precision of <1% and an accuracy of <10%: Al, Ba, Br, Ca, Fe, K, Si, Sr, Ti, and Zn. Rb had a precision of <1% but an accuracy >10%. The following elements had a precision >1% but an accuracy of <10%: As, Cu, Ni, Mn, Na, S, and V. The precision was >1% and accuracy was >10% for Cl, Cr, Mg, P, Pb, and Zr. However, given that most of the samples analyzed were either very pure carbonate or lava, the quality control measures for the MESS-4 marine sediment certified reference material are applicable for assessing the quality of the actual sample measurements in many instances. Lithologies listed in all element abundance tables in Geochemistry in the site chapters are from the VCDs.

XRF data are reported in Geochemistry in the relevant site chapters (see GEOCHEM in **Supplementary material**).

4.4.3. Carbon content

Sediment and rock samples were analyzed for total carbon (TC) and total organic carbon (TOC) concentrations using a CS744 LECO carbon-sulfur analyzer. Sediment samples were freeze-dried and finely ground by hand in an agate mortar. Approximately 100 mg of dried, ground sample was weighed into a ceramic cup and heated in a furnace. The evolved CO_2 was then measured with a nondispersive infrared detector. A second aliquot of 1 g was weighed into a ceramic cup, reacted with 12.5% HCl twice, washed with deionized water twice, and reanalyzed as above. The CO_2 measured in the second run was assumed to come from organic carbon. The analytical precision (1 SD) is ±0.01% for TOC and ±0.1% for TC based on repeat measurements of standards (see GEO-CHEM in **Supplementary material**). Total inorganic carbon (TIC) was calculated as the difference between TC and TOC. CaCO₃% was then calculated by multiplying the TIC by 8.33 (a stoichiometric factor calculated with molar masses). Lithologies listed in all carbon content tables in Geochemistry in the site chapters are from the VCDs.

Measurement	Date analyzed (2024)	Al (mg/kg)	Ba (mg/kg)	Br (mg/kg)	Ca (mg/kg)	Cl (mg/kg)	Fe (mg/kg)	K (mg/kg)	Mg (mg/kg)	Mn (mg/kg)	P (mg/kg)	S (mg/kg)
MESS-4	19 Feb	76,187.78	872.05	55.73	12,365.07	10,642.65	37,243.96	22,922.19	13,357.70	307.18	942.36	1,570.39
MESS-4	20 Feb	76,222.40	871.06	55.60	12,350.43	10,453.98	37,163.89	22,684.44	13,346.06	296.84	938.57	1,600.37
MESS-4	21 Feb	75,620.40	872.57	55.87	12,351.44	10,478.48	37,064.48	22,651.08	12,963.29	295.74	893.15	1,478.18
MESS-4	26 Feb	76,335.17	875.65	56.18	12,432.93	10,290.53	37,284.48	22,913.84	13,122.24	301.11	891.16	1,471.94
MESS-4	27 Feb	76,639.31	873.13	55.90	12,457.33	10,404.65	37,183.78	22,871.15	13,554.09	302.54	938.01	1,485.77
Average	mg/kg	76,201.01	872.89	55.86	12,391.44	10,454.06	37,188.12	22,808.54	13,268.68	300.68	920.65	1,521.33
Standard deviation	mg/kg	370.08	1.72	0.22	50.10	127.81	84.11	130.50	229.17	4.61	26.07	59.62
Relative standard deviation (precision)	%	0.49	0.20	0.39	0.40	1.22	0.23	0.57	1.73	1.53	2.83	3.92
NRC Canada certified/info value	mg/kg	79,100.00	920 *	60 *	13,100.00	13,100.00	37,900.00	23,800.00	15,800.00	298.00	1,040.00	1,580.00
Offset	mg/kg	(2,898.99)	(47.11)	(4.14)	(708.56)	(2,645.94)	(711.88)	(991.46)	(2,531.32)	2.68	(119.35)	(58.67)
Accuracy	%	(3.66)	(5.12)	(6.91)	(5.41)	(20.20)	(1.88)	(4.17)	(16.02)	0.90	(11.48)	(3.71)

 Table T20. Repeated XRF measurements of certified marine sediment reference material MESS-4 (NRC Canada) and QC measures, Expedition 389. * = info values.

 Download table in CSV format.

	Si (mg/kg)	Sr (mg/kg)	Ti (mg/kg)	Zr (mg/kg)	As (mg/kg)	Cr (mg/kg)	Cu (mg/kg)	Na (mg/kg)	Ni (mg/kg)	Pb (mg/kg)	Rb (mg/kg)	V (mg/kg)	Zn (mg/kg)
MESS-4	252,747.10	128.23	3,824.94	146.02	20.04	78.52	31.24	11,994.38	38.40	25.26	121.99	200.97	137.82
MESS-4	253,296.50	128.36	3,788.31	147.91	20.53	69.40	29.37	12,373.09	37.72	26.19	121.64	192.73	136.96
MESS-4	252,042.80	127.75	3,790.65	123.82	21.38	65.62	32.00	12,122.62	40.43	24.80	121.68	205.76	135.78
MESS-4	253,972.60	129.72	3,818.57	121.03	19.72	73.77	33.30	11,876.65	40.48	25.65	122.45	197.14	136.71
MESS-4	253,588.30	129.56	3,814.42	120.99	18.95	76.57	33.97	12,174.71	37.78	26.18	122.03	205.84	136.42
Average	253,129.46	128.72	3,807.38	131.95	20.13	72.77	31.98	12,108.29	38.96	25.62	121.96	200.49	136.74
Standard deviation	754.38	0.87	16.78	13.77	0.91	5.27	1.81	188.00	1.39	0.60	0.33	5.66	0.75
Relative standard deviation (precision) 0.30	0.67	0.44	10.43	4.50	7.23	5.65	1.55	3.57	2.35	0.27	2.82	0.55
NRC Canada certified/info value	278,000.00	132.00	3,840.00	96*	21.70	94.30	32.90	12,600.00	42.80	21.50	180 *	216.00	147.00
Offset	(24,870.54)	(3.28)	(32.62)	35.95	(1.57)	(21.53)	(0.92)	(491.71)	(3.84)	4.12	(58.04)	(15.51)	(10.26)
Accuracy	(8.95)	(2.48)	(0.85)	37.45	(7.26)	(22.83)	(2.81)	(3.90)	(8.97)	19.15	(32.25)	(7.18)	(6.98)

TC, TOC, TIC data and CaCO₃% calculation results are given in the relevant site chapters (see GEOCHEM in **Supplementary material**).

5. Paleomagnetism

The IODP standard paleomagnetic and rock magnetic studies carried out during the Expedition 389 OSP generated three types of paleomagnetic data: (1) a magnetic susceptibility profile for each site based on discrete samples of measured volume and mass, (2) where possible, relative paleointensity profiles that can be compared with global master curves for correlation between cores (e.g., Channel et al., 2009; Menabréaz et al., 2010), and (3) the magnetic characteristics of volcanic rocks recovered during Expedition 389.

5.1. Background paleomagnetic and rock magnetic studies of coral reefs

Building a paleomagnetic chronostratigraphy improves Quaternary marine stratigraphy by combining robust radiometric ages, oxygen isotope data, and ice-core chronologies. In particular, geomagnetic excursions are important for accurate and precise stratigraphies over the last 500 ky (e.g., Singer, 2014; Channell et al., 2020). Although 14 magnetic excursions were recorded for the last 500 ky, magnetic excursions remain controversial. For example, the Laschamp Excursion, which is the largest geomagnetic excursion for the last 50 ky, has rarely been reported from cores with sedimentation rates less than 10-20 cm/ky because this excursion has a submillenial duration (e.g., Channell et al., 2020; Hyodo et al., 2022). In addition, the acquisition (lock-in) of magnetization is not instantaneous but progressive below the bioturbated zone of the sediment surface (e.g., Roberts and Winklhofer, 2004). The use of coral reef archives potentially solves these problems. The coral reef archives will be ideal for paleomagnetic measurements, both in terms of sedimentation rates and acquisition (lock-in) of magnetization. First, vertical accretion rates of coral reefs can be two times faster than the sedimentation rate in Lake Suigetsu, one of the sites where the Laschamp Excursion was recorded (Hvodo et al., 2022). Thus, coral reef archives are sufficient to record excursions and have the potential to provide well-dated, high-resolution temporal data sets. Second, magnetization in the reefal carbonate is locked in within weeks of grain deposition (Lund et al., 2010). Therefore, the acquisition (lock-in) of sediment magnetization in coral reefs is also regarded as instantaneous.

The magnetic properties of coral reefs can also respond to environmental changes. Similar to the magnetic properties of sediments, their magnetic susceptibility might be associated with climatic changes (Wei et al., 2021). Sea level changes can also affect magnetic susceptibility. A decrease in sea level can lead to an increased supply of detrital sediment to coral reef depositional settings, which in turn can result in a higher supply of magnetic minerals. However, in various depositional environments, different depositional rates could invert the variations in magnetic susceptibility (da Silva et al., 2009). Thus, the study of environmental magnetism in coral reefs offers new perspectives on understanding environmental changes in coastal areas. Further research is essential to interpret geomagnetic parameters such as magnetic susceptibility in different regions.

5.2. Fundamentals of magnetic susceptibility and natural remanent magnetization

Magnetic susceptibility (χ) is a measure of how much a material will become magnetized in an applied magnetic field. It is a dimensionless proportionality constant that indicates the degree of magnetization of a material in response to an applied magnetic field. Volume-normalized magnetic susceptibility (k) is given by the equation k = M/H, where M is the magnetization induced in the material and H is the applied magnetic field. Magnetic susceptibility is often measured at room temperature and varies widely among different materials, from negative values for diamagnetic materials (e.g., carbonate) to positive values for paramagnetic (e.g., clays) and ferromagnetic (e.g., iron oxide magnetite) materials. In SI units, magnetic susceptibility is expressed in cubic meters per kilogram when normalized per unit mass (Tauxe, 2010).

Natural remanent magnetization (NRM) is the magnetization that a rock or sediment retains without the presence of an external magnetic field. It is a crucial parameter in paleomagnetic studies because it can provide insights into Earth's past magnetic field orientation and intensity. NRM can be acquired through various mechanisms such as thermal remanent magnetization (TRM), chemical remanent magnetization (CRM), and detrital remanent magnetization (DRM). TRM occurs when magnetic minerals cool below their Curie temperature in the presence of an ambient magnetic field, locking in a record of that field's direction and intensity. CRM is acquired as magnetic field during formation. DRM, on the other hand, is acquired by sedimentary particles that become aligned with the magnetic field as they settle through a fluid. Understanding NRM and its acquisition mechanisms is essential for reconstructing the geological past and deciphering the history of Earth's magnetic field (Tauxe, 2010).

5.3. Paleomagnetic sampling and measurements

During the OSP, standard paleomagnetic and magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed on discrete samples from the working half of Expedition 389 core in the Paleomagnetism Laboratory of the Department of Geosciences, University of Bremen (Figure F24). Discrete samples were collected as 2.54 cm minicores, drilled perpendicular to the split face of the core sections and subsequently trimmed to approximately 23 mm. Where possible, samples were obtained from at least one specimen per section, depending on sample availability and core quality. Oriented paleomagnetic samples were recovered, where possible, from all core intervals in which the updown orientation was preserved. Unfortunately, some intervals were composed exclusively of coral rubble material, so the azimuthal orientation of each individual core section was random in these intervals, and shorter intervals within each core section were clearly rotated relative to each other. As a result, any potential paleomagnetic analysis is limited to inclination and relative paleointensity.

No samples were collected for paleomagnetic analysis from Sites M0108 and M0109 because the friable core material did not allow cubes or minicores to be prepared.

5.3.1. Magnetic susceptibility

Magnetic susceptibility was measured using an AGICO KLY2 Kappabridge operating at a frequency of 920 Hz and magnetic induction of 0.4 mT (equivalent to a field intensity of 300 A/m) with a noise level of 2×10^{-10} m³/kg. The Kappabridge was calibrated using a standard with a bulk susceptibility of 1165 $\times 10^{-6}$ SI, and this procedure was repeated every morning before measurements began. The length and weight of the samples were measured using a caliper and electronic scale, respectively.

5.3.2. Natural remanent magnetization and alternating field demagnetization

NRM directions and intensities of discrete samples were measured using a 2G-Enterprises horizontal pass-through superconducting rock magnetometer (SRM 755) at the University of Bremen.



Figure F24. A. Orientation of the paleomagnetic plug with respect to the working halves of Expedition 389 drill cores. B. Coordinate system used for the SRM at the University of Bremen.

Samples collected during the OSP were measured in batches of eight or fewer using an automated pass-through conveyor belt.

After the initial NRM was measured, the samples were sequentially subjected to several demagnetization steps, and the NRM was measured after each demagnetization step. During the initial demagnetization trials on both carbonate and volcanic (lava) samples from Holes M0096A and M0097A, a sequence of 12 increasing peak alternating field (AF) steps was used: 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, and 100 mT. Lava samples carried a strong remanence, whereas carbonate samples carried extremely weak remanences, close to background noise levels. The methods for subsequent samples were adjusted according to the lithology analyzed. Lava samples continued to undergo the full demagnetization-measurement sequence using the 12 established AF steps. The protocol for carbonate samples was modified: these samples were only demagnetized at peak AFs of 5, 10, 15, and 20 mT, with NRM measured after each step. During the moratorium, further demagnetization steps will take place on a discrete sample SRM for measurement in another laboratory that is equipped with a magnetically shielded room that minimizes the impact of external magnetic noise.

6. Geochronology

During the offshore phase of Expedition 389, 60 samples were opportunistically selected for rapid dating to provide a basic chronostratigraphic framework of the recovered material and to assist the subsequent onshore sampling phase. Of these, 55 were processed on shore for dating. This chronostratigraphic data set is intended to serve as a starting point for development of a more robust chronostratigraphy and to assist the entire scientific team to achieve their scientific objectives. The initial chronology will also enable a more effective description of the time intervals represented in the recovered cores until a more detailed chronology becomes available.

Geochronology samples were selected during the offshore phase and shipped to several laboratories for radiocarbon and uranium-thorium (U-Th) dating at the end of Legs 1 and 2. These rapid turnaround samples were run in the first instance to guide drilling during Leg 2 and in both instances to guide sampling of the cores at the OSP.

6.1. Offshore sampling procedure

The offshore geochronology sampling strategy consisted of limited sampling of corals and coralline algae for radiocarbon dating that were restricted to materials with ages thought to be less than ~50 thousand years before present (ky BP) and of coral samples identified for U-Th dating for material thought to be ~50 ky BP and older. Samples were taken in cases where suitable material was available either from core bottoms (i.e., if material was protruding from the core barrel), core catchers (i.e., loose fragments), or, in rare cases, from opportunistically fragmented pieces exposed within the core barrel during transfer from aluminum liners to polycarbonate liners.

The offshore geochronology specimens were broken into smaller subsamples using a hammer and chisel, with a tape-coated steel plate as the anvil. After subsampling, dating samples were washed in water multiple times. During Leg 1, this was accomplished by letting the sample chips sit in deionized water for several hours. During Leg 2, a sonic bath was added into the workflow, greatly aiding in the sample cleaning. After draining and removing excess water by pipettor, samples were dried in an oven at 50°C for 10-12 h, transferred to sterile sample bags, and entered into the mDIS.

6.2. Onshore dating methods for offshore samples

6.2.1. Preservation assessment

Because of the requirement to process the offshore samples quickly, sample preservation was not assessed with any additional analyses such as XRD to evaluate the mineralogy or scanning electron microscope (SEM) images. The offshore samples were shipped to three laboratories for analysis.

6.2.2. U-Th dating methods

A total of 43 offshore samples were dated using U-Th dating techniques at two different laboratories. A total of 22 samples were analyzed at Rutgers University (USA), including 15 samples from Leg 1 and 7 from Leg 2, and 21 samples were processed at the University of Minnesota Twin Cities (USA). The first step in processing was for samples to be cut with a band saw into small pieces and ultrasonically cleaned in Milli-Q (18 M Ω) water until the water was clear.

6.2.2.1. Rutgers University methods

Because of time considerations and a quick turnaround for results required to guide coring in the offshore phase, Leg 1 offshore samples were not ultrasonically cleaned prior to processing for U-Th dating. Leg 2 offshore samples were ultrasonically cleaned twice: once shipboard and once again on receipt at Rutgers University. Approximately 0.2–0.4 g of sample was selected for U-Th dating, and ~0.1 g was selected for XRD analysis to be performed at a future date. Samples were weighed, and a measured amount of a gravimetrically calibrated ²³³U-²²⁹Th mixed spike was added. Following dissolution, one drop of perchloric acid was added to remove organics, and samples were then refluxed overnight at 110°C to allow for equilibration. Iron hydroxide precipitation, ion-exchange column chemistry, and preparation of U and Th separates for analyses were performed as described in Mortlock et al. (2005). U and Th isotope ratios were measured using multicollector–inductively coupled–mass spectrometry (MC-ICP-MS) on a Thermo Fisher Scientific Neptune Plus, applying the methods and protocols described in Mortlock et al. (2005) as modified by Mondal et al. (2018).

6.2.2.2. University of Minnesota Twin Cities methods

For the samples that were analyzed at the University of Minnesota Twin Cities, subsampling of the coral samples was performed using dental tools. For thicker walled coral taxa, effort was made to remove the thinner septa material whenever possible because septa material has been shown to be more susceptible to diagenetic alteration compared to the surrounding theca walls (Obert et al., 2016). The subsampled coral pieces were then ultrasonically cleaned for a minimum of 5 min each in ultrapurified (18 M Ω) water followed by ethanol and left on the clean bench to dry. Replicates were run for two of the samples (i.e., a different physical subsample of the same coral piece), yielding a total of 23 analyses for 21 samples.

Chemical procedures were conducted in a metal-free Class 100 clean room following the approach of Edwards et al. (1987) and Shen et al. (2002, 2012). Approximately 50–100 mg of subsampled coral pieces were weighed and digested using nitric acid before being spiked with a gravimetrically calibrated, mixed ²²⁹Th-²³³U-²³⁶U tracer. U and Th were separated from the Ca matrix using Fe coprecipitation and then purified using anion-exchange chromatography into separate U and Th fractions. When needed, hydrogen peroxide was added to digest residual organic material. All U-series measurements were performed on a Thermo Fisher Scientific Neptune MC-ICP-MS instrument using a secondary electron multiplier in dynamic (peak jumping) mode with the retarding potential quadrupole (RPQ) engaged for improved abundance sensitivity (Shen et al., 2012). An aliquot of the U fraction was diluted so that the ²³⁵U signal was ~300,000 counts/s to minimize the impact of detector dead time effects, and ²³⁸U concentrations were calculated using the natural (i.e., bulk earth) ²³⁸U/²³⁵U ratio (137.82) (Heiss et al., 2012).

6.2.3. Radiocarbon dating methods

A total of 12 samples were selected off shore for radiocarbon dating and sent to the Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute at the University of Tokyo (Japan). Prior to radiocarbon dating, a dental drill was used to subsample 1–2 mg of material for future XRD analysis to investigate potential diagenesis. The coral skeletal and coralline algal material was then transferred to a quartz tube and sonicated with Milli-Q (18 M Ω) water to clean the coral and coralline algal pieces before chemically etching the surface using weak hydrochloric acid (HCl) (~10–30 wt% equivalent). Samples were then graphitized employing the protocol reported in Yokoyama et al. (2007). The graphite target samples were analyzed with a single-stage accelerator mass spectrometer (Yokoyama et al., 2019).

Table T21. U-Th measurements of isotope concentrations and activity ratios, offshore phase, Expedition 389. All isotope ratios are expressed as activities and are calculated using U decay constants: $\lambda_{238} = 1.55125 \times 10^{-10}$ (Jaffey et al., 1971) and $\lambda_{234} = 2.82206 \times 10^{-6}$ (Cheng et al., 2013) and Th decay constant: $\lambda_{230} = 9.1705 \times 10^{-6}$ (Cheng et al., 2013). R = Rutgers University, M = University of Minnesota; both laboratories use gravimetrically calibrated spikes. WR = whole-round sample. $\pm 2\sigma = 2$ standard deviations. (Continued on next page.) **Download table in CSV format.**

Core, section,		Lab	²³⁸ U		²³² Th							
interval (cm)	IGSN	code	(ppm)	±2σ	(ppb)	±2σ	(²³⁰ Th/ ²³² Th) _{ACT}	±2σ	(²³⁰ Th/ ²³⁸ U) _{ACT}	±2σ	(²³⁴ U/ ²³⁸ U) _{ACT}	±2σ
389-M0096D-							40.000		0.0744		4 0750	
3R-1, 45–47	IBCR0389EXGHB/4	к	2.818	0.001	0.204	0.000	40,892	30	0.9766	0.0016	1.0759	0.0005
389-M0097A-												
5R-2, 0–2	IBCR0389EXJHB74	R	3.028	0.001	2.563	0.002	2,829	2	0.7892	0.0007	1.1021	0.0003
13R-1, 73–75	IBCR0389EXOHB74	R	6.185	0.003	2.223	0.001	6,157	4	0.7292	0.0006	1.0892	0.0004
17R-2, 121–123	IBCR0389EXSHB74	R	2.889	0.001	1.595	0.001	4,425	2	0.8049	0.0007	1.0932	0.0004
389-M0097B-												
1R-2, 109–113	IBCR0389EXZHB74	R	2.934	0.001	4.593	0.003	1.612	1	0.8313	0.0006	1.0942	0.0004
10R-1, 40-44	IBCR0389EX5IB74	R	3.467	0.001	1.695	0.001	5,126	4	0.8258	0.0007	1.0876	0.0004
							-,					
389-M0097C-												
5R-1, 75–77	IBCR0389EX11B74	R	2.194	0.001	3.036	0.002	1,936	1	0.8827	0.0009	1.1367	0.0005
24R-2, 44–46	IBCR0389EX0JB/4	к	3.851	0.001	1.563	0.002	5,592	/	0./4//	0.0007	1.0901	0.0003
389-M0098A-												
15R-1, 18–20	IBCR0389EXJIB74	R	3.333	0.001	0.203	0.000	51,775	82	1.0414	0.0009	1.0484	0.0003
19R-2, 23–25	IBCR0389EXLIB74	R	3.008	0.001	1.098	0.001	8,628	7	1.0381	0.0010	1.0440	0.0004
380-00000												
5B-1 4-7	IRCR0389EXB IR74	R	3 5 5 5	0.001	1 020	0.001	1 576	1	0 1490	0 0002	1 1 3 6 8	0 0003
6R-1 60-61	IBCR0389EXCIB74	R	5 5 2 2	0.001	4 319	0.001	575	0	0.1490	0.0002	1.1336	0.0003
011,00 01	IDCN0505EAC5D74	IN I	5.522	0.002	4.515	0.005	575	Ū	0.1401	0.0002	1.1550	0.0004
389-M0099B-												
5R-1, 128–131	IBCR0389EXGJB74	R	2.884	0.001	0.070	0.000	19,555	56	0.1562	0.0002	1.1362	0.0004
389-M0099C-												
24R-1, 38-41	IBCR0389EXTJB74	R	2.934	0.001	0.570	0.001	5,155	9	0.3303	0.0004	1.1242	0.0003
200 100005												
389-IVI0099E-			4 402	0.001	0.715	0.001	E 421	11	0 2005	0 000 4	1 1 2 0 2	0.0002
24R-1,0-7	IDCRU309EA0RD/4	n	4.405	0.001	0.715	0.001	5,451		0.2905	0.0004	1.1205	0.0005
389-M0099G-												
11R-1, 32–33	IBCR0389EXLOB74	R	2.666	0.001	0.138	0.001	23,219	143	0.3960	0.0005	1.1224	0.0005
16R-1, 0–3	IBCR0389EXNOB74	R	3.068	0.001	0.092	0.000	44,023	47	0.4339	0.0005	1.1211	0.0004
389-M0100A-												
1R-1, 4–5	IBCR0389EXGKB74	м	3.3768	0.0025	0.846	0.017	12.535	253	1.0249	0.0017	1.0584	0.0011
							,					
389-M0101A-			2 40 42	0.0004	0.400	0.010	21.000	450	1 0 2 2 2	0.001.6	1 0500	0.0010
4R-1, 0–3	IBCR0389EXINLB/4	M	3.4043	0.0024	0.492	0.010	21,888	452	1.0322	0.0016	1.0502	0.0010
/R-1, 4/-48	IBCR0389EXTKB/4	M	3.5415	0.0030	0.356	0.008	31,680	/3/	1.0384	0.0019	1.0422	0.0011
29R-1, 18-20 run#1	IBCR0389EXZKB74	M	3.1/23	0.0023	0.080	0.002	676,608	18,470	1.0384	0.0010	1.0510	0.0010
29R-1, 18–20 run#2	IBCR0389EXZKB/4	M	3.8562	0.0032	0.119	0.003	551,578	12,988	1.0358	0.0011	1.0510	0.0010
389-M0101B-												
11R-1, 41–43	IBCR0389EXBMB74	М	4.2507	0.0036	0.331	0.007	218,402	4,457	1.0318	0.0012	1.0514	0.0011
19R-1, 28–30	IBCR0389EXYLB74	М	3.3624	0.0031	5.064	0.101	2,115	42	1.0396	0.0017	1.0536	0.0012
389-M0102A-												
13B-1,77-80	IBCR0389FXFI B74	м	2,7745	0.0021	6.552	0.131	6,244	125	0.8943	0.0010	1.0841	0.0011
	10 6110009 2712 207 1		2.77.15	010021	01002	01101	0,211	.25	0.07.15	0.0010		0.00011
389-M0102C-												
2R-1, 35–36	IBCR0389EXEMB74	М	2.2912	0.0017	0.211	0.004	157,437	3,311	0.8789	0.0009	1.0904	0.0012
21R-1, 102–104	IBCR0389EXLMB74	M	2.9938	0.0026	2.811	0.056	16,669	335	0.9493	0.0011	1.0748	0.0013
27R-1, 115–117	IBCR0389EXNMB74	M	2.5772	0.0021	0.170	0.004	237,150	5,260	0.9461	0.0010	1.0734	0.0012
33R-2, 49–51	IBCR0389EXSMB74	м	2.2876	0.0013	0.445	0.009	15,039	310	0.9554	0.0013	1.0746	0.0009
389-M0103A-												
3R-1, 29–31 run#1	IBCR0389EXBNB74	М	2.8327	0.0020	0.053	0.004	131,348	9,289	0.7987	0.0012	1.0942	0.0010
3R-1, 29–31 run#2	IBCR0389EXBNB74	М	2.6775	0.0021	0.064	0.005	101,898	7,500	0.7989	0.0012	1.0931	0.0011
26R-1, 15-16	IBCR0389EXGNB74	М	4.8714	0.0045	0.496	0.010	148,743	3,081	0.9189	0.0011	1.0791	0.0011
30R-1, 114–116	IBCR0389EXHNB74	М	2.6767	0.0019	0.123	0.003	62,819	1,465	0.9441	0.0015	1.0757	0.0011
389-M01044-												
1R-2 3_7	IBCR0389FYRNR74	R	3 1155	0 0013	0 4 2 9	0.001	17 272	10	0 7818	0.0010	1 1044	0.0005
8R-1 146-149	IBCR0389EYTNR74	R	2 9251	0.0013	0.429	0.001	48 242	100	0.7010	0.0010	1 1002	0.0005
21R-1 20-20	IBCR0380FYVNR74	R	2.9231	0.0011	0.140	0.000	13 966	109	0.7940	0.0000	1.1002	0.0005
21111,29-30	DCN0009LATIND/4		2.0000	0.0009	0.001	0.000	13,200	7	0.0011	0.0007	1.0923	0.0005
389-M0105A-												
6R-1, 15–16	IBCR0389EX3OB74	R	3.1196	0.0010	0.141	0.000	52,881	62	0.7875	0.0007	1.1019	0.0004
7R-1, 25–26	IBCR0389EX5OB74	R	3.0055	0.0012	0.341	0.001	21,009	34	0.7833	0.0013	1.1002	0.0004
389-M0107A-												
1R-1WR. 30–31	IBCR0389EXUOB74	м	2.9347	0.0024	0.558	0.011	12,562	255	0.7795	0.0012	1,1006	0.0012
							,. • • =					

Table T21 (continued).

Core, section, interval (cm)	IGSN	Lab code	²³⁸ U (ppm)	±2σ	²³² Th (ppb)	±2σ	(²³⁰ Th/ ²³² Th) _{ACT}	±2σ	(²³⁰ Th/ ²³⁸ U) _{ACT}	±2σ	(²³⁴ U/ ²³⁸ U) _{ACT}	±2σ
389-M0108A-												
1R-1, 30–32	IBCR0389EXXOB74	М	4.5517	0.0043	1.339	0.027	58,604	1,177	1.0455	0.0013	1.0514	0.0011
389-M0108B-												
1R-1, 20–21	IBCR0389EX3PB74	М	2.9950	0.0022	0.301	0.006	170,382	3,579	1.0381	0.0011	1.0567	0.0010
17R-1, 2–4	IBCR0389EX8PB74	М	2.9347	0.0022	1.520	0.031	33,059	666	1.0387	0.0011	1.0377	0.0010
33R-1WR, 45–47	IBCR0389EXFPB74	М	2.4054	0.0015	0.786	0.016	9,730	196	1.0380	0.0015	1.0417	0.0010
389-M0109A-												
1R-1WR, 35–36	IBCR0389EXHPB74	М	3.2437	0.0023	0.211	0.005	51,096	1,235	1.0847	0.0015	1.0604	0.0010
12R-1WR, 38–39	IBCR0389EXJPB74	м	2.9147	0.0020	0.064	0.002	144,581	3,544	1.0294	0.0016	1.0295	0.0010

Table T22. Dates for U-Th dated coral shipboard samples, Expedition 389. Dates calculated using U decay constants: $\lambda^{238} = 1.55125 \times 10^{-10}$ (Jaffey et al., 1971) and $\lambda^{234} = 2.82206 \times 10^{-6}$ (Cheng et al., 2013) and Th decay constant: $\lambda^{230} = 9.1705 \times 10^{-6}$ (Cheng et al., 2013), and calculated dates do not include decay constant uncertainties. For ky BP, present is defined as AD 1950 to be consistent with radiocarbon data. Comments: 1 = anomalous U content, 2 = anomalous δ^{234} U initial value, 3 = high analytical uncertainty. $\pm 2\sigma = 2$ standard deviations. WR = whole-round sample. (Continued on next page.) **Download table in CSV format.**

Core, section, interval (cm)	IGSN	Date (ky BP)	±2σ	δ ²³⁴ U initial (‰)	±2σ	Comments
389-M0096D-		241.2	1.4	140.0	1.0	
3K-1,45-47	IBCK0389EXGHB/4	241.3	1.4	149.9	1.6	
389-M0097A-						
5R-2, 0-2	IBCR0389EXJHB74	133.1	0.2	148.7	0.6	1.5
13K-1, /3-/5	IBCR0389EXOHB/4	118.0	0.2	124.4	0.8	1, 2
17R-2, 121-123	IBCR0389EX5HB74	140.8	0.3	138.0	0.7	
389-M0097B-						
1R-2, 109–113	IBCR0389EXZHB74	150.1	0.3	144.0	0.8	
10R-1, 40–44	IBCR0389EX5IB74	150.2	0.3	133.9	0.8	
389-M0097C-						
5R-1, 75–77	IBCR0389EXTIB74	155.1	0.4	211.8	1.1	2
24R-2, 44–46	IBCR0389EX0JB74	123.2	0.2	127.6	0.6	2
389-M0098A-						
15R-1, 18–20	IBCR0389EXJIB74	409.6	3.9	153.9	2.5	
19R-2, 23–25	IBCR0389EXLIB74	421.1	4.8	144.4	3.1	
380-1100004-						
58-1 4-7	IBC B0389EXB IB74	15 21	0.02	142.8	0.5	
6R-1,60-61	IBCR0389FXCIB74	15.16	0.02	139.4	0.5	1
			0.05		0.0	·
389-M0099B-		16.01	0.00	1 40 5	0.5	
5K-1, 128-131	IBCK0389EXGJB/4	16.01	0.02	142.5	0.5	
389-M0099C-						
24R-1, 38–41	IBCR0389EXTJB74	37.60	0.06	138.1	0.5	
389-M0099E-						
24R-1, 6-7	IBCR0389EX8KB74	32.47	0.05	131.9	0.5	1
380-M0000G-						
11R-1, 32-33	IBCR0389FXI OB74	46.96	0.08	139.8	0.8	
16R-1, 0–3	IBCR0389EXNOB74	52.76	0.08	140.6	0.6	
200 140100						
389-MUTUU		220.0	4.2	1477	2.2	
WI0100A-1K-1, 4-5	IDCR0309EAGRD74	520.9	4.2	147.7	5.2	
389-M0101A-						
4R-1, 0–3	IBCR0389EXNLB74	370.6	5.8	142.9	3.6	
7R-1, 47–48	IBCR0389EXTKB74	434.0	12.2	143.6	6.2	
29R-1, 18–20, run#1	IBCR0389EXZKB74	386.1	5.4	151.8	3.8	
29R-1, 18–20, run#2	IBCR0389EXZKB/4	378.1	5.4	148.2	3.8	
389-M0101B-						
11R-1, 41–43	IBCR0389EXBMB74	364.9	4.9	144.1	3.5	1
19R-1, 28–30	IBCR0389EXYLB74	379.2	7.2	156.3	4.8	
389-M0102A-						
13R-1, 77–80	IBCR0389EXELB74	181.6	0.8	140.4	1.9	

Table T22 (continued).

Core, section, interval (cm)	IGSN	Date (ky BP)	±2σ	δ ²³⁴ U initial (‰)	±2σ	Comments
389-M0102C-						
2R-1, 35–36	IBCR0389EXEMB74	171.4	0.7	146.7	2.0	
21R-1, 102-104	IBCR0389EXLMB74	220.7	1.3	139.4	2.4	
27R-1, 115–117	IBCR0389EXNMB74	219.4	1.2	136.4	2.4	
33R-2, 49–51	IBCR0389EXSMB74	225.3	1.2	140.9	1.8	
389-M0103A-						
3R-1, 29–31, #1	IBCR0389EXBNB74	138.4	0.5	139.3	1.5	
3R-1, 29–31, #2	IBCR0389EXBNB74	138.8	0.5	137.7	1.7	
26R-1, 15-16	IBCR0389EXGNB74	197.9	0.9	138.3	2.0	1
30R-1, 114–116	IBCR0389EXHNB74	216.2	1.3	139.4	2.1	
389-M0104A-						
1R-2, 3–7	IBCR0389EXRNB74	130.1	0.3	150.8	0.9	
8R-1, 146–148	IBCR0389EXTNB74	135.4	0.2	146.9	0.9	
21R-1, 29-30	IBCR0389EXYNB74	158.5	0.3	144.5	1.0	
389-M0105A-						
6R-1, 15–16	IBCR0389EX3OB74	132.6	0.3	148.1	0.8	
7R-1, 25–26	IBCR0389EX5OB74	131.7	0.4	145.4	0.8	
389-M01074-						
1R-1WR. 30-31	IBCR0389EXUOB74	130.4	0.5	145.4	1.8	
200 1001001						
389-M0108A-		410.1	0.0	162.5	5.2	1.2
TR-1, 30-32	IBCR0389EXXOB/4	410.1	8.0	103.5	5.2	1, 2
389-M0108B-						
1R-1, 20–21	IBCR0389EX3PB74	363.7	4.6	158.2	3.6	
17R-1, 2–4	IBCR0389EX8PB74	472.3	13.1	143.2	6.6	
33R-1WR, 45–47	IBCR0389EXFPB74	435.6	10.3	142.5	5.3	
389-M0109A-						
1R-1WR, 35–36	IBCR0389EXHPB74	705.8	117.5	442.6	166.5	3
12R-1WR, 38–39	IBCR0389EXJPB74	488.3	18.0	117.2	7.1	2

Table T23. Dates for radiocarbon-dated samples, Expedition 389. For uncalibrated radiocarbon dates (ky BP), present is defined as AD 1950. $\pm 1\sigma = 1$ standard deviation. T = University of Tokyo. **Download table in CSV format.**

Core, section, interval (cm)	IGSN	Lab code	¹⁴ C date (ky BP)	±1σ
389-M0099-				
16R-1, 114–117	IBCR0389EX0JB74	Т	28.87	0.120
389-M0099E-				
13R-1, 80–81	IBCR0389EX3KB74	Т	29.06	0.120
6R-1, 71–73	IBCR0389EX1KB74	Т	14.10	0.045
389-M0099F-				
4R-1, 0–2	IBCR0389EXCKB74	Т	13.58	0.035
9R-2, 64–66	IBCR0389EXFKB74	т	26.97	0.085
389-M0099G-				
7R-1, 10–11	IBCR0389EXKOB74	Т	45.60	0.470
389-M0106A-				
4R-1, 40–41	IBCR0389EXBOB74	Т	27.54	0.090
389-M0106B-				
10R-1, 0-29	IBCR0389EXGOB74	Т	35.10	0.160
389-M0110A-				
3R-1, 70-72	IBCR0389EXNPB74	т	13.68	0.035
9R-1, 30–32	IBCR0389EXQPB74	Т	34.70	0.155
389-M0110B-				
2R-1, 44-45	IBCR0389EXWPB74	т	12.38	0.035
7R-1, 44–45	IBCR0389EXYPB74	т	14.11	0.035
.,				

6.2.4. Age interpretation for offshore samples

The U-Th geochemistry data and associated dates are reported in Tables **T21** and **T22**, following the reporting guidelines of Dutton et al. (2017). Radiocarbon dates are reported in Table **T23**. Several factors limit the interpretation of these rapid turnaround radiocarbon and U-Th dates. Because of the compressed time frame for analysis, preservation of primary aragonite mineralogy was not assessed before dating occurred. Additionally, although we aimed to select offshore samples that appeared to be in situ, there is not enough information available at this stage regarding the full sedimentary context of these samples. Finally, an analysis of paleowater depths for these samples is also currently not available, limiting the interpretation of sample dates and associated chronology of the cores should be made with caution; the radiocarbon and U-Th dates are considered tentative and potentially unreliable because some of these samples have likely suffered from contamination, diagenetic alteration, and/or open-system behavior that would bias the age interpretation. For these same reasons, age reversals (i.e., where a sample's date is younger/older compared to other dates from the same core) are identified in the site chapters, but we do not speculate on their potential causes, nor do we reject these dates outright.

All ages are reported in thousands of years before 1950 CE (ky BP), and no detrital Th correction was applied to the U-Th ages presented here. Postdepositional alteration of skeletal aragonite can impact the reliability of coral U-Th ages, which is commonly assessed using a combination of XRD and SEM. Although there are no XRD and SEM data to assist in the preservation assessment of the dated material, the geochemical measurements made for the U-Th dating themselves do provide some information that can be used to identify altered samples. Sample ages that should be rejected based on the U-Th geochemistry include those with anomalous values for 238 U concentration (\gg 4 ppm) (e.g., Scholz and Mangini, 2007), those with anomalous δ^{234} U initial values (below 130% or above 160‰), and one with a very high uncertainty due to being near secular equilibrium. A somewhat broader range of δ^{234} U initial values was chosen for screening compared to modern seawater $(144.9 \pm 0.4\%)$ (Andersen et al., 2010; Chutcharavan et al., 2018). This was done for two reasons. First, the primary objective of the pre-OSP dating was to generate a rough chronostratigraphy of the Expedition 389 cores, so even information about which marine isotope stage the cores are from is useful for informing sampling strategies and future science objectives. Secondly, it is possible that the uranium isotopic composition of seawater has varied over the last few glacial cycles (i.e., there is evidence that seawater δ^{234} U was as much as 5%–7‰ lower during the last glacial maximum, and geochemical box modeling shows that seawater δ^{234} U has varied by no more than ± 15‰ over the past 360 ky) (Henderson, 2002; Esat and Yokoyama, 2006, 2010; Chen et al., 2016; Chutcharavan et al., 2018). Samples with anomalous U-Th dating results outside the modeled maximum glacial-interglacial range are noted in Table T22. Most of the material that was U-Th dated does not suggest significant alteration on its own, although XRD and/or SEM data would need to be collected to help confirm this interpretation. The initial geochronology results, therefore, are promising and indicate good potential of recovering a robust chronology for the cores with more detailed dating and preservation analyses in the future.

7. Microbiology

7.1. Shipboard aDNA sampling procedures

Samples for aDNA were taken off shore and on shore. The objective of the offshore sampling was to examine the effect of (1) offshore handling and processing of the collected core material and (2) onshore handling prior to aDNA subsampling on DNA preservation within the cores. This includes the effects of prolonged exposure of cores to ambient temperatures and sunlight and exposure to irradiation during certain analytical processes such as X-ray CT scanning and MSCL measurements. The results should inform the feasibility of using aDNA from processed core material in general, as well as the suitability to reconstruct ecological and evolutionary responses of the Hawaiian drowned reefs to abrupt sea level and climate changes in the past.

The offshore aDNA sampling was designed to obtain samples of different ages following Webster et al. (2009, 2023). As such, the offshore sampling strategy was to obtain approximately three samples (near the top, middle, and base) from the first hole drilled on each of the terraces.

Offshore aDNA sampling was conducted alongside offshore geochronological sampling and followed the same selection criteria and subsampling procedure (well-preserved, clean, and unaltered coral pieces with approximate sample sizes of 2–3 cm³), and samples were entered into the mDIS. Where there was high-quality coral material left after geochronological sampling, an additional subsample was taken for aDNA analysis, in addition to the three samples already obtained for aDNA analysis from the hole. For a more detailed overview of the sampling workflow for geochronology, see **Geochronology**.

7.2. Onshore aDNA sampling procedures

The primary objective of the onshore aDNA sampling was to obtain suitable material for evaluating the response of reef-building coral populations and coral reef ecosystems in the Hawaiian archipelago to past climatic and environmental shifts, as well as identifying the microbial diversity preserved in the microbialites. An additional objective was to collect samples from the same corals as the samples taken off shore, which will allow direct comparison of the coral DNA preservation before and after the offshore and onshore handling and processing of the collected core material and the prolonged exposure of cores to ambient temperatures on deck and sunlight and exposure to irradiation during certain analytical processes such as X-ray CT scanning and MSCL measurements.

Samples were taken from cores collected from different drilling sites and holes across several reef terraces of various ages. Samples included both distinct coral pieces and microbialite formations. The focus was primarily on *Porites* spp. for coral samples. The following criteria were followed when selecting both types of samples: in situ, well-preserved, unaltered, clean (without sediment inclusions) pieces close to middle of the core; away from drilling marks, resins, and oils; and away from the very top and bottom edges of the core section.

The onshore sampling strategy was to collect $5-10 \text{ cm}^3$ (minimum 2 cm³) of material for coral and microbialite samples. Samples were entered into the mDIS by a designated member of the sampling team. Sampling was performed on freshly opened cores, and as standard practice similar to offshore sampling, samples were taken close to the geochronological samples. Sterile gloves and face masks were used during sampling, and samples were placed in sterile sealable plastic bags. The sawing of samples was done in a room with no history of modern DNA work, and the persons working on the cores were not involved in modern DNA work immediately prior to handling the cores to minimize the chance of contamination. Following the completion of the onshore sampling, the samples were stored in a freezer at -4° C. Because the BCR sampling room and saws are not designed for aDNA work and are used for all sample types, onshore aDNA samples were taken with the knowledge that even with careful handling, there could be some cross-sample contamination. Ultimately, this can be mitigated because once samples are received in the dedicated aDNA laboratories, the interior of the specimen is sampled in a sterile environment with appropriate mechanical and chemical cleaning and only this part of the sample is further processed for aDNA analysis.

References

Andersen, M.B., Stirling, C.H., Zimmermann, B., and Halliday, A.N., 2010. Precise determination of the open ocean ²³⁴U/²³⁸U composition. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 11(12). https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GC003318

Bakker, W., van Ruitenbeek, F.J.A., and van der Werff, H.M.A., 2011. Hyperspectral image mapping by automatic color coding of absorption features: abstract. 56-57. Presented at the 7th EARSEL Workshop on Imaging Spectroscopy 2011, Edinburgh, UK, 11–13 November 2011.

https://research.utwente.nl/en/publications/hyperspectral-image-mapping-by-automatic-color-coding-of-absorpti

Budd, A.F., Fukami, H., Smith, N.D., and Knowlton, N., 2012. Taxonomic classification of the reef coral family Mussidae (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Scleractinia). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 166(3):465–529. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2012.00855.x Channell, J.E.T., Singer, B.S., and Jicha, B.R., 2020. Timing of Quaternary geomagnetic reversals and excursions in volcanic and sedimentary archives. Quaternary Science Reviews, 228:106114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.106114

Channell, J.E.T., Xuan, C., and Hodell, D.A., 2009. Stacking paleointensity and oxygen isotope data for the last 1.5 Myr (PISO-1500). Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 283(1–4):14–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.03.012

- Chen, T., Robinson, L.F., Beasley, M.P., Claxton, L.M., Andersen, M.B., Gregoire, L.J., Wadham, J., Fornari, D.J., and Harpp, K.S., 2016. Ocean mixing and ice-sheet control of seawater ²³⁴U/²³⁸U during the last deglaciation. Science, 354(6312):626–629. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag1015
- Cheng, H., Lawrence Edwards, R., Shen, C.-C., Polyak, V.J., Asmerom, Y., Woodhead, J., Hellstrom, J., Wang, Y., Kong, X., Spötl, C., Wang, X., and Calvin Alexander, E., 2013. Improvements in ²³⁰Th dating, ²³⁰Th and ²³⁴U half-life values, and U–Th isotopic measurements by multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 371–372:82–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.04.006
- Chung, F., 1974. Quantitative interpretation of X-ray diffraction patterns of mixtures. I. Matrix-flushing method for quantitative multicomponent analysis. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 7(6):519–525. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889874010375
- Chutcharavan, P.M., Dutton, A., and Ellwood, M.J., 2018. Seawater ²³⁴U/²³⁸U recorded by modern and fossil corals. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 224:1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.12.017

Clark, R.N., 1999. Spectroscopy of Rocks and Minerals, and Principles of Spectroscopy In Rencz, A.N., Manual of Remote Sensing. 3:3–58.

- da Silva, A.-C., Potma, K., Weissenberger, J.A.W., Whalen, M.T., Humblet, M., Mabille, C., and Boulvain, F., 2009. Magnetic susceptibility evolution and sedimentary environments on carbonate platform sediments and atolls, comparison of the Frasnian from Belgium and Alberta, Canada. Sedimentary Geology, 214(1):3–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2008.01.010
- Degen, T., Sadki, M., Bron, E., König, U., and Nénert, G., 2014. The HighScore suite. Powder Diffraction, 29(S2):S13– S18. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0885715614000840
- Dunham, R.J., 1962. Classification of carbonate rocks according to depositional texture. In Ham, W.E., Classification of Carbonate Rocks. AAPG Memoir, 1: 108–121.
- Dutton, A., Rubin, K., McLean, N., Bowring, J., Bard, E., Edwards, R.L., Henderson, G.M., Reid, M.R., Richards, D.A., Sims, K.W.W., Walker, J.D., and Yokoyama, Y., 2017. Data reporting standards for publication of U-series data for geochronology and timescale assessment in the earth sciences. Quaternary Geochronology, 39:142–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2017.03.001
- Edwards, R.L., Chen, J.H., and Wasserburg, G.J., 1987. ²³⁸U-²³⁴U-²³⁰Th-²³²Th systematics and the precise measurement of time over the past 500,000 years. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 81(2):175–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(87)90154-3
- Embry, A.F., and Klovan, J.E., 1972. Absolute water depth limits of late Devonian paleoecological zones. Geologische Rundschau, 61(2):672–686. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01896340
- Esat, T.M., and Yokoyama, Y., 2006. Variability in the uranium isotopic composition of the oceans over glacial-interglacial timescales. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 70(16):4140–4150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.06.013
- Esat, T.M., and Yokoyama, Y., 2010. Coupled uranium isotope and sea-level variations in the oceans. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 74(24):7008–7020.
- Expedition 302 Scientists, 2006. Methods. In Backman, J., Moran, K., McInroy, D.B., Mayer, L.A., and the Expedition 302 Scientists, Proceedings of the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program. 302: Edinburgh (Integrated Ocean Drilling Program Management International, Inc.). https://doi.org/10.2204/iodp.proc.302.103.2006
- Grasshoff, K., Kremling, K., and Ehrhardt, M. (Eds.), 1999. Methods of Seawater Analysis (3rd edition): Hoboken, NJ (Wiley-VCH). https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527613984
- Grasshoff, K., Ehrhardt, M., and Kemling, K., 1983. Methods of Seawater Analysis (2nd edition): Weinham, Germany (Verlag Chemie).
- Hall, P.J., and Aller, R.C., 1992. Rapid, small-volume, flow injection analysis for SCO₂, and NH₄⁺ in marine and freshwaters. Limnology and Oceanography, 37(5):1113–1119. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1992.37.5.1113
- Henderson, G.M., 2002. Seawater (²³⁴U/²³⁸U) during the last 800 thousand years. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 199(1):97–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00556-3
- Hiess, J., Condon, D.J., McLean, N., and Noble, S.R., 2012. ²³⁸U/²³⁵U systematics in terrestrial uranium-bearing minerals. Science, 335(6076):1610-1614. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215507
- Huang, D., Arrigoni, R., Benzoni, F., Fukami, H., Knowlton, N., Smith, N.D., Stolarski, J., Chou, L.M., and Budd, A.F., 2016. Taxonomic classification of the reef coral family Lobophylliidae (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Scleractinia). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 178(3):436–481. https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12391
- Huang, D., Benzoni, F., Fukami, H., Knowlton, N., Smith, N.D., and Budd, A.F., 2014. Taxonomic classification of the reef coral families Merulinidae, Montastraeidae, and Diploastraeidae (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Scleractinia). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 171(2):277–355. https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12140
- Hunt, C.P., Moskowitz, B.M., and Banerjee, S.K., 1995. Magnetic properties of rocks and minerals. In Ahrens, T.J. (Ed.), Rock Physics & Phase Relations: A Handbook of Physical Constants. AGU Reference Shelf Series, 3: 189–204. https://doi.org/10.1029/RF003p0189
- Hyodo, M., Nakagawa, T., Matsushita, H., Kitaba, I., Yamada, K., Tanabe, S., Bradák, B., Miki, M., McLean, D., Staff, R.A., Smith, V.C., Albert, P.G., Bronk Ramsey, C., Yamasaki, A., Kitagawa, J., Schlolaut, G., Gotanda, K., Tsumura, K., Inagawa, K., Kumazawa, K., Abe, H., Sugo, S., Takahashi, K., Kitamura, A., and Suigetsu, P., 2022. Intermittent non-axial dipolar-field dominance of twin Laschamp excursions. Communications Earth & Environment, 3(1):79. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00401-0

- Ito, E., Higgins, S., Jenkins, C., Leigh, J., Johnson, A., and Grivna, B., 2023. Corelyzer, v.2.2.2. Continental Scientific Drilling Facility. https://github.com/corewall/corelyzer
- Jaffey, A.H., Flynn, K.F., Glendenin, L.E., Bentley, W.C., and Essling, A.M., 1971. Precision measurement of half-lives and specific activities of ²³⁵U and ²³⁸U. Physical Review C, 4(5):1889–1906. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.4.1889
- Kontny, A., Vahle, C., and de Wall, H., 2003. Characteristic magnetic behavior of subaerial and submarine lava units from the Hawaiian Scientific Drilling Project (HSDP-2). Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 4(2). https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GC000304
- Lebrato, M., Garbe-Schönberg, D., Müller, M.N., Blanco-Ameijeiras, S., Feely, R.A., Lorenzoni, L., Molinero, J.-C., Bremer, K., Jones, D.O.B., Iglesias-Rodriguez, D., Greeley, D., Lamare, M.D., Paulmier, A., Graco, M., Cartes, J., Barcelos e Ramos, J., de Lara, A., Sanchez-Leal, R., Jimenez, P., Paparazzo, F.E., Hartman, S.E., Westernströer, U., Küter, M., Benavides, R., da Silva, A.F., Bell, S., Payne, C., Olafsdottir, S., Robinson, K., Jantunen, L.M., Korablev, A., Webster, R.J., Jones, E.M., Gilg, O., Bailly du Bois, P., Beldowski, J., Ashjian, C., Yahia, N.D., Twining, B., Chen, X.-G., Tseng, L.-C., Hwang, J.-S., Dahms, H.-U., and Oschlies, A., 2020. Global variability in seawater Mg:Ca and Sr:Ca ratios in the modern ocean. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117(36):22281–22292. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1918943117
- Lee, K., Kim, T.-W., Byrne, R.H., Millero, F.J., Feely, R.A., and Liu, Y.-M., 2010. The universal ratio of boron to chlorinity for the North Pacific and North Atlantic oceans. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 74(6):1801–1811. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.12.027
- Leroy, C.C., 1969. Development of simple equations for accurate and more realistic calculation of the speed of sound in seawater. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 46(1B):216–226. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1911673
- Lorenz, S., Seidel, P., Ghamisi, P., Zimmermann, R., Tusa, L., Khodadadzadeh, M., Contreras, I.C., and Gloaguen, R., 2019. Multi-sensor spectral imaging of geological samples: a data fusion approach using spatio-spectral feature extraction. Sensors, 19(12):2787. https://doi.org/10.3390/s19122787
- Lund, S., Platzman, E., Thouveny, N., Camoin, G., Corsetti, F., and Berelson, W., 2010. Biological control of paleomagnetic remanence acquisition in carbonate framework rocks of the Tahiti coral reef. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 298(1):14–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.07.010
- Menabréaz, L., Thouveny, N., Camoin, G., and Lund, S.P., 2010. Paleomagnetic record of the late Pleistocene reef sequence of Tahiti (French Polynesia): a contribution to the chronology of the deposits. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 294(1–2):58–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.03.002
- Millero, F.J., Feistel, R., Wright, D.G., and McDougall, T.J., 2008. The composition of standard seawater and the definition of the reference-composition salinity scale. Deep Sea Research, Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 55(1):50–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2007.10.001
- Mondal, D.R., McHugh, C.M., Mortlock, R.A., Steckler, M.S., Mustaque, S., and Akhter, S.H., 2018. Microatolls document the 1762 and prior earthquakes along the southeast coast of Bangladesh. Tectonophysics, 745:196–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.07.020
- Moore, C.H., 2001. The nature of the carbonate depositional system. In Moore, C.H., Carbonate Reservoirs Porosity Evolution and Diagenesis in a Sequence Stratigraphic Framework. Developments in Sedimentology, 55: 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-4571(01)80002-9
- Mortlock, R.A., Fairbanks, R.G., Chiu, T.-c., and Rubenstone, J., 2005. ²³⁰Th/²³⁴U/²³⁸U and ²³¹Pa/²³⁵U ages from a single fossil coral fragment by multi-collector magnetic-sector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 69(3):649–657. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2004.06.033
- Obert, J.C., Scholz, D., Felis, T., Brocas, W.M., Jochum, K.P., and Andreae, M.O., 2016. ²³⁰Th/U dating of Last Interglacial brain corals from Bonaire (southern Caribbean) using bulk and theca wall material. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 178:20–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.01.011
- Roberts, A.P., and Winklhofer, M., 2004. Why are geomagnetic excursions not always recorded in sediments? Constraints from post-depositional remanent magnetization lock-in modelling. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 227(3):345–359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2004.07.040
- Schlager, W., 2005. Carbonate Sedimentology and Sequence Stratigraphy. SEPM Concepts in Sedimentology and Paleontology, 8. https://doi.org/10.2110/csp.05.08
- Scholz, D., and Mangini, A., 2007. How precise are U-series coral ages? Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 71(8):1935–1948. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2007.01.016
- Seeberg-Elverfeldt, J., Schlüter, M., Feseker, T., and Kölling, M., 2005. Rhizon sampling of porewaters near the sediment-water interface of aquatic systems. Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 3(8):361–371. https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2005.3.361
- Shen, C.-C., Lawrence Edwards, R., Cheng, H., Dorale, J.A., Thomas, R.B., Bradley Moran, S., Weinstein, S.E., and Edmonds, H.N., 2002. Uranium and thorium isotopic and concentration measurements by magnetic sector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Chemical Geology, 185(3):165–178. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(01)00404-1
- Shen, C.-C., Wu, C.-C., Cheng, H., Lawrence Edwards, R., Hsieh, Y.-T., Gallet, S., Chang, C.-C., Li, T.-Y., Lam, D.D., Kano, A., Hori, M., and Spötl, C., 2012. High-precision and high-resolution carbonate ²³⁰Th dating by MC-ICP-MS with SEM protocols. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 99:71–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.09.018 Singer, B.S., 2014. A Quaternary geomagnetic instability time scale. Quaternary Geochronology, 21:29–52.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2013.10.003
- Spiegel, T., Vosteen, P., Wallmann, K., Paul, S.A.L., Gledhill, M., and Scholz, F., 2021. Updated estimates of sedimentary potassium sequestration and phosphorus release on the Amazon shelf. Chemical Geology, 560:120017. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2020.120017

- Stanienda-Pilecki, K.J., 2023. Crystals structures of carbonate phases with Mg in Triassic rocks, mineral formation and transitions. Scientific Reports, 13(1):18759. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-46013-2
- Steiner, Z., Landing, W.M., Bohlin, M.S., Greaves, M., Prakash, S., Vinayachandran, P.N., and Achterberg, E.P., 2022. Variability in the concentration of lithium in the Indo-Pacific Ocean. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 36(6):e2021GB007184. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007184
- Steiner, Z., Sarkar, A., Prakash, S., Vinaychandran, P.N., and Turchyn, A.V., 2020. Dissolved strontium, Sr/Ca ratios, and the abundance of Acantharia in the Indian and Southern Oceans. ACS Earth and Space Chemistry, 4(6):802– 811. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00281
- Tauxe, L., 2010. Essentials of Paleomagnetism: Oakland, CA (University of California Press).
- Thiele, S.T., Lorenz, S., Kirsch, M., Cecilia Contreras Acosta, I., Tusa, L., Herrmann, E., Möckel, R., and Gloaguen, R., 2021. Multi-scale, multi-sensor data integration for automated 3-D geological mapping. Ore Geology Reviews, 136:104252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2021.104252
- Veron, J., 2000. Corals of the World, Volumes 1–3: Townsville, AU (Australian Institute of Marine Science).
- Vogt, C., Lauterjung, J., and Fischer, R.X., 2002. Investigation of the clay fraction (<2 μm) of the clay minerals society reference clays. Clays and Clay Minerals, 50(3):388–400. https://doi.org/10.1346/000986002760833765
- Von Herzen, R., and Maxwell, A.E., 1959. The measurement of thermal conductivity of deep-sea sediments by a needle-probe method. Journal of Geophysical Research, 64(10):1557–1563. https://doi.org/10.1029/JZ064i010p01557
- Walker, G.P.L., 1971. Compound and simple lava flows and flood basalts. Bulletin Volcanologique, 35(3):579–590. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02596829
- Wallace, C., Chen, C., Fukami, H., and Muir, P., 2007. Recognition of separate genera within Acropora based on new morphological, reproductive and genetic evidence from Acropora togianensis, and elevation of the subgenus Isopora Studer, 1878 to genus (Scleratinia: Astrocoeniidae; Acroporidae). Coral Reefs, 26:231–239. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-007-0203-4
- Webster, J.M., Braga, J.C., Clague, D.A., Gallup, C., Hein, J.R., Potts, D.C., Renema, W., Riding, R., Riker-Coleman, K., Silver, E., and Wallace, L.M., 2009. Coral reef evolution on rapidly subsiding margins. Global and Planetary Change, 66(1–2):129–148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2008.07.010
- Webster, J.M., Ravelo, A.C., and Grant, H.L.J., 2023. Expedition 389 Scientific Prospectus: Hawaiian Drowned Reefs. International Ocean Discovery Program. https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.sp.389.2023
- Webster, J.M., Ravelo, A.C., Grant, H.L.J., and the Expedition 389 Scientists, 2025. Supplementary material, https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.389supp.2025. In Webster, J.M., Ravelo, A.C., Grant, H.L.J., and the Expedition 389 Scientists, Hawaiian Drowned Reefs. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program, 389: College Station, TX (International Ocean Discovery Program).
- Webster, J.M., Ravelo, A.C., Grant, H.L.J., Rydzy, M., Stewart, M., Allison, N., Asami, R., Boston, B., Braga, J.C., Brenner, L., Chen, X., Chutcharavan, P., Dutton, A., Felis, T., Fukuyo, N., Gischler, E., Greve, S., Hagen, A., Hamon, Y., Hathorne, E., Humblet, M., Jorry, S., Khanna, P., Le Ber, E., McGregor, H., Mortlock, R., Nohl, T., Potts, D., Prohaska, A., Prouty, N., Renema, W., Rubin, K.H., Westphal, H., and Yokoyama, Y., 2025. Expedition 389 summary. In Webster, J.M., Ravelo, A.C., Grant, H.L.J., and the Expedition 389 Scientists, Hawaiian Drowned Reefs. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program, 389: College Station, TX (International Ocean Discovery Program). https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.389.101.2025
- Wei, L., Fan, T., Chen, J., and Yu, K., 2021. Progress in the study of coral reef rock magnetism, environmental magnetism and magnetostratigraphy. Bulletin of Mineralogy, Petrology and Geochemistry.
- https://doi.org/10.19658/j.issn.1007-2802.2021.40.067
- Wentworth, C.K., 1922. A scale of grade and class terms for clastic sediments. The Journal of Geology, 30(5):377–392. https://doi.org/10.1086/622910
- Yokoyama, Y., Miyairi, Y., Aze, T., Yamane, M., Sawada, C., Ando, Y., de Natris, M., Hirabayashi, S., Ishiwa, T., Sato, N., and Fukuyo, N., 2019. A single stage accelerator mass spectrometry at the Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, The University of Tokyo. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 455:311–316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2019.01.055
- Yokoyama, Y., Miyairi, Y., Matsuzaki, H., and Tsunomori, F., 2007. Relation between acid dissolution time in the vacuum test tube and time required for graphitization for AMS target preparation. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 259(1):330–334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2007.01.176